

Ivanchei, Ivan; Coucke, Nicolas; and Cleeremans, Axel (2023) Dissociation between conscious and unconscious processes as a criterion for sentience. *Animal Sentience* 33(25) DOI: 10.51291/2377-7478.1816 Date of submission: 2023-05-26 Date of acceptance: 2023-05-30



This article has appeared in the journal *Animal Sentience*, a peer-reviewed journal on animal cognition and feeling. It has been made open access, free for all, by WellBeing International and deposited in the WBI Studies Repository. For more information, please contact wbisr-info@wellbeingintl.org.



## Dissociation between conscious and unconscious processes as a criterion for sentience

Commentary on Segundo-Ortin & Calvo on Plant Sentience

## Ivan Ivanchei<sup>1</sup>, Nicolas Coucke<sup>1,2</sup> & Axel Cleeremans<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Consciousness, Cognition & Computation Group, Center for Research in Cognition & Neurosciences, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium; <sup>2</sup>IRIDIA laboratory, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium

**Abstract**: Based on the literature on human consciousness, we suggest that to demonstrate sentience in a system, one needs to demonstrate both conscious and unconscious processing in the system. Major theories of consciousness require the existence of both conscious and unconscious processes. Contrasting effects of conscious and unconscious processes have been successfully used in human studies and have begun being applied in animal sentience research as well.

<u>Ivan Ivanchei</u>, postdoctoral researcher, Consciousness, Cognition & Computation Group, U. Libre de Bruxelles and Marie Skłodowska-Curie fellow, Conscious Brain Lab, U. Amsterdam, does research on the role of affect in cognitive control and conscious perception. <u>Website</u>

Nicolas Coucke, Doctoral Candidate, Consciousness, Cognition and Computation Group and IRIDIA laboratory, U. Libre de Bruxelles, investigates collective intelligence in human groups and artificial systems. <u>Website</u>

Axel Cleeremans, F.R.S-FNRS Research Director, Center for Research in Cognition & Neurosciences, Université libre de Bruxelles, and senior fellow of the Canadian Institute for Advanced Research, is interested in the value of consciousness and in the differences between what we can do with and without awareness. Website

Segundo-Ortin & Calvo (2023) describe plant behavior that presumably involves sentience. The problem is that the cognitive capacities observed in plants cannot be a reliable source of evidence for sentience on their own (see other commentaries on the target article, e.g. Bennett, 2023; Damasio & Damasio, 2023; Dung 2023, Struik, 2023). Since plants also cannot report on their subjective states, identifying plant sentience becomes challenging. Based on the consciousness literature, we develop an argument that to ascribe sentience to a system one needs to show both conscious and unconscious manifestations of the system. This view is based on a theoretical and a methodological argument. Given that potential criteria for sentience were primarily developed in the human consciousness literature, we will use that literature to establish the argument.





Theoretical argument. Psychological and neuroscientific theories of consciousness describe consciousness in contrast to processes that can occur unconsciously. According to the Global workspace theory (Baars, 1988; Dehaene & Changeux, 2011), the brain contains multiple distinct modules that operate entirely unconsciously. Consciousness arises when a network that facilitates communication between isolated modules (the global workspace) is activated. The theory clearly describes features of both conscious and unconscious behavior, as well as the distinct neural signatures of conscious and unconscious cognitive processing. Likewise, according to the theory of higher-order thought (Brown et al., 2019) a representation can only be a conscious representation if it is itself a target of another (higher-order) representation. For example, a pattern of activation in the visual cortex triggered by an image of a bottle remains unconscious until it is the target of a higher-order representation whose contents are "I am now seeing a bottle". The higher-order, or metarepresentation, itself remains unconscious. Hence, higher-order theories of consciousness require the existence of unconscious representations alongside conscious ones. Finally, according to the recurrent processing theory (Lamme & Roelfsema, 2000), information processing remains unconscious unless recurrent activations are involved.

Methodological argument. Unconscious processing in humans is often studied through a contrastive approach (Baars, 1994) that aims to dissociate what one can do with and without awareness. For example, the whole field of neuroscience of consciousness has been focused on finding dissociable signatures of conscious and unconscious processing in the brain (Crick & Koch, 2003). In humans, demonstrating qualitatively different effects of conscious and unconscious stimuli was proposed as a behavioral measure of conscious awareness that goes beyond simple above-chance performance in the task of interest (Cheesman & Merikle, 1986). Many studies have shown that conscious and unconscious processing produce different or even opposite behavioral effects (e.g. Bijleveld et al., 2010; Destrebecgz & Cleeremans, 2001; Merikle & Joordens, 1997). The same approach has begun to be used in the animal sentience literature. For example, Ben-Haim et al. (2021) used a cueing paradigm where Rhesus macaques identify the location of the stimuli presented. The location was cued by either a supraliminal or a subliminal visual cue. The authors found that supraliminal cues speeded correct detection of the targets while subliminal ones slowed it down. Dung (2022) lists such double dissociation between conscious and unconscious processes as a strong criterion for animal consciousness. A possible application in the plant context might be to try to contrast processing of external stimuli that have local effects in a plant to processing that leads to a larger coherent movement of a plant (c.f. Meroz, 2021; Meroz et al., 2019).

On the basis of the above arguments, we suggest that anyone attempting to demonstrate plant sentience empirically begin by developing a workable dissociation paradigm.

[This work was supported by ERC AdG Grant #101055060 "EXPERIENCE" to Axel Cleeremans.]

## References

Baars, B. (1988). *A cognitive theory of consciousness*. Cambridge University Press. Baars, B. (1994). A thoroughly empirical approach to consciousness. *Psyche*, 1(6), 1–18.

- Ben-Haim, M. S., Dal Monte, O., Fagan, N. A., Dunham, Y., Hassin, R. R., Chang, S. W. C., & Santos, L. R. (2021). <u>Disentangling perceptual awareness from nonconscious</u> <u>processing in rhesus monkeys (Macaca mulatta )</u>. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(15), e2017543118.
- Bennett, T. (2023). Cognition is not evidence of sentience. Animal Sentience, 8(33).
- Bijleveld, E., Custers, R., & Aarts, H. (2010). <u>Unconscious reward cues increase invested</u> <u>effort, but do not change speed–accuracy tradeoffs</u>. *Cognition*, 115(2), 330–335.
- Brown, R., Lau, H., & LeDoux, J. E. (2019). <u>Understanding the Higher-Order Approach to</u> <u>Consciousness</u>. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 23(9), 754–768.
- Cheesman, J., & Merikle, P. M. (1986). Distinguishing conscious from unconscious perceptual processes. *Canadian Journal of Psychology/Revue Canadienne de Psychologie*, 40(4), 343–367.
- Crick, F., & Koch, C. (2003). A framework for consciousness. *Nature Neuroscience*, 6(2), 119–126.
- Damasio, A., & Damasio, H. (2023). <u>Sensing is a far cry from sentience</u>. *Animal Sentience*, 8(33).
- Dehaene, S., & Changeux, J.-P. (2011). <u>Experimental and theoretical approaches to</u> <u>conscious processing</u>. *Neuron*, 70(2), 200–227.
- Destrebecqz, A., & Cleeremans, A. (2001). Can sequence learning be implicit? New evidence with the process dissociation procedure. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 8(2), 343–350.
- Dung, L. (2022). <u>Assessing tests of animal consciousness</u>. *Consciousness and Cognition*, 105, 103410.
- Dung, Leonard (2023) From animal to plant sentience: Is there credible evidence? Animal Sentience 33(10)
- Lamme, V. A. F., & Roelfsema, P. R. (2000). <u>The distinct modes of vision offered by</u> <u>feedforward and recurrent processing</u>. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 23(11), 571–579.
- Merikle, P. M., & Joordens, S. (1997). Parallels between perception without attention and perception without awareness. *Consciousness and Cognition*, 6(2–3), 219–236.
- Meroz, Y. (2021). <u>Plant tropisms as a window on plant computational processes</u>. *New Phytologist*, 229(4), 1911–1916.
- Meroz, Y., Bastien, R., & Mahadevan, L. (2019). <u>Spatio-temporal integration in plant</u> <u>tropisms</u>. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*, 16(154), 20190038.
- Segundo-Ortin, M., & Calvo, P. (2023). <u>Plant sentience? Between romanticism and denial:</u> <u>Science</u>. Animal Sentience, 8(33).
- Struik, P. C. (2023). <u>Plants detect and adapt, but do not feel</u>. *Animal Sentience*, 8(33).