

Mutual Trust in Regional and Interregional Cooperation on Counterterrorism

The EU and ASEAN Approaches

TABLE OF CONTENT

List of abbreviations	x
<u>INTRODUCTORY CHAPTER</u>	1
<u>Section 1 – Looking for an effective response to international terrorism: the opportunity to work at the regional framework?</u>	1
I. <u>Benefits and obstacle to the implementation of the comprehensive counterterrorism strategy</u>	1
A. <u>The terrorist threat: evolving in motives, form and means</u>	2
B. <u>Joining force against terrorism: an effective means based on trust</u>	3
II. <u>Regional organisations: an adequate intermediary solution</u>	5
A. <u>Identifying the most effective level to handle transnational issues</u>	5
B. <u>Establishing regional ties to cope with transnational non-traditional security issues</u>	6
1. The geopolitical circumstances leading to various regional organisations	6
2. Different forms of regionalism in Europe and Southeast Asia?.....	7
3. Interregionalism: an interest leading to an opportunity?.....	9
<u>Section 2 – Constructing the conceptual framework of this doctoral research</u>	9
I. <u>Purpose of this doctoral research</u>	9
II. <u>Methodology and structure</u>	11
A. <u>Methodological considerations: between expansion of the approach and deliberate choices</u>	11
1. Finding a methodological path towards the resolution of the research question	12
a. The choice of a theoretical approach... ..	12
b. ... determining this doctoral research’s methodology	13
2. Acknowledging the difficulties encountered on the path	15
a. Determining which focal points are of interest in a legal literature well-versed in the EU law, but almost completely unfamiliar with the ASEAN legal framework	15
b. Difficulties and limitations in this doctoral analysis	16
B. <u>Outline of the chapters</u>	17

CHAPTER 1

DEFINITIONS OF KEY CONCEPTS: IN SEARCH OF A COMMON

LANGUAGE TO FACILITATE CROSS-BORDER COOPERATION 20

Section 1. Terrorism as a specific type of serious transnational crime: putting an end to a long-running uncertainty? 20

- I. Serious transnational crime: qualifying a broad category of offences 21
 - A. The transnational nature of a crime 21
 - B. The seriousness element of some transnational offences 24
- II. Terrorist offences: no general definition at the global level despite long-running debates 28

Section 2. Key notions concerning transnational interactions in criminal matters 31

- I. Working together to prevent and combat terrorism but how? 33
 - A. The notions of cooperation, collaboration and coordination: interchangeable or distinct notions? 33
 - 1. Starting from the basics: looking at the ordinary meaning of these terms 33
 - 2. What do international and regional organisations make of them? 34
 - 3. Looking elsewhere to find a more precise meaning? 36
 - 4. The different forms of cooperation in criminal matters based on the ill-defined key terms 38
 - B. A mix of “sectorial v. transversal” approaches leading to “informal v. formal” methods of cooperation in criminal matters 39
- II. Among key mechanisms of cooperation: sharing information and intelligence between competent national authorities 41
 - A. Information and intelligence: coping with diverging definitions 42
 - 1. A general international guidance and confusing regional frameworks for the respective Member States 43
 - 2. Some serious national discrepancies to overcome 47
 - 3. An element of significant importance in the qualification and handling of data: the secrecy of data 51
 - 4. Finding the appropriate definitions of ‘information’ and ‘intelligence’ 51
 - 5. Data and personal data: a generic terminology that tends to be more operational 52
 - B. Sharing or exchanging information and intelligence? 53
 - C. Who are the competent national authorities involved in information and intelligence sharing? 54

Section 3. Still a long road towards a shared language 57

CHAPTER 2

MUTUAL TRUST: A VARIABLE CONCEPT IN FACILITATING

CROSS-BORDER COOPERATION IN CRIMINAL MATTERS 62

<u>Section 1 - An unclear concept widely used to justify and foster cross-border cooperation in criminal matters</u>	64
I. <u>Providing contours to a concept essential to the development of more interdependent relations</u>	65
A. <u>Establishing a community of values and interests: the starting point in building up mutual trust</u>	67
B. <u>Reciprocity: a tool for building trusting relations and its distinct application in the EU and ASEAN</u>	69
C. <u>Building trust in order to reach confidence</u>	72
II. <u>A three-dimensional concept supporting other principles and mechanisms of cooperation</u>	73
A. <u>Mutual trust in the EU: a growing support to cooperation mechanisms with legal implications</u>	73
B. <u>Mutual trust in ASEAN: a vague concept without any legal dimension</u>	76
<u>Section 2 - Finding ways to build up mutual trust: tailored-made approaches for the different regions</u>	78
I. <u>Seeking grounds supporting and accompanying mutual trust: diverging approaches</u>	78
A. <u>Approximation of legislations: an essential step towards mutual trust or a way to avoid resorting to trust?</u>	79
1. <u>Strengthening the legal dimension of mutual trust through the approximation of EU national legislations</u>	80
2. <u>The absence of approximation of ASEAN national legislations: an avenue worth exploring?</u>	83
B. <u>Reaching regional human rights standards: another essential step in building up mutual trust or a way to consolidate it?</u>	85
1. <u>Looking at the fundamentals of the EU understanding of mutual trust: common human rights standards</u>	85
2. <u>Looking for regional human rights standards in ASEAN: a slow and difficult political endeavour unrelated to the building up of mutual trust?</u>	90
II. <u>Tailoring regional methods to build up mutual trust: ways to bolster cooperation</u>	91
A. <u>A trend towards an institutionalisation and ‘legal’ accompaniment of mutual trust in the EU: aiming for legal expectations?</u>	92
B. <u>Avoiding any legal expectation: a more flexible understanding of mutual trust in ASEAN</u>	93
C. <u>Drawing a grid of analysis for regional studies: verifying of a hypothesis</u>	96
<u>Section 3 - Mutual trust: a three-dimensional and adaptable principle as a means or driving force behind cross-border cooperation</u>	98

CHAPTER 3
REGIONAL INTEGRATION:

TAILORING ONE'S INSTITUTIONAL AND LEGAL ARCHITECTURE 100

**Section 1 - Regional institutional and legal designs:
what are States getting themselves into** 101

- I. The different forms of collective engagements: between challenges to be overcome and opportunity to enhance States' response to transnational issues 101
 - A. Regional integration and other transnational interactive designs 102
 - 1. What does it mean to engage in a regional integration project? 102
 - 2. Integration and its relation with other forms of joint activities 103
 - B. National sovereignty: the main challenge to regional integration 104
- II. Forging a regional identity: the basis for a more in-depth regional integration? 110

Section 2 - All roads do not necessarily lead to the same regional institutional and legal architecture 114

- I. The rising of the ASEAN institutional framework: proof that different regional integration approaches are possible 114
 - A. The slow emergence of a will to develop a Southeast Asian regional architecture 115
 - B. An ASEAN architecture sparingly adopting a rules-based approach? 116
 - 1. The establishment of the 'ASEAN Way': an historically-based tool of regional governance 117
 - 2. Towards a legal intrusion into the 'ASEAN Way': the establishment of the ASEAN Community 119
 - 3. The adoption of the *ASEAN Charter*: a decisive step towards a rules-based approach? 121
- II. Intergovernmental design v. supranational design: a distinction only seemingly clear 122
 - A. Finding common ground in different forms of international organisations: a basis for the development of regional organisations 122
 - B. The emergence of a legal personality: has it made changes to the regional organisation and its members? 125
 - 1. The establishment of a permanent organisation, with lawful objects and equipped with administrative and/or policy-making organs 126
 - 2. The distribution of competences and exercise of legal powers within each region 127
 - a. A diverging distribution of competences in the EU and ASEAN 127
 - b. Different regional organisational structures 128
 - c. The existence of control over the regional institutions' and its Member States' actions 134
 - d. The privileges and immunities of the regional organisations within their own 'territory' 134
 - 3. The existence of legal powers exercisable by the two regional organisations on the international scene 135
 - C. What may be drawn from this comparison? 136

<u>Section 3 – ‘Chicken and the egg’ dilemma: the symbiotic relationship between regional integration and mutual trust</u>	138
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

CHAPTER 4

<u>THE SYMBIOTIC RELATIONS BETWEEN REGIONAL COUNTER-TERRORISM ARSENALS & MUTUAL TRUST: THE DIFFERENT OUTCOMES OF THREE-TIER VERTICAL NORMATIVE DIALOGUES</u>	141
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

<u>Section 1 – Regional legal orders in counterterrorism: what normative value do they bring to the international normative panorama?</u>	144
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

I. <u>Agreeing on a shared objective and ways to reach it: the foundation of mutual trust?</u>	145
A. <u>Criminalising terrorist offences: a more superior top-down influence in ASEAN than in the EU</u>	145
1. The complicated inception of international antiterrorism norms	146
2. A Southeast Asian counterterrorism legal architecture following the UN impulses	148
3. A more autonomous and in-depth EU counterterrorism legal framework	150
B. <u>Criminalising transnational crime: between strong top-down influence in ASEAN and cross-fertilisation in the EU</u>	153
II. <u>The adoption of regional human rights standards: influenced by or influencing international norms?</u>	155
A. <u>Variable protection of human rights in the process of building up mutual trust in criminal matters</u>	155
1. A large international umbrella for the protection of human rights	155
2. A powerless regional human rights legal architecture in Southeast Asia	157
3. ... in contrast with a binding human rights protection system essential in building up mutual trust and enhancing cooperation within the EU	162
B. <u>Serious challenges for the right to privacy in a world where having data is everything</u>	165
1. A vague international guidance for privacy issues	165
2. Reinforcing mutual trust through the establishment of equivalent data protection standards within the EU	166
3. Intraregional cooperation without guaranteeing the rights at risk: an issue for mutual trust in ASEAN?	169

<u>Section 2 – Regional legal orders in counterterrorism: Tailored by and for their respective Member States</u>	170
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

I. <u>Reaching a comprehensive counterterrorism regional legal framework: a fruitful vertical dialogue between the EU and its Member States</u>	171
A. <u>Reaching a harmonised regional counterterrorism legal framework through a fruitful vertical dialogue between national and EU norms</u>	172
1. Primary EU legislation going deeper into the requirement of approximation regarding criminal matters	172

2.	The gradual regionalisation of the criminalisation of terrorist offences	175
a.	Council Framework Decision 2002/475/JHA: the cornerstone of the fight against terrorism	175
b.	Council Framework Decision 2008/919/JHA: criminalising inchoate terrorist offences	178
c.	Directive 2017/541: consolidating the intergovernmental framework decisions and responding to new challenges	179
B.	<u>Regional human rights standards in criminal matters:</u> <u>a long-awaited step towards building up more effective mutual trust</u>	180
1.	The insertion of human rights protection into the EU ‘constitutional’ architecture: a strong influence of Member States	181
2.	The place of human rights in the anti-terrorism texts	184
3.	What better protection than a <i>lex specialis</i> for human rights to be applied during criminal proceedings?.....	185
C.	<u>The EU: an effective legislator and controller of the implementation</u> <u>of the regional instruments</u>	186
II.	<u>Making an ASEAN comprehensive counterterrorism legal order rise</u> <u>out of diversity? Looking for a common ground</u>	187
A.	<u>The regional level in Southeast Asia:</u> <u>A forum without top-down influence and added value</u>	188
1.	Regional approximation of anti-terrorism norms: a shy statement of political willingness from ASEAN Member States	188
2.	A clear absence of legal and political willingness to regionalise human rights standards	191
B.	<u>Diversity prevailing among ASEAN Member States’ legislations:</u> <u>making approximation utopic?</u>	193
1.	Overcoming discrepancies between national anti-terrorism norms: a feasible task?	193
2.	Fundamental discrepancies between ASEAN Member States’ approach to human rights making unlikely an approximation of legislations in a near future	200
	<u>Section 3 – The results of vertical influences over the construct of regional</u> <u>legal frameworks: a fostering of mutual trust facilitating cooperation?</u>	206

CHAPTER 5

SHARING DATA WITH PEERS FROM THE SAME REGION:

A MATTER OF MUTUAL TRUST

Section 1 – A correlation between the different dimensions of mutual trust **and the different forms of horizontal cross-border cooperation**

I.	<u>Formal strategic relations and informal operational horizontal cross-</u> <u>border cooperation reflecting the social dimension of mutual trust</u>	211
A.	<u>A (too) loose worldwide legal umbrella for cross-border cooperation</u>	212
B.	<u>Interpol: the main worldwide platform for operational cooperation</u>	214
C.	<u>A combination of cooperation activities to build up mutual trust</u>	217

II. <u>Regional cooperation mechanisms fostered by and/or vectors of mutual trust: two different methods and level of engagements</u>	217
A. <u>Looking for more efficiency in intra-EU cooperation: the operationalization of the three-dimensional mutual trust</u>	218
1. Pure intergovernmental cooperation: the first steps towards the development of mutual trust and data sharing	219
2. The progressive move towards a more integrated EU response vis-à-vis transnational criminal challenges	223
a. The development of judicial cooperation	223
b. The development of police cooperation	228
c. Developments pertaining both to judicial and police cooperation	229
3. The emergence and nourishment of a three-dimensional mutual trust	232
B. <u>A long way to go before a ‘legally effective’ intra-ASEAN cooperation: mutual trust in the making?</u>	232
1. A slow but progressive recognition of the added value of a regional cooperative response against terrorism in a context of tensions and significant differences	233
a. Recognising the need for cross-border cooperation against transnational crime leading to strategic regional channels of discussions and operational sub-regional arrangements	234
b. The first steps towards ASEAN-wide cooperation in preventing and countering terrorism: between strategic and operational responses	236
2. The weak added value of regional norms in fostering horizontal data sharing	237
a. The ASEAN Treaty on Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters: a first legal stone for horizontal cooperation?	238
b. The ASEAN Convention on Counter Terrorism: a sectorial instrument without any precise added value for cooperation	240

Section 2 – The introduction of multilateral platforms for cooperation and regional actors: nourishing the virtuous circle ‘mutual trust/data sharing’

I. <u>International actors and their strategic and operational actions: what foundations for mutual trust?</u>	243
A. <u>Informal and/or strategic cooperation fostered by the UN bodies’ activities</u>	244
B. <u>Formal and informal and/or operational cooperation through Interpol’s action</u>	246
II. <u>The emergence of regional platforms and actors: step, support and/or result of the building up of mutual trust?</u>	248
A. <u>The integration of key European regional actors and channels of communication into the virtuous circle mutual trust/cooperation</u>	248
1. From platforms of discussions conducive of cooperation... ..	249
2. ... to regional actors entrusted and trust-conductor facilitating the flow of data	251
a. Agencies and bodies competent in law enforcement cooperation: the clear demonstration of the virtuous circle’s outcome	252
b. Agencies and bodies competent in judicial cooperation: two different outcomes of the virtuous circle	255

c.	Interagency collaboration against terrorism: a crucial demonstration of deepening of mutual trust within the EU	257
B.	<u>A socialisation of competent national authorities within ASEAN through regional platforms for cooperation and regional actors</u>	258
1.	The development of regional and trans-regional platforms for strategic rather than operational cooperation	259
a.	ASEAN: an umbrella for collaboration between its Member States	259
b.	Building competent national authorities' capacities via trans-ASEAN platforms	261
2.	The emergence of an ASEAN regional 'actor': what added value from Aseanapol?	262
a.	The birth of an ASEAN body with a broad and unclear competence but short arms	262
b.	Aseanapol: a Southeast Asian Europol?	263

**Section 3 – Mutual trust and data sharing nourishing and building each other:
an endless virtuous circle** 267

CHAPTER 6

**FINDING A PATH THROUGH MULTIHEADED INTERREGIONAL
RELATIONS IN COUNTERTERRORISM: WHAT PLACE
FOR MUTUAL TRUST IN THE EU-ASEAN DIALOGUE?** 270

**Section 1 – Designing the 'public face' of the regional organisations
on the basis of their 'internal' features** 273

I.	<u>The EU and ASEAN 'public faces' determined and/or determining by their respective external action in counterterrorism</u>	273
A.	<u>Interregional relations determined by the 'actorness' of the main actors</u>	273
B.	<u>The internal rules determining the 'public face' of the EU and ASEAN on counterterrorism</u>	275
1.	The external dimension of the EU's counterterrorism policy: a legalised approach	275
a.	The EU complex internal features reflected on its external arrangements	275
b.	The externalisation of the EU's understanding of mutual trust: between theory and practice	279
2.	The ASEAN's counterterrorism policy: a localisation rather than an externalisation	282
a.	A complex internal structure reflected in the ASEAN actorness: all members' hands on deck	282
b.	ASEAN external interface: an importer rather than an exporter	285
II.	<u>The EU and ASEAN actorness on the international scene: determining factors governing interregional relations</u>	288
A.	<u>The progressive recognition of regional organisations on the international scene</u>	288
1.	The EU: a <i>sui generis</i> international actor?	289
2.	ASEAN: a simple funnel of its members' common position?	291
B.	<u>Adopting the 'terms and conditions' of the EU-ASEAN dialogue:</u>	

<u>a first step towards the operationalization of their respective actorness</u>	294
1. Interregionalism: a form of operationalization of the EU and ASEAN international actorness?	294
2. Defining the contours of the EU-ASEAN interregional relations	295
<u>Section 2 – A multiheaded and multimorph interregional dialogue: a complementary relation between the EU and ASEAN</u>	297
I. <u>Interregionalism: creating a new constructive path through international relations</u>	298
A. A confrontation between the EU’s external policies and the reluctances of ASEAN vis-à-vis external influences: a difficult process of localisation	298
B. The effect of the EU’s action on the development of the ASEAN actorness in counterterrorism	301
1. The EU’s flexible approach towards ASEAN: its recognition as an actor and a regional legal model at the end of the road	301
2. The EU’s agenda in supporting ASEAN’s regional integration and response against non-traditional security issues	303
II. <u>Hope for an inter-organisational interregional relation?</u>	306
A. Establishing and enhancing EU-ASEAN relations through a slow and partial development of mutual trust at the governmental level	307
B. The development of EU-ASEAN interregional cooperation in counterterrorism: two-levels tracks for a two-fold approach	310
1. Diplomatic and strategic interregional relations: establishing ground for mutual trust	310
2. Unforeseeable operational cooperation under the current level of mutual trust between the EU and ASEAN	313
<u>Section 3: Asymmetric interregional relations based on the EU and ASEAN respective actorness</u>	317
<u>CONCLUDING CHAPTER</u>	319
<u>Section 1: Mutual trust: the three-dimensional principle that holds all relationships (Summary of the dissertation)</u>	319
I. <u>Defining the terminological and conceptual framework: a premise for in-depth discussions on transnational cooperation</u>	319
A. <u>Un-existing, unclear and/or debated terminology</u>	319
B. <u>Mutual trust: a three-dimensional yardstick</u>	320
II. <u>Comparative regionalism: putting the analytical matrix to the test</u>	320
A. <u>First step: the respective regional architectures</u>	320
B. <u>Second step: the two-fold action against terrorism</u>	322
1. The criminalisation of terrorist offences	322
2. The development of cross-border cooperation to eliminate any safe haven to terrorists	323

III. <u>Interregionalism: the challenge of building mutual trust on top of two different regional organisations</u>	325
<u>Section 2 - Opening an avenue for further legal research in comparative regionalism and interregionalism</u>	326
I. <u>Final assessment</u>	326
II. <u>The way forward?</u>	329
<u>Appendix</u>	332
<u>List of tables and figures</u>	364
<u>Table of Legislation</u>	I
<u>Table of Cases</u>	XXXIX
<u>Bibliography</u>	XLIII