

CONTENTS

DECLARATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
PUBLICATIONS	v
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF TABLES	xv
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	xvi
CHAPTERS	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Reduced-order modeling in turbulent combustion	2
1.2.1 Problem statement	2
1.2.2 Methods of reduction of kinetic models	3
1.2.2.1 Sensitivity analysis	3
1.2.2.2 QSSA	4
1.2.2.3 ILDM	5
1.2.2.4 CSP	6
1.2.2.5 RCCE	7
1.2.3 Flamelet Generated Manifold and Flamelet Prolongation of ILDM	8
1.2.3.1 Flamelet Progress Variable	10
1.2.4 Low-dimensional manifolds	11
1.3 Principal component analysis	12
1.3.1 PC-score approach	13
1.4 Regression Models	14
1.4.1 Gaussian Process Regression	15
1.5 Methodology	16
2. PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS COUPLED WITH NONLINEAR REGRESSION FOR CHEMISTRY REDUCTION	18
2.1 Introduction	18
2.2 Local regression	19
2.2.1 Effect of conditioning on the regression accuracy	19
2.3 Perfectly stirred reactor and test cases	21
2.4 Results and discussion	23
2.4.1 Scaling	23
2.4.2 Standard PC-score approach vs PC-score with Gaussian Process Re- gression	25

2.4.2.1	Methane case	25
2.4.2.2	Propane case - Polimi mechanism	25
2.4.2.3	Propane case - San Diego mechanism	28
2.4.3	Reconstruction accuracy for transient simulations	31
2.5	Conclusion	37
3.	COMBUSTION MODELING USING PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS: A POSTERIORI VALIDATION ON SANDIA FLAMES D, E AND F	38
3.1	Introduction	38
3.2	PC-score approach	39
3.3	Training data and model generation	40
3.3.1	Experimental configuration	40
3.3.2	Reference data set	42
3.3.3	Determination of the PCA basis	42
3.4	Elemental mass analysis	43
3.5	Numerical setup	46
3.6	Results and discussion	49
3.6.1	Instantaneous and mean flow field structure	49
3.6.2	Results for flame D	50
3.6.2.1	Full set vs reduced set	50
3.6.2.2	Sensitivity to kinetics and subgrid closure	54
3.6.3	Results for flame E	60
3.6.4	Results for flame F	63
3.6.5	Extinction and reignition quantification	69
3.6.6	Comparison with the FPV model	74
3.7	Conclusion	78
4.	PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS BASED COMBUSTION MODEL IN THE CONTEXT OF A LIFTED METHANE/AIR FLAME: SENSITIVITY TO THE MANIFOLD PARAMETERS AND SUBGRID CLOSURE	80
4.1	Introduction	80
4.2	Experimental configuration and training data set	81
4.2.1	The vitiated coflow burner	81
4.2.2	Reference data sets	82
4.3	Computational setup	84
4.4	Canonical reactor and scaling method	86
4.4.1	LES results - CFLF vs PSR	87
4.4.2	LES results - Influence of the PCA scaling method	89
4.5	Kernel density weighted PCA	90
4.5.1	Application	93
4.5.2	LES results - Kernel density weighted PCA	96
4.5.2.1	Instantaneous and mean flow field structure	96
4.5.2.2	Statistical flow field results	96
4.6	Subgrid scale closure	98
4.6.1	LES results - SGS closure	101

4.6.1.1	Effect of the SGS closure model	101
4.6.1.2	Effect of the PCA scaling method	103
4.6.1.3	Effect of the variables in the PCA basis	103
4.7	Comparison between the CFLF and PSR data sets	106
4.7.1	Autoignition vs premixed flame propagation	106
4.7.2	Scatter plots	111
4.7.3	Summary	112
4.8	Comparison with the FPV model	112
4.9	Conclusion	115
5.	CONCLUSION	118
	REFERENCES	125