

---

---

## **Contents**

---

<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>XI</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>XXI</b>
<b>Nomenclature</b>	<b>XXIII</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Motivation and objectives . . . . .	1
1.2 State of the art in ABL turbulence modelling . . . . .	3
1.3 State of the art in ABL dispersion modelling . . . . .	6
1.4 Structure of the thesis . . . . .	8
1.5 Software employed . . . . .	9
<b>2 CFD turbulence modelling</b>	<b>11</b>

## Contents

---

2.1 Governing equations for RANS . . . . .	13
2.1.1 Transport equations and closure problem . . . . .	14
2.1.2 The standard $k - \epsilon$ turbulence model in ABL . . . . .	15
2.1.3 Wall treatment . . . . .	19
2.1.4 Disturbed flow-field: definition of a Building Influence Area .	23
2.2 Governing equations for LES . . . . .	34
2.3 Governing equations for DES . . . . .	36
2.4 Influence of stability classes . . . . .	38
2.4.1 General turbulence Model . . . . .	42
2.4.2 Turbulence in homogeneous ABL . . . . .	43
2.4.3 Inlet boundary conditions . . . . .	44
<b>3 CFD dispersion modelling</b>	<b>47</b>
3.1 Governing equations . . . . .	56
3.2 Uncertainty Quantification . . . . .	60
3.3 Feature-extraction using principal component analysis . . . . .	63
<b>4 Modelling flow field in wind tunnel and real-scale applications</b>	<b>69</b>
4.1 Neutral atmospheric boundary layer . . . . .	70
4.1.1 Empty fetch at full scale . . . . .	70
4.1.2 Flow around a ground-mounted building - Cedval A1-1 . .	73
4.1.3 Flow around an array of obstacles - Cedval B1-1 . . . . .	95
4.1.4 Single high-rise building - Case B . . . . .	103
4.1.5 Shinjuku district - Case F . . . . .	109
4.2 Non-neutral atmospheric boundary layer . . . . .	118

4.2.1 Simplified 3D hill . . . . .	118
<b>5 Dispersion in simplified ABL test cases</b>	<b>131</b>
5.1 Cedval A1-5 Single Building . . . . .	134
5.1.1 Uncertainty Quantification study for the dispersion parameters . . . . .	135
5.1.2 Data from high-fidelity dDES . . . . .	139
5.1.3 Correlation study and feature-extraction . . . . .	143
5.1.4 Model calibration based on empirical relations . . . . .	147
5.1.5 Derivation of a generalized $S_{ct}$ formulation with MG-PCA . .	151
5.1.6 Results for the A1-5 Single Building test case . . . . .	155
5.2 Cedval B1-1 Array of Buildings . . . . .	167
5.3 Isolated cubic building . . . . .	174
5.4 CODASC Empty street canyon . . . . .	177
<b>6 Conclusions and perspectives</b>	<b>181</b>
6.1 Conclusions for ABL turbulence modelling . . . . .	181
6.2 Conclusions for ABL dispersion modelling . . . . .	183
6.3 Future perspective . . . . .	184
<b>Appendices</b>	<b>185</b>
<b>A Impact of urban environment on Savonius wind turbine performance</b>	<b>187</b>
A.1 Urban Modelling Guidelines . . . . .	189
A.1.1 Grid and GCI analysis . . . . .	191
A.2 Flow pattern around and over the target building . . . . .	193

## Contents

---

A.2.1 Methods and Algorithms . . . . .	193
A.2.2 Base-Case Results . . . . .	193
A.2.3 Sensitivity Analysis . . . . .	196
A.2.4 Sampling for Turbine Simulations . . . . .	198
A.3 Turbine Analysis . . . . .	201
A.3.1 Mesh and Turbulence Modelling . . . . .	201
A.3.2 Results . . . . .	203
<b>B Prediction and mitigation of traffic-related air pollution hotspots</b>	<b>209</b>
B.1 Available local mitigation measures . . . . .	210
B.2 Turnhoutsebaan: measurements and modelled site . . . . .	212
B.2.1 Selected meteorological conditions . . . . .	212
B.2.2 Traffic data and pollutant emissions . . . . .	212
B.2.3 Estimation of the pollutant background concentration . . . . .	215
B.2.4 Wind measurements in the domain of interest . . . . .	217
B.2.5 PM <sub>10</sub> concentration measurements in the domain of interest	219
B.2.6 Computational domain, settings and grid . . . . .	220
B.3 Results and discussion . . . . .	224
B.3.1 Analysis of flow field, pollutant level and distribution . . . . .	224
B.3.2 Investigated mitigation measures . . . . .	230
<b>C Wind comfort study for the Grand Place</b>	<b>243</b>
C.1 Area and geometry of the case study . . . . .	243
C.2 Computational domain, grid and settings . . . . .	246
C.3 Results for the velocity field . . . . .	248

<b>D Comparison of outcomes: Fluent and OpenFOAM</b>	<b>255</b>
<b>List of Publications</b>	<b>261</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>263</b>