

## CONTENTS

---

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1.1</b>	The permafrost and the carbon cycle . . . . .	4
<b>1.1.1</b>	The terrestrial and coastal permafrost . . . . .	4
<b>1.1.2</b>	The subsea permafrost . . . . .	6
<b>1.2</b>	Current knowledge about the subsea permafrost . . . . .	8
<b>1.2.1</b>	The subsea permafrost: extension, degradation processes and thawing regime . . . . .	9
<b>1.2.2</b>	SSPF Taliks . . . . .	13
<b>1.3</b>	Methane in the Arctic shelves . . . . .	14
<b>1.3.1</b>	Methane as GHG: the global budget . . . . .	14
<b>1.3.2</b>	Methane biogeochemistry . . . . .	15
<b>1.3.3</b>	Current knowledge of methane in the Arctic Shelves: subsea permafrost, hydrates and the role of AOM	21
<b>1.4</b>	Research objectives and dissertation outline . . . . .	31
<b>1.4.1</b>	<i>Chapter 2. The subsea permafrost in a box: laboratory experiments, modeling results and comparison with the Stefan's problem</i> . . . . .	31
<b>1.4.2</b>	<i>Chapter 3. The subsea permafrost enters an Earth System Model: the case of JSBACH</i> . . . . .	32
<b>1.4.3</b>	<i>Chapter 4. Assessing the potential for non-turbulent methane escape from the East Siberian Arctic Shelf: the employment of BRNS</i> . . . . .	32
<b>2</b>	<b>THE SUBSEA PERMAFROST IN A BOX: LABORATORY EXPERIMENTS, MODELING RESULTS AND COMPARISON WITH THE STEFAN'S PROBLEM</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>2.1</b>	Introduction . . . . .	35
<b>2.2</b>	Theoretical approach . . . . .	39
<b>2.2.1</b>	The Stefan's problem: a moving boundary problem . . . . .	39
<b>2.2.2</b>	Stefan's problem and submergence of permafrost	48
<b>2.2.3</b>	Diffusion <i>vs.</i> convection . . . . .	49
<b>2.3</b>	Experiment design . . . . .	49
<b>2.3.1</b>	Pure ice and salty warm water . . . . .	50
<b>2.3.2</b>	Frozen sand and salty warm water . . . . .	51
<b>2.4</b>	Numerical model . . . . .	53
<b>2.4.1</b>	Turbulent motion: the multiplying factor $KT$ . . . . .	54
<b>2.4.2</b>	The top boundary condition at the water-air interface . . . . .	55
<b>2.5</b>	Results and discussion . . . . .	56
<b>2.5.1</b>	Pure ice and salty warm water . . . . .	56
<b>2.5.2</b>	Frozen sand and salty warm water . . . . .	63
<b>2.5.3</b>	Comparison of thawing rate trends . . . . .	65

## CONTENTS

2.6	Conclusions . . . . .	66
3	THE SUBSEA PERMAFROST ENTERS AN EARTH SYSTEM MODEL: THE CASE OF JSBACH	71
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	71
3.2	Methods . . . . .	78
3.2.1	JSBACH setup . . . . .	78
3.2.2	Modeling strategy . . . . .	85
3.2.3	Limitations of the model . . . . .	89
3.3	Results . . . . .	91
3.3.1	Spin-up phase . . . . .	91
3.3.2	Movement of Permafrost Table . . . . .	93
3.3.3	Temperature state and ice content . . . . .	100
3.3.4	Taliks . . . . .	109
3.3.5	Exploring JSBACH sensitivity to bottom water temperature, phase change temperature, geothermal heat flux and temporal trend . . . . .	114
3.4	Conclusions and outlook . . . . .	126
4	ASSESSING THE POTENTIAL FOR NON-TURBULENT METHANE ESCAPE FROM THE EAST SIBERIAN ARCTIC SHELF: THE EMPLOYMENT OF BRNS	131
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	131
4.2	Methods . . . . .	135
4.2.1	BRNS: Reaction-transport model . . . . .	135
4.2.2	Model evaluation . . . . .	144
4.2.3	Modeling strategy . . . . .	145
4.3	Results and discussion . . . . .	150
4.3.1	Case studies . . . . .	150
4.3.2	Main physical and biogeochemical controls on potential non-turbulent methane flux from ESAS sediments . . . . .	152
4.3.3	Methane efflux dynamics in response to seasonal and long term environmental variability .	174
4.3.4	Relations between Anaerobic Oxidation of Methane and Sulfate Reduction . . . . .	183
4.4	Conclusions . . . . .	186
5	CONCLUSIONS	189
5.1	The SSPF in a box . . . . .	190
5.2	The SSPF enters an ESM . . . . .	191
5.3	Non-turbulent methane escape from the ESAS . . . . .	193
5.4	Outlook . . . . .	196
	Appendix	199
A	APPENDIX TO CHAPTER 2	201
A.1	Derivation of Neumann similarity solution for the Stefan's problem . . . . .	201
A.2	Sensitivity study on $KT$ and $h$ . . . . .	202

## CONTENTS

<b>B APPENDIX TO CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>205</b>
<b>B.1 Example of temperature and ice content evolution for another simulation of the set <math>A</math></b>	<b>205</b>
<b>B.2 Results of the simulation in which the freezing temperature differs from the thawing temperature.</b>	<b>206</b>
<b>C APPENDIX TO CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>207</b>
<b>C.1 AOM efficiency <math>\eta</math></b>	<b>207</b>
<b>C.2 Damköhler number</b>	<b>207</b>
<b>C.3 2-level factorial sensitivity analysis</b>	<b>208</b>
<b>C.4 Biogeochemical network: tables</b>	<b>212</b>
<b>C.5 Model validations</b>	<b>218</b>
<b>C.5.1 Sediment core offshore Vesterålen Archipelago</b>	<b>218</b>
<b>C.5.2 Sediment core on Laptev Sea shelf</b>	<b>219</b>
<b>C.6 Sensitivity study: Tables</b>	<b>220</b>
<b>C.6.1 Sensitivity study: Figures</b>	<b>228</b>
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	<b>235</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES

---

Figure 1.1	Bathymetric Chart of the Arctic Ocean . . . . .	2
Figure 1.2	Example of terrestrial and subsea permafrost temperature profiles . . . . .	3
Figure 1.3	Map of permafrost in the Northern Hemisphere	5
Figure 1.4	Global CH <sub>4</sub> budget . . . . .	14
Figure 1.5	Vertical zonation of species in sediment pore-water . . . . .	17
Figure 1.6	CH <sub>4</sub> and C fluxes at the continental slope . . .	21
Figure 1.7	Gas hydrates . . . . .	23
Figure 1.8	CH <sub>4</sub> concentration in a subsea permafrost profile	26
Figure 1.9	Bubble emission and ice scour . . . . .	28
Figure 1.10	Sediment areas and regions relevant for CH <sub>4</sub> .	29
Figure 2.1	SSPF phase boundary . . . . .	37
Figure 2.2	1-D Stefan's problem . . . . .	42
Figure 2.3	Phase diagram of the saline solution . . . . .	45
Figure 2.4	<i>Ice-water</i> experiment . . . . .	50
Figure 2.5	<i>Frozen sand-water</i> experiment . . . . .	52
Figure 2.6	Ice melting: model and data . . . . .	57
Figure 2.7	Ice melting: comparison to fits and Stefan's solution . . . . .	58
Figure 2.8	$\Lambda$ vs $T_L$ . . . . .	59
Figure 2.9	Water temperature vs time . . . . .	59
Figure 2.10	Evolution of the temperature profile . . . . .	62
Figure 2.11	Frozen sand thawing: model and data . . . . .	64
Figure 2.12	Frozen sand thawing: comparison with fits and Stefan's solution . . . . .	64
Figure 2.13	Thawing rates of the SSPF and of the laboratory experiments . . . . .	67
Figure 3.1	SSPF temperature and "wafer-like" talik . . .	73
Figure 3.2	PF and SSPF reconstruction with a geoelectric technique . . . . .	74
Figure 3.3	Modeled and measured SSPF extent in the Siberian Shelf . . . . .	76
Figure 3.4	JSBACH submersion and sediment scheme . .	83
Figure 3.5	Modeled and measured temperature profiles of terrestrial PF . . . . .	91
Figure 3.6	Modeled ice content profile of terrestrial PF . .	92
Figure 3.7	Degradation of SSPF from above . . . . .	94
Figure 3.8	Movement of the SSPF table relative to SWI(0)	95
Figure 3.9	Results for simulation 1 of set A . . . . .	98
Figure 3.10	Analysis of thawing rate for set A . . . . .	99

Figure 3.11	Evolution of September temperature for run 1 of set A . . . . .	101
Figure 3.12	Ice content time evolution for set A . . . . .	104
Figure 3.13	Results of Angelopoulos et al., 2019 . . . . .	106
Figure 3.14	Comparison of modeled and measured SSPF temperature profile in Buor Khaya Bay . . . . .	107
Figure 3.15	Comparison of modeled and measured SSPF temperature profile close to Cape Mamontov Klyk . . . . .	108
Figure 3.16	Modeled taliks . . . . .	110
Figure 3.17	Seasonal temperature and ice evolution . . . . .	113
Figure 3.18	SSPF table and ice content for simulations B .	115
Figure 3.19	Thawing rate analysis for simulations of set B	116
Figure 3.20	Results of run C.ii . . . . .	117
Figure 3.21	Comparison for runs C.i and runs D . . . . .	121
Figure 3.22	SSPF and ice content in simulations with geother- mal heat flux . . . . .	122
Figure 3.23	September temperature evolution for simula- tions with geothermal heat flux . . . . .	123
Figure 3.24	Main results of the run F . . . . .	125
Figure 3.25	Yearly average temperature for run F . . . . .	126
Figure 4.1	Forcing of CH <sub>4</sub> and SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> in transient runs .	149
Figure 4.2	Measured and modeled concentration profile for the case study offshore the Vesterålen . . .	151
Figure 4.3	Measured and modeled concentration profile for the case study in the Laptev Sea . . . . .	152
Figure 4.4	$\eta$ and CH <sub>4</sub> effluxes vs. SMTZ . . . . .	153
Figure 4.5	CH <sub>4</sub> at the SMTZ vs. $\omega$ . . . . .	154
Figure 4.6	AOM and MG Damköhler numbers . . . . .	155
Figure 4.7	CH <sub>4</sub> efflux vs. $\omega$ and $v_{up}$ . . . . .	156
Figure 4.8	Log-log functional relationships . . . . .	157
Figure 4.9	Porewater profiles for $\omega = 1.5 \text{ cm yr}^{-1}$ . .	158
Figure 4.10	Contribution of transport processes to CH <sub>4</sub> efflux	160
Figure 4.11	CH <sub>4</sub> efflux vs. $a$ and $\omega$ . . . . .	161
Figure 4.12	OM investigation . . . . .	162
Figure 4.13	CH <sub>4</sub> flux vs. $\alpha_0$ . . . . .	163
Figure 4.14	CH <sub>4</sub> efflux vs. $\alpha_0$ , $v_{up}$ and $\omega$ . . . . .	164
Figure 4.15	Sensitivity to $k_{AOM}$ . . . . .	166
Figure 4.16	Two-level factorial sensitivity study . . . . .	167
Figure 4.17	3-D Kriging for $\omega$ and CH <sub>4</sub> efflux in the Laptev Sea . . . . .	172
Figure 4.18	CH <sub>4</sub> efflux for transient runs . . . . .	175
Figure 4.19	SMTZ movement for transient runs . . . . .	177
Figure 4.20	Time evolution for transient run with $v_{up} = 1$ cm yr <sup>-1</sup> . . . . .	178

Figure 4.21	$\text{CH}_4$ efflux over time for transient run with $v_{up} = 5 \text{ cm yr}^{-1}$ . . . . .	180
Figure 4.22	Example of $\text{CH}_4$ and $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ vertical profile and apparent $k_{AOM}$ at the end of an active transient run . . . . .	181
Figure 4.23	Example of vertical profile of $F_T$ , $F_K$ , $F_{Tot}$ and AOM rate at the end of a transient run . . . . .	182
Figure 4.24	$\text{CH}_4$ and $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ fluxes at the SMTZ . . . . .	184
Figure 4.25	$\text{CH}_4$ and $\text{CH}_4$ flux at SMTZ. Comparison with Egger et al., 2018 . . . . .	185
Figure 4.26	Analysis of the $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ to $\text{CH}_4$ relationship . . . . .	186
Figure A.1	Sensitivity study on $h$ and $KT$ . . . . .	203
Figure B.1	Temperature and ice evolution for simulation 4, set A. . . . .	205
Figure B.2	Simulation in which $T_f \neq T_m$ . Unphysical . . . . .	206
Figure C.1	Typical $\text{CH}_4$ -affected sediment profile . . . . .	207
Figure C.2	Pictorial representation of a two-level factorial design . . . . .	209
Figure C.3	Normal probability plot concepts . . . . .	210
Figure C.4	Results for the baseline simulations at steady state . . . . .	228
Figure C.5	$\eta$ vs. $\omega$ . . . . .	229
Figure C.6	$\text{CH}_4$ effluxes vs. $a$ : barplot . . . . .	229
Figure C.7	$\text{CH}_4$ effluxes vs. $a$ : scatter plot . . . . .	230
Figure C.8	SMTZ vs. $a$ and vs. OM . . . . .	230
Figure C.9	Effect of changing $k_{AOM}$ on vertical profiles . . . . .	231
Figure C.10	Relationships at the SMTZ . . . . .	231
Figure C.11	Further results of transient runs . . . . .	232
Figure C.12	Integrated AOM vs. bottom $\text{CH}_4$ concentration	233

## LIST OF TABLES

---

Table 2.1	Initial conditions of the two experiments . . . . .	49
Table 3.1	Differences between JSBACH and CryoGRID2 (Angelopoulos et al., 2019) . . . . .	105
Table 4.1	Model parameters changed in the OAT sensitivity studies. Reported values are for the baseline simulations. . . . .	146
Table 4.2	Model parameters changed in the OAT and AAT sensitivity studies. Reported values are the minimum and maximum value for the 2-level factorial sensitivity design. . . . .	148
Table 4.3	AOM Damköhler number vs. $\omega$ and $v_{up}$ . . . . .	157

Table 4.4	CH <sub>4</sub> efflux according to Laptev Sea depth regions	171
Table 4.5	Maximum of CH <sub>4</sub> efflux for transient runs . . . . .	174
Table C.1	Example of results of a 3-variable two-level factorial experiment . . . . .	209
Table C.2	The 6 inhibition factors for OM degradation . . . . .	212
Table C.3	The 6 primary redox reactions . . . . .	213
Table C.4	The secondary reactions . . . . .	214
Table C.6	Parameters and BC for the case study offshore the Versterålen . . . . .	218
Table C.7	Parameters and BC for the case study in the Laptev Sea . . . . .	219
Table C.8	Generic BRNS parameters . . . . .	220
Table C.10	Generic BRNS upper BC . . . . .	224
Table C.12	Sedimentation rates for the 3-D Kriging procedure . . . . .	226