

Bibliographie générale

- Adam, J.-M. (1978). La cohésion des séquences de propositions dans la macro-structure narrative. *Langue Française*, 38(1), 101–117. <https://doi.org/10.3406/lfr.1978.6122>
- Adam, J.-M. (1990). *Éléments de Linguistique Textuelle* (Philosophi). Lièges: P. Mardaga.
- Adam, J.-M. (2011). *La linguistique textuelle*. (A. Colin, Ed.) (Cursus Lin). Paris.
- Adam, J.-M. (2014). Texte et intra-texte : retour sur un rendez-vous manqué de l'analyse de discours et de la linguistique textuelle. *SHS Web of Conferences*, 8, 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/20140801395>
- Adam, J.-M. (2017). *Les Textes: types et prototypes* (Nathan uni). Paris: Nathan.
- Alamargot, D., Chanquoy, L., & Chuy, M. (2005). L'élaboration du contenu du texte: De la mémoire à long terme à l'environnement de la tâche. *Psychologie Française*, 50(3), 287–304. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psfr.2005.05.005>
- Alamargot, D., Chesnet, D., Dansac, C., & Ros, C. (2006). Eye and Pen : A new device for studying reading during writing, 38(2), 287–299.
- Alamargot, D., & Fayol, M. (2009). Modelling the development of written composition. *The SAGE Handbook of Writing Development*, (July), 23–47. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9780857021069.n3>
- Alamargot, D., Morin, M.-F., & Drijbooms, E. (2017). Planificateur ou formulateur ? Mise en évidence de deux stratégies de rédaction à partir d'un support imagé chez des élèves de CE1 et de CM2. *Pratiques*, 173–174.
- Alkhatib, M. (2012). La cohérence et la cohésion textuelles : problème linguistique ou pédagogique ?, 24, 45–64.
- Antón-Méndez, I. (2010). Gender Bender: Gender errors in L2 pronoun production. *Journal of Psycholinguistic Research*, 39(2), 119–139. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10936-009-9129-z>
- Atkinson, R. C., & Shiffrin, R. M. (1968). Human Memory: A proposed system and its control processes. *The Psychology of Learning and Motivation*, 2(5), 89–195. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2007.0030-1299.15674.x>
- Austin, J. L. (1991). *Quand dire, c'est faire : how to do things with words* (Éditions d). Paris: Editions du Seuil.
- Baccus, N. (2006). *Grammaire française*. (Librio, Ed.) (Librio Mem). Paris.
- Baddeley, A. D., & Hitch, G. (1974). Working Memory. In *Psychology of Learning and Motivation* (Vol. 8, pp. 47–89). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-7421\(08\)60452-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-7421(08)60452-1)
- Baltaxe, C. A. M., & D'Angiola, N. (1992). Cohesion in the discourse interaction of autistic, specifically language-impaired, and normal children. *Journal of Autism and Developmental*

Disorders, 22(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01046399>

- Barrouillet, P., Billard, C., De Agostini, M., Démonet, J.-F., Fayol, M., Gombert, J.-E., ... Valdois, S. (2007). *Dyslexie, dysorthographe, dyscalculie: bilan des données scientifiques*. (INSERM, Ed.). Retrieved from <https://hal-lara.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01570674>
- Bateman, J. A., & Rondhuis, K. J. (1997). Coherence relations: Towards a general specification. *Discourse Processes*, 24(1), 3–49. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01638539709545006>
- Beauvais, C., Olive, T., & Passerault, J. M. (2011). Why Are Some Texts Good and Others Not? Relationship Between Text Quality and Management of the Writing Processes. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 103(2), 415–428. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0022545>
- Bergeron, R. (2003). Relire son texte pour détecter et résoudre des problèmes de cohérence. Un défi de taille pour les scripteurs en apprentissage. *Québec Français*, (128), 55–57.
- Bianco, M. (2015). *Du langage oral à la compréhension de l'écrit* (Regards su). Saint-Martin-d'Hères Isère: PUG.
- Binamé, F., & Poncelet, M. (2011). Poster. Lexical and inflectional spelling abilities in French : Same or different ?, 4000.
- Bittner, D., & Gargarina, N. (2007). Intersentential Pronominal Reference in Child and Adult Language, (48).
- Blain, R. (1995). Discours, genres, types de textes, textes... De quoi me parlez-vous? *Québec Français*, 98(January), 22–25.
- Bosch, P., Rozario, T., & Zhao, Y. (2003). Demonstrative Pronouns and Personal Pronouns. German der vs. er. *Proceedings of the 2003 EACL Workshop on The Computational Treatment of Anaphora*.
- Bourdin, B., & Fayol, M. (2002). Even in adults, written production is still more costly than oral production. *International Journal of Psychology*, 37(4), 219–227. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207590244000070>
- Broc, L., Bernicot, J., Olive, T., Favart, M., Reilly, J., Quémart, P., ... Jaafari, N. (2014). Évaluation de L'orthographe des élèves dysphasiques en situation de narration communicative: Variations selon le type d'orthographe, lexicale versus morphologique. *Revue Européenne de Psychologie Appliquée/European Review of Applied Psychology*, 64(6), 307–321. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erap.2014.09.004>
- Bublitz, W. (1999). Introduction: views of coherence. In *Coherence in Spoken and Written Discourse* (Benjamins, pp. 1–7). Amsterdam. <https://doi.org/10.1075/pbns.63.03bub>
- Bublitz, W., & Lenk, U. (1999). Disturbed Coherence: “Fill me in.” In *Coherence in Spoken and Written Discourse* (p. 153). <https://doi.org/10.1075/pbns.63.12bub>
- Burt, J. S., & Tate, H. (2002). Does a reading lexicon provide orthographic representations for spelling? *Journal of Memory and Language*, 46(3), 518–543. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmla.2001.2818>
- Butt, D. G., Moore, A. R., Henderson-Brooks, C., Haliburn, J., & Meares, R. (2010). Dissociation,

Relatedness, and ‘Cohesive Harmony’: A linguistic measure of degrees of ‘fragmentation’? *Linguistics and the Human Sciences*, 3(3), 263–294. <https://doi.org/10.1558/lhs.v3i3.263>

Callens, M., Tops, W., & Brysbaert, M. (2012). Cognitive profile of students Who enter higher education with an indication of Dyslexia. *PLoS ONE*, 7(6). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0038081>

Callens, M., Tops, W., Stevens, M., & Brysbaert, M. (2014). An exploratory factor analysis of the cognitive functioning of first-year bachelor students with dyslexia. *Annals of Dyslexia*, 64(1), 91–119. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11881-013-0088-6>

Carbonneau, C., & Préfontaine, C. (2005). Enseigner et évaluer la cohérence textuelle. *Québec Français*, 138, 78–81.

Carrell, P. L. (1982). Cohesion Is Not coherence. *TESOL Quarterly*, 16(4), 479–488. Retrieved from https://www.jstor.org/stable/3586466?sid=primo&origin=crossref&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents

Cavanagh, M. (2006a). Un programme pour soutenir les élèves dans l’apprentissage de la cohérence textuelle. *Québ*, 141, 94–96. Retrieved from <https://id.erudit.org/iderudit/50250a>

Cavanagh, M. (2006b). Validation d’un programme d’intervention. *Revue Des Sciences de l’éducation*, 32(1), 159. <https://doi.org/10.7202/013481ar>

Chanquoy, L., Foulin, J. N., & Fayol, M. (1990). Temporal management of short text writing by children and adults. *Cahiers de Psychologie Cognitive*, 10(5), 513–540. Retrieved from <http://psycnet.apa.org/psycinfo/1991-12232-001>

Charolles, M. (1978). Introduction aux problèmes de la cohérence des textes : Approche théorique et étude des pratiques pédagogiques. *Langue Française*, 38(1), 7–41. Retrieved from http://www.persee.fr/web/revues/home/prescript/article/lfr_0023-8368_1978_num_38_1_6117

Charolles, M. (1988). Les plans d’organisation textuelle périodes, chaînes, portées et séquences. *Pratiques*, 57, 3–13.

Charolles, M. (1995). Cohésion , cohérence et pertinence du discours. *Travaux de Linguistique*, 125–151.

Charolles, M. (2005). Analyse de discours, grammaire de texte et approche grammaticale des faits de textualité. *Le Français Aujourd’hui*, 148(1), 33. <https://doi.org/10.3917/lfa.148.0033>

Charolles, M., Le Draoulec, A., Pery-Woodley, M.-P., & Sarda, L. (2005). Temporal and spatial dimensions of discourse organisation. *Journal of French Language Studies*, 15(2), 115–130. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0959269505002036>

Chesnet, D., & Alamargot, D. (2005). Analyse en temps réel des activités oculaires et graphomotrices du scripteur : intérêt du dispositif « Eye and Pen ». *L’année Psychologique*, 105(3), 477–520. <https://doi.org/10.3406/psy.2005.29706>

Chetail, F., Porteus, F., Patout, P.-A., Content, A., & Colette, E. (n.d.). BOQS: Une échelle de qualité orthographique pour l’adulte. *Revue de Neuropsychologie*.

- Chuy, M., & Rondelli, F. (2010). Traitement des contraintes linguistiques et cognitives dans la construction de la cohérence textuelle. *Langages*, 177(MARCH), 83–111. <https://doi.org/10.3917/lang.177.0083>
- Combettes, B. (1978). Thématization et progression thématique dans les récits d'enfants. *Langue Française*, 38(1), 74–86. <https://doi.org/10.3406/lfr.1978.6120>
- Compétences terminales et savoirs requis en français*. (1999) (Ministère).
- Connelly, V., Barnett, A., & Sumner, E. (2014). Dyslexia and writing: poor spelling can interfere with good quality composition. *Brookes Ejournal of Learning and Teaching*, 6(2), 1–8.
- Connelly, V., Campbell, S., MacLean, M., & Barnes, J. (2006). Contribution of Lower Order Skills to the Written Composition of College Students With and Without Dyslexia. *Developmental Neuropsychology*, 29(1), 175–196. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326942dn2901_9
- Cornish, F. (2006a). Relations de cohérence en discours : critères de reconnaissance, caractérisation et articulation cohésion-cohérence. *Corela*, (HS-5), Online. Retrieved from <http://corela.edel.univ-poitiers.fr/index.php?id=1456>
- Cornish, F. (2006b). Relations de cohérence et anaphores en contexte inter-phrastique: Une symbiose parfaite. *Langages*, 163(3), 37–55. <https://doi.org/10.3917/lang.163.0037>
- Crane, P. A. (2006). Texture in Text: A Discourse Analysis of a News Article Using Halliday and Hasan's Model of Cohesion, 131–156. Retrieved from [http://pkukmweb.ukm.my/~iha/JBEA3101_files/Crane_texture in text.pdf](http://pkukmweb.ukm.my/~iha/JBEA3101_files/Crane_texture%20in%20text.pdf)
- Croft, W., Osterhout, L., Wright, R. A., Allen, M. D., Pustejovsky, F., Jenkins, L., ... Hogan, P. C. (2011). *The Cambridge Encyclopedia of the Language Sciences*. (P. C. Hogan, Ed.) (Cambridge). New York.
- Crompton, P. (2002). Theme in argumentative texts : An analytical tool applied and appraised ., (November).
- Crossley, S. A., Allen, L. K., Snow, E. L., & McNamara, D. S. (2015). Pssst... Textual Features... There is More to Automatic Essay Scoring Than Just You! *International Conference on Learning Analytics and Knowledge*, (February 2017), 203–207. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2723576.2723595>
- Crossley, S. A., Kyle, K., & McNamara, D. S. (2016). The tool for the automatic analysis of text cohesion (TAACO): Automatic assessment of local, global, and text cohesion. *Behavior Research Methods*, 48(4), 1227–1237. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-015-0651-7>
- Crossley, S. A., & McNamara, D. S. (2010). Cohesion, coherence, and expert evaluations of writing proficiency. *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society*, 32, 984–989. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2016.1138456>
- Crossley, S. A., & McNamara, D. S. (2011). Text Coherence and Judgments of Essay Quality : Models of Quality and Coherence. *CogSci*. Retrieved from <https://mindmodeling.org/cogsci2011/papers/0290/paper0290.pdf>
- Crossley, S. A., Weston, J. L., McLain Sullivan, S. T., & McNamara, D. S. (2011). The development of writing proficiency as a function of grade level: A linguistic analysis. *Written*

- Communication*, 28(3), 282–311. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0741088311410188>
- Crystal, D. (2008). *A Dictionary of Linguistics and Phonetics*. Blackwell Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444302776>
- Dalcq, A.-E., Englebert, A., Uyttebrouck, E., & Van Raemdonck, D. (1999). *Mettre de l'ordre dans ses idées : Classification des articulations logiques pour structurer son texte* (Duculot). Louvain-La-Neuve.
- Damar, M.-È. (2014). *Communication écrite* (De Boeck S). Louvain-La-Neuve.
- Damar, M.-È., & De Scutter, C. (2013). La maîtrise du français écrit en BA1 à l'Université : constat de quelques lacunes et exemple de remédiation. *Le Langage et l'Homme*, XXXXVIII(2), 31–45.
- Dascalu, M., Gutu, G., Ruseti, S., Paraschiv, I. C., Dessus, P., McNamara, D. S., ... Trausan-Matu, S. (2017). ReaderBench: A multi-lingual framework for analyzing text complexity. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 10474 LNCS, 495–499. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-66610-5_48
- Davis, G. A., & Coelho, C. A. (2004). Referential cohesion and logical coherence of narration after closed head injury. *Brain and Language*, 89(3), 508–523. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2004.01.003>
- de Beaugrande, R., & Dressler, W. (1983). Introduction to Text Linguistics. *Rocky Mountain Review of Language and Literature*, 37, 103. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1347273>
- De Mulder, W., Tasmowski-De Ryck, L., & Veters, C. (1996). *Anaphores temporelles et (in)cohérence* (Rodopi B.V). Amsterdam.
- Dédéyan, A., & Largy, P. (2002). Automatisation en détection d'erreurs d'accord sujet-verbe : étude chez l'enfant et l'adulte. *Année Psychologique*, 102, 201–234.
- Degand, L. (1998). On classifying coherence relations and connectives. In *Discourse Relations and Discourse Markers*. (pp. 29–35). Montréal.
- Degand, L., Lefèvre, N., & Bestgen, Y. (2010). The impact of connectives and anaphoric expressions on expository discourse comprehension. *Document Design Document Design Journal of Research and Problem Solving in Organizational Communication*, 1(1), 39–51. <https://doi.org/10.1075/dd.1.1.06deg>
- Demirgunes, S. (2008). Acquisition Order of Coherence relations in Turkish. *Education*, 135(4), 405–419.
- Diehl, J. J., Bennetto, L., & Young, E. C. (2006). Story recall and narrative coherence of high-functioning children with autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 34(1), 87–102. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-005-9003-x>
- Dontcheva-Navratilova, O., & Povolná, R. (2009). Coherence and Cohesion in Spoken and Written Discourse. *Topics in Linguistics*, 167–181. Retrieved from <http://www.kaa.ff.ukf.sk/topics/issue4.pdf#page=95>

- Duffy, M. (2002). Quand le texte file le doux. *Correspondance*, 8(1).
- Edmondson, W. J. (2014). If Coherence Is Achieved, Then Where Doth Meaning Lie? (p. 251). <https://doi.org/10.1075/pbns.63.19edm>
- Elalouf, M.-L., & Trevisse, A. (2012). Le traitement des connecteurs dans les Instructions officielles et les manuels (français L1 / anglais L2) (French). *Revue Française de Linguistique Appliquée*, 16(2), 121–140. Retrieved from <http://ezproxy.usherbrooke.ca/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=fcs&AN=25251793&lang=fr&site=eds-live>
- Evers-Vermeul, J. (2005). *The Development of Dutch Connectives: Change and Acquisition as Windows on Form-Function Relations*. Retrieved from <http://dspace.library.uu.nl/handle/1874/7146>
- Evers-Vermeul, J., & Sanders, T. (2009). The emergence of Dutch connectives; How cumulative cognitive complexity explains the order of acquisition. *Journal of Child Language*, 36(4), 829–854. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305000908009227>
- Evrard, I. (1999). Les notions de cohérence et de cohésion textuelles dans le domaine français. *Revue Romane*, 34.
- Farghal, M. (2017). Textual Issues Relating to Cohesion and Coherence in Arabic / English Translation, 9(1), 29–50.
- Favart, M. (2005). Les marques de cohésion: Leur rôle fonctionnel dans l'acquisition de la production écrite de texte. *Psychologie Française*, 50(3), 305–322. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psfr.2005.05.006>
- Favart, M., & Chanquoy, L. (2007). Les marques de cohésion comme outils privilégiés de la textualisation : une comparaison entre élèves de CM2 et adultes experts. *Langue Française*, 155(3), 51–68. <https://doi.org/10.3917/lf.155.0051>
- Favart, M., & Passerault, J.-M. (1999). Aspects textuels du fonctionnement et du développement des connecteurs : approche en production. *L'année Psychologique*, 99(1), 149–173. <https://doi.org/10.3406/psy.1999.28552>
- Fayol, M. (1985). *Le récit et sa construction* (Actualité). Neuchâtel: Delachaux & Niestlé.
- Fayol, M. (1997). *Des idées au texte psychologie cognitive de la production verbale, orale et écrite. Le Psychologue*. Retrieved from <http://catalogue.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/cb36161700s>
- Fayol, M., Hupet, M., & Largy, P. (1999). The acquisition of subject-verb agreement in written French: From novices to experts' errors. *Reading and Writing*, 11(2), 153–174. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1008038127807>
- Fayol, M., & Miret, A. (2005). Écrire, Orthographier Et Rédiger Des Textes. *Psychologie Française*, 50(3), 391–402. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psfr.2005.05.008>
- Fayol, M., & Pacton, S. (2006). L'accord du participe passé: Entre compétition de procédures et récupération en mémoire. *Langue Française*, 151. <https://doi.org/10.3917/lf.151.0059>
- Fayol, M., Totereau, C., & Barrouillet, P. (2006). Disentangling the impact of semantic and formal

- factors in the acquisition of number inflections: Noun, adjective and verb agreement in written French. *Reading and Writing*, 19(7), 717–736. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-005-1371-7>
- Ferrand, L., Brysbaert, M., Keuleers, E., New, B., Bonin, P., Méot, A., ... Pallier, C. (2011). Comparing word processing times in naming, lexical decision, and progressive demasking: Evidence from Chronolex. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 2(NOV), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2011.00306>
- Ferrand, L., New, B., Brysbaert, M., Keuleers, E., Bonin, P., Méot, A., ... Pallier, C. (2010). The French lexicon project: Lexical decision data for 38,840 French words and 38,840 pseudo words. *Behavior Research Methods*, 42(2), 488–496. <https://doi.org/10.3758/BRM.42.2.488>
- Fiorin, G. (2010). *Meaning and Dyslexia A Study on Pronouns, Aspect, and Quantification*.
- Flower, L., & Hayes, J. R. (1981). A Cognitive Process Theory of Writing. *College Composition and Communication*, 32(4), 365. <https://doi.org/10.2307/356600>
- Foulin, J. N., Chanquoy, L., & Fayol, M. (1989). Approche en temps réel de la production des connecteurs et de la ponctuation : vers un modèle procédural de la composition écrite. *Langue Française*, 81, 5–20. <https://doi.org/10.3406/lfr.1989.4766>
- Freebody, P., & Anderson, R. C. (1983). Effects of Vocabulary Difficulty, Text Cohesion, and Schema Availability on Reading Comprehension. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 18(3), 277–294. <https://doi.org/10.2307/747389>
- Fritz, G. (1999). Coherence in Hypertext. In *Coherence in Spoken and Written Discourse* (Benjamins, pp. 221–233). Amsterdam. <https://doi.org/10.1075/pbns.63.16fri>
- Gagnon, O. (2003). Apprécier la cohérence d'un texte : l'arrimage des énoncés. *Québec Français*, 128, 62–66.
- Gagnon, O. (2014). Rédaction d'une séquence explicative par de futurs enseignants de français au secondaire. *Le Français Aujourd'hui*, 184(1), 55. <https://doi.org/10.3917/lfa.184.0055>
- Gagnon, O., & Chamberland, A.-É. (2010). Cohérence textuelle : l'arrimage informatif. *Québec Français*, 156, 78–81.
- Gajar, A. H. (1989). A Computer Analysis of Written of Compositions Written by University. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 22(2), 125–130.
- Garcia-Debanc, C., & Bonnemaïson, K. (2014). La gestion de la cohésion textuelle par des élèves de 11-12 ans : réussites et difficultés. *SHS Web of Conferences*, 8, 961–976. <https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/20140801349>
- Gernsbacher, M. A. (1996). Coherence cues mapping during comprehension. In *Processing interclausal Relationships. Studies in the Production and Comprehension of Text* (Erlbaum, pp. 3–21). Hillsdale. Retrieved from http://www.gernsbacherlab.org/wp-content/uploads/papers/Gernsbacher_Coherence_Cues_Mapping_CostermansBook_1996.pdf
- Gordon, P. C., Hendrick, R., & Levine, W. H. (2002). Memory-load interference in syntactic processing. *Psychological Science*, 13(5), 425–430. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9280.00475>

- Gordon, P. C., & Scearce, K. A. (1995). Pronominalization and discourse coherence, discourse structure and pronoun interpretation. *Memory & Cognition*, 23(3), 313–323. <https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03197233>
- Grabowski, J. (2005). Speaking, writing, and memory span performance: Replicating the Bourdin and Fayol results on cognitive load in German children and adults. *International Journal of Psychology*, 45(1), 28–39.
- Graesser, A. C., Jeon, M., Zan, Y., & Cai, Z. (2007). Discourse cohesion in text and tutorial dialogue. *Information Design Journal*, 15(3), 199–213. <https://doi.org/10.1075/idj.15.3.02gra>
- Gray, B. (2010). On the use of demonstrative pronouns and determiners as cohesive devices: A focus on sentence-initial this/these in academic prose. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 9(3), 167–183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2009.11.003>
- Gregg, N., & Nelson, J. (2017). Empirical Studies on the Writing Abilities of Adolescents and Adults with Learning Difficulties. In *Writing Development in Struggling Learners* (pp. 73–95). Brill. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004346369_006
- Grice, H. P., Kant, E., & Foucault, M. (1979). Logique et conversation. *Communications*, 30(1), 57–72. <https://doi.org/10.3406/comm.1979.1446>
- Grosz, B. J., & Sidner, C. L. (1986). Attention, Intentions, and the Structures of Discourse. *Computational Linguistics*, 12(3), 175–204.
- Gutiérrez, K. G. C., Puello, M. N., & Galvis, L. A. P. (2015). Using pictures series technique to enhance narrative writing among ninth grade students at institución educativa simón araujo. *English Language Teaching*, 8(5), 45–71. <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v8n5p45>
- Gutwinski, W. (1976). *Cohesion in literary texts: a study of some grammatical and lexical features of English discourse*. The Hague : Mouton.
- Hadjikakou, K., & Hartas, D. (2009). Higher education provision for students with disabilities in cyprus. *International Journal of Special Education*, 24(2), 55–65. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-007-9070-8>
- Halliday, M. A. . M. A. K., & Hasan, R. (1976). Cohesion in English. *Longman Group Limited London*, 398.
- Hamma, B. (2017). Pronoms, pronominalisation et reprise pronominale : Un problème en soi.
- Hanks, W. (1989). Text And Textuality. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 18(1), 95–127. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.anthro.18.1.95>
- Hayes, J., & Flower, L. (1983). A Cognitive model of the writing process in adults, 1–89.
- Hoskyn, M., & Swanson, H. L. (2003). The relationship between working memory and writing in younger and older adults. *Reading and Writing*, 16(8), 759–784. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1027320226283>
- Jacques, M., & Poibeau, T. (2010). Étudier Des Structures De Discours : Préoccupations Pratiques Et Méthodologiques. *CORELA (Cognition, Représentation, Langages)*, 8(1)(2), 0–22. Retrieved from <http://corela.edel.univ-poitiers.fr/index.php?id=1855>

- Jadir, M. (2010). Typologie textuelle et connecteurs. *2ème Congrès Mondial de Linguistique Française*, 077. <https://doi.org/10.1051/cmlf/2010260>
- Jaffré, J.-P. (2005). L'orthographe du français, une exception ? *Le Français Aujourd'hui*, 148(1), 23. <https://doi.org/10.3917/lfa.148.0023>
- Jaubert, A. (2005a). Cohésion et cohérence : étapes et relais pour l'interprétation. In *Cohésion et cohérence : Études de linguistique textuelle* (ENS Éditio). Lyon.
- Jaubert, A. (2005b). Introduction. Cohésion et cohérence : étapes et relais pour l'interprétation. *Cohésion et Cohérence : Études de Linguistique Textuelle*, 1–5.
- Johnson, M. D. (2017). Cognitive task complexity and L2 written syntactic complexity, accuracy, lexical complexity, and fluency: A research synthesis and meta-analysis. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 37(August 2016), 13–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2017.06.001>
- Jonasson, K. (2009). Formes lexicales et pronominales dans des chaînes de référence en français et suédois. *Syntaxe et Sémantique*, 10(1), 111. <https://doi.org/10.3917/ss.010.0111>
- Juillard, M. (2016). Avatars de la cohésion dans les corpus. In *Cohésion et cohérence* (pp. 1–30). <https://doi.org/10.4000/books.enseditions.150>
- Juillard, M. (2005). Avatars de la cohésion dans les corpus. Ordre des mots et coordonnants: variations génériques. In *Cohésion et cohérence : Études de linguistique textuelle* (ENS Éditio, pp. 175–194). Paris.
- Kamalski, J., Sanders, T., & Lentz, L. (2008). Coherence marking, prior knowledge, and comprehension of informative and persuasive texts: Sorting things out. *Discourse Processes*, 45(4–5), 323–345. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01638530802145486>
- Kellogg, R. T. (2008). training writing skills : A cognitive developmenntal perspective. *Journal of Writing Research*, 1(2008), 1–26.
- Kellogg, R. T., Whiteford, A. P., Turner, C. E., & Cahill, Michale, Mertens, A. (2013). Working Memory in Written Composition: An Evaluation of the 1996 Model. *Journal of Writing Research*, 5(2), 159)190.
- Kintsch, W., & van Dijk, T. A. (1978). Toward a model of text comprehension and production. *Psychological Review*, 85(5), 363–394. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.85.5.363>
- Knott, A., & Sanders, T. (1998). The classification of coherence relations and their linguistic markers: An exploration of two languages. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 30(2), 135–175. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-2166\(98\)00023-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-2166(98)00023-X)
- krippendorff, K. (2004). Measuring the Reliability of Qualitative Text Analysis Data. *Quality & Quantity*, 38(6), 787–800. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-004-8107-7>
- Krippendorff, K., & Craggs, R. (2016). The Reliability of Multi-Valued Coding of Data. *Communication Methods and Measures*, 10(4), 181–198. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19312458.2016.1228863>
- Kuo, C.-H. (1995). Cohesion and Coherence in Academic Writing: From Lexical Choice To Organization. *RELC Journal*, 26(1), 47–62. <https://doi.org/10.1177/003368829502600103>

- Lapaire, J. (2005). Coordination Et Cognition. *Études Anglaises*, 58(4), 473–494. Retrieved from <https://www.cairn.info/revue-etudes-anglaises-2005-4-page-473.htm>
- Lapata, M., & Barzilay, R. (2005). Automatic evaluation of text coherence: Models and representations. *IJCAI International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 1085–1090. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MC.2009.186>
- Largy, P., Cousin, M. P., & Dédéyan, A. (2005). Produire et réviser la morphologie flexionnelle du nombre: De l'accès à une expertise. *Psychologie Française*, 50(3), 339–350. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psfr.2005.05.007>
- Lecavalier, J. (2003). *La didactique de l'écriture : les marqueurs de relation dans les cours de français du collégial*.
- Lefly, D. L., & Pennington, B. F. (1991). Spelling Errors and Reading Fluency in Compensated Adult Dyslexics. *Annals of Dyslexia*, 41(1), 141–162. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02648083>
- Legallois, D. (2006a). Des phrases entre elles à l'unité réticulaire du texte. *Langages*, 163(3), 56–70. <https://doi.org/10.3917/lang.163.0056>
- Legallois, D. (2006b). Présentation générale. Le texte et le problème de son et ses unités : propositions pour une déclinaison. *Langages*, 163(3), 3–9. <https://doi.org/10.3917/lang.163.0003>
- Les socles de compétences - Français*. (2018) (Fédération).
- Light, L. L. (2016). Long-Term Memory. In *The Encyclopedia of Adulthood and Aging* (pp. 1–6). Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118521373.wbeaa316>
- Lorenz, G. (1999). Learning to Cohere: Causal Links in Native vs. Non-Native Argumentative Writing. In *Coherence in Spoken and Written Discourse* (pp. 55–76). <https://doi.org/10.1075/pbns.63.07lor>
- Lousada, E., & Santos, T. (2014). La construction du raisonnement dans le texte scientifique. *SHS Web of Conferences*, 8, 1063–1080. <https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/20140801313>
- Louwerse, M. M., McCarthy, P. M., McNamara, D. S., & Graesser, A. C. (2004). Variation in Language and Cohesion across Written and Spoken Registers. *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Behaviour*, 26, 843–848.
- Lundquist, L. (1983). *L'analyse textuelle : méthode, exercices* (Textes et). Paris: CEDIC.
- Lundquist, L. (1993). La cohérence textuelle argumentative : illocution, intention et engagement de consistance. *Revue Québécoise de Linguistique*, 22(2), 109. <https://doi.org/10.7202/602772ar>
- Madriaga, M., Hanson, K., Heaton, C., Kay, H., Newitt, S., & Walker, A. (2010). Confronting similar challenges? disabled and non-disabled students' learning and assessment experiences. *Studies in Higher Education*, 35(6), 647–658. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075070903222633>
- Maggio, S., Izaute, M., & Chenu, F. (2018). Spelling while writing texts. *Année Psychologique*, 118.

- Martin, J. R. (2008). Cohesion and Texture. In *The Handbook of Discourse Analysis* (pp. 35–53). <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470753460.ch3>
- Mayer, M. (1987). *Frog, Where Are You? Sequel to A boy, a dog and a frog*. New-York: Dial Press.
- Mazur-Palandre, A. (2018). La dyslexie à l'âge adulte : la persistance des difficultés orthographiques. *SHS Web of Conferences*, 46, 10003. <https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/20184610003>
- Mazur-Palandre, A., Bedoin, N., Abadie, R., & Bedoin, N. (2016). *Étudiants dyslexiques à l'Université : Spécificité des difficultés ressenties et évaluation des déficits. Développement* (Vol. 18–19).
- McCutchen, D. (2011). From novice to expert: implications of language skills and writing-relevant knowledge for memory during the development of writing skills. *Journal of Writing Research*, 3(1), 51–68. https://doi.org/http://www.jowr.org/articles/vol3_1/JoWR_2011_vol3_nr1_McCutchen.pdf
- McNamara, D. S. (2001). Reading both high-coherence and low-coherence texts: Effects of text sequence and prior knowledge. *Canadian Journal of Experimental Psychology/Revue Canadienne de Psychologie Expérimentale*, 55(1), 51–62. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0087352>
- McNamara, D. S., Crossley, S. A., Roscoe, R. D., Allen, L. K., & Dai, J. (2015). A hierarchical classification approach to automated essay scoring. *Assessing Writing*, 23, 35–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asw.2014.09.002>
- McNamara, D. S., Graesser, A. C., McCarthy, P. M., & Cai, Z. (2014). *Automated Evaluation of Text and Discourse with Coh-Metrix*. New York: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511894664>
- McNamara, D. S., & Kintsch, W. (1996). Learning from texts: Effects of prior knowledge and text coherence. *Discourse Processes*, 22(3), 247–288. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01638539609544975>
- Medve, V. B., & Takac, V. P. (2013). Language in Cognition and Affect, (January). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-35305-5>
- Miller, B., McCardle, P., & Connelly, V. (2018). *Writing Development in Struggling Learners : Understanding the Needs of Writers Across the Lifecourse* (Brill). Boston. [https://doi.org/10.1108/s1572-6304\(2011\)0000022017](https://doi.org/10.1108/s1572-6304(2011)0000022017)
- Morgan, J. L., & Sellner, M. B. (1980). Discourse and Linguistic Theory. In R. J. Spiro, B. C. Bruce, & W. F. Brewer (Eds.), *Theoretical Issues in Reading Comprehension* (Erlbaum, pp. 165–200). Hillsdale. <https://doi.org/chaqu>
- Morken, F., & Helland, T. (2013). Writing in dyslexia: Product and process. *Dyslexia*, 19(3), 131–148. <https://doi.org/10.1002/dys.1455>
- Mortimore, T., & Crozier, W. R. (2006). Dyslexia and difficulties with study skills in higher education. *Studies in Higher Education*, 31(2), 235–251. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075070600572173>

- Nelson, N. W., & Van Meter, A. M. (2007). Measuring written language ability in narrative samples. *Reading and Writing Quarterly*, 23(3), 287–309. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10573560701277807>
- Neveu, F. (2000). *Lexique des notions linguistiques* (Collection). Patis: Nathan.
- Neveu, F. (2006). Critique du concept d'homonymie textuelle. *Langages*, (163), 86–98. <https://doi.org/10.3917/lang.163.0086>
- Neveu, F. (2011). *Dictionnaire des sciences du langage* (Armand Col). Paris.
- Noel, Y. (2013). *Psychologie statistique avec R* (Collection). Paris: Springer.
- Noordman, L., & Vonk, W. (1996). The different functions of a conjunction in constructing a representation of the discourse. In *Processing interclausal Relationships. Studies in the Production and Comprehension of Text* (Erlbaum, pp. 75–93). Hillsdale.
- Norbury, C. F., & Bishop, D. V. M. (2003). Narrative skills of children with communication impairments. *International Journal of Language and Communication Disorders*, 38(3), 287–313. <https://doi.org/10.1080/136820310000108133>
- Norman, S., Kemper, S., Kynette, D., Cheung, H., & Anagnopoulos, C. (1991). Syntactic complexity and adults' running memory span. *Journals of Gerontology*, 46(6), 346–351. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geronj/46.6.P346>
- Novogrodsky, R., & Edelson, L. R. (2016). Ambiguous pronoun use in narratives of children with Autism Spectrum Disorders. *Child Language Teaching and Therapy*, 32(2), 241–252. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0265659015602935>
- Olive, T. (2004). Working memory in writing: Empirical evidence from the dual-task technique. *European Psychologist*, 9(1), 32–42. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1016-9040.9.1.32>
- Olness, G. S., & Ulatowska, H. K. (2011). Personal narratives in aphasia: Coherence in the context of use. *Aphasiology*, 25(11), 1393–1413. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02687038.2011.599365>
- Osborne, P. (2007). Pilot Study to Investigate the Performance of Dyslexic Students in Written Assessments. *Innovations in Education & Training International*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1355800990360208>
- Pacton, S., & Fayol, M. (2004). Learning to spell in a deep orthography: the case of French. In *Language development across childhood and adolescence* (pp. 163–167).
- Paolacci, V., & Favart, M. (2010). Traitement des marques de cohésion par les jeunes scripteurs: L'utilisation de la ponctuation et des connecteurs à l'entrée en sixième. Approche linguistique, cognitive et didactique. *Langages*, 177(MARCH), 113–128. <https://doi.org/10.3917/lang.177.0113>
- Paret, M. (2003). La « grammaire » textuelle : Une ressource pour la compréhension et l'écriture des textes. *Québec Français*, 129, 77–79.
- Patout, P.-A., & Cordy, M. (2019). Towards Context-Aware Automated Writing Evaluation Systems, 17–20. Retrieved from https://dipot.ulb.ac.be/dspace/bitstream/2013/291667/3/EASEAI_2019_Final.pdf

- Patout, P.-A., & Rosier, L. (2012). *La "faute" Dans Les Copies Du Cours De Français Chez Des Élèves De Quatrième, Cinquième Et Sixième Année Du Secondaire De L'enseignement Général*.
- Pech-Georgel, C., & George, F. (2010). Batterie d'évaluation des troubles du langage écrit adaptée aux lycéens et adultes dyslexiques. *Développements*, 6(3), 27. <https://doi.org/10.3917/devel.006.0027>
- Penningroth, S. L., & Rosenberg, S. (1995). Effects of a high information-processing load on the writing process and the story written. *Applied Psycholinguistics*, 16(2), 189–210. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2017.06.022>
- Pépin, L. (1994). La jonction dans l'inter-phrases. *Québec Français*, 93, 24–26.
- Pépin, L. (1998). *La cohérence textuelle. L'évaluer et l'enseigner* (Bauchemin). Laval.
- Plaza, M., & Cohen, H. (2004). Predictive influence of phonological processing, morphological/syntactic skill, and naming speed on spelling performance. *Brain and Cognition*, 55(2), 368–373. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandc.2004.02.076>
- Rayo, D. S., & Stephen Bird, S. (2015). Writing Narratives with the Aid of Picture Stories, (May). Retrieved from <http://ir.lib.uwo.ca/etd>
- Reboul, A. (1989). Résolution de l'anaphore pronominale : sémantique et/ou pragmatique.
- Redeker, G. (1991). Linguistic markers of discourse structure. *Linguistics*. <https://doi.org/10.1515/ling.1991.29.6.1139>
- Redeker, G. (2000). Coherence and structure in text and discourse. *Abduction, Belief and Context in Dialogue. Studies in Computational Pragmatics*, 233–263. <https://doi.org/10.1075/nlp.1.06red>
- Rondelli, F. (2010). La cohérence textuelle : pratiques des enseignants et théories de référence. *Pratiques*, 145–146(Didactique du français (1)), 55–84. <https://doi.org/10.4000/pratiques.1505>
- Rouchota, V. (1998). Connectives, coherence and relevance. In *Current issue in relevance theory* (pp. 11–57). Amsterdam.
- Rück, H. (1991). *Linguistique textuelle et enseignement du français*. (Langues et). Paris: Hatier.
- Salles, M. (2006). Cohésion-cohérence : accords et désaccords. *Corela*, 5, 0–16.
- Sanders, T., & Spooren, W. (1999). Communicative Intentions and Coherence Relations. In *Coherence in Spoken and Written Discourse* (p. 235). <https://doi.org/10.1075/pbns.63.18san>
- Schnedecker, C. (2006). Anaphores prédicatives démonstratives : de la cohésion syntagmatique à la cohérence textuelle. *Corela. Cognition, Représentation, Langage, HS-5*. Retrieved from <https://journals.openedition.org/corela/1437>
- Schnedecker, C. (2014). Chaînes de référence et variations selon le genre. *Langages*, 195(3), 1957–1972. <https://doi.org/10.3917/lang.195.0023>
- Schnedecker, C. (2015a). Anaphores prédicatives démonstratives : de la cohésion syntagmatique à

la cohérence textuelle, 5(2006).

- Schnedecker, C. (2015b). *Les anaphores à nom général humain dans les chaînes de référence renvoyant à des personnes : contraintes d'emploi et rendements*. *Travaux de linguistique* (Vol. 70). <https://doi.org/10.3917/tl.070.0039>
- Schnedecker, C. (2017). Les chaînes de référence : une configuration d'indices pour distinguer et identifier les genres textuels. *Langue Française*, 3(195), 53–72.
- Schneuwly, B., & Bronckart, J. (1986). Connexion et cohésion dans quatre types de textes d'enfants, (7), 279–294.
- Seidlhofer, B., & Widdowson, H. G. (1999). Coherence in Summary: The contexts of Appropriate Discourse. *PRAGMATICS AND BEYOND NEW SERIES*.
- Serratrice, L. (2013). The role of number of referents and animacy in children's use of pronouns. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 56(1), 31–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2013.05.008>
- Shapiro, L. R., & Hudson, J. A. (1996). Coherence and Cohesion in Children's Stories. In *Processing interclausal Relationships. Studies in the Production and Comprehension of Text* (Erlbaum, pp. 23–48). Hillsdale.
- Siddharthan, A. (2004). Syntactic Simplification and Text Cohesion (Thesis). *Journal of Laparoendoscopic & Advanced Surgical Techniques. Part A*, 20(10). Retrieved from <http://library.iated.org/view/ALLEN2016MOD>
- Simard, J. (2007). *Étude de quelques cas de ruptures de cohérence présents dans des écrits d'étudiants en français langue seconde de niveau avancé*. Université Laval (Québec). Retrieved from <https://constellation.uqac.ca/407/1/030004920.pdf>
- Siouffi, G., & Van Raemdonck, D. (2012). *100 fiches pour comprendre la linguistique* (Bréal). Paris.
- Siouffi, G., & Van Raemdonck, D. (2017). *100 fiches pour comprendre les notions de grammaire* (Bréal). Paris.
- Snowling, M. J. (1995). Developmental dyslexia. *Current Paediatrics*, 5, 110–113. Retrieved from <http://ci.nii.ac.jp/naid/40019679742/>
- Soleymanzadeh, L., & Gholami, J. (2014). Scoring Argumentative Essays based on Thematic Progression Patterns and IELTS Analytic Scoring Criteria. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 98(1988), 1811–1819. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.03.610>
- Sperber, D., & Wilson, D. C. N.-D.-S. (1989). *La pertinence : communication et cognition*. (A. Gerschenfeld, Ed.), *Propositions (Paris)*, ISSN 0246-554X.
- Spita, D. (2007). *Initiation à la linguistique textuelle* (Cursus (In)). Iasi: Institutul European.
- Spooren, W., & Degand, L. (2010). Coding coherence relations: Reliability and validity. *Corpus Linguistics and Linguistic Theory*, 6(2), 241–266. <https://doi.org/10.1515/CLLT.2010.009>
- Spooren, W., & Sanders, T. (2008). The acquisition order of coherence relations: On cognitive complexity in discourse. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 40(12), 2003–2026.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2008.04.021>

- Tapiero, I. (2009). Understanding Discourse: A dynamic and functional perspective. In *Linguistic & Psycholinguistic Approaches to Text Structuring* (pp. 30–33).
- Toczek, M. C., Fayol, M., & Dutrévis, M. (2012). Dictée notée ou dictée non notée ? Analyse des erreurs orthographiques des élèves en situation scolaire. *Revue Française de Pédagogie*, 178(1), 85–96.
- Todirascu, A., François, T., Bernhard, D., Gala, N., & Ligozat, A.-L. (2016). Are Cohesive Features Relevant for Text Readability Evaluation ? In *26th International Conference on Computational Linguistics: Technical Paper* (pp. 987–997).
- Tomlin, R. S. (2007). Referential management in discourse production: memorial activation and anaphora. In Samfundslitteratur (Ed.), *Comparing Anaphors: Between Sentences, Texts and Languages - Proceedings of the international symposium held at the Copenhagen Business School September 1st-3rd 2005* (pp. 169–191). Frederiksberg.
- Tops, W., Callens, C., van Cauwenberghe, E., Adriaens, J., & Brysbaert, M. (2013). Beyond spelling: The writing skills of students with dyslexia in higher education. *Reading and Writing*, 26(5), 705–720. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-012-9387-2>
- Torrance, M., & Galbraith, D. (2006). The processing demands of writing. *Handbook of Writing Research*, 67–82. Retrieved from <http://books.google.com.au/books?hl=en&lr=&id=VT7YCz2G-iQC&oi=fnd&pg=PA67&dq=David+Galbraith+writing+and+cognition&ots=R89MUo80gw&sig=bjHKiuHBXPJewQBcfc8qmdFGlc>
- Torrance, M., Johansson, R., Johansson, V., & Wengelin, Å. (2016). Reading during the composition of multi-sentence texts an eye-movement study. *Psychological Research*, 80(5), 729–743. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00426-015-0683-8>
- Tribushinina, E., Dubinkina, E., & Sanders, T. (2015). Can connective use differentiate between children with and without specific language impairment? *First Language*, 35(1), 3–26. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0142723714566334>
- Tsigou, M. (2011). Linguistique fonctionnelle et didactique de la traduction. *Linguistique*, 47(2), 105–120. <https://doi.org/10.3917/ling.472.0105>
- Tutin, A. (2002). A corpus-based study of pronominal anaphoric expressions in French A corpus-based study of pronominal anaphoric expressions in French. *Corpus*, 24(January 2002), 516.
- Van Dijk, T. A. (1980). *Macrostructures: An Interdisciplinary Study of Global Structures in Discourse, Interaction, and Cognition*.
- van Dijk, T. A., & Kintsch, W. (1983). *Strategies of Discourse Comprehension* (Academic P). London. Retrieved from [http://www.discourses.org/OldBooks/Teun A van Dijk %26 Walter Kintsch - Strategies of Discourse Comprehension.pdf](http://www.discourses.org/OldBooks/Teun%20A%20van%20Dijk%20Walter%20Kintsch%20-%20Strategies%20of%20Discourse%20Comprehension.pdf)
- Veys, É. (2009). *Étude du rôle des feedbacks visuels dans la supervision de l'orthographe grammaticale*. Université catholique de Louvain.
- Wilmet, M. (2007). *Grammaire Rénovée du Français*. Bruxelles: De Boeck U.

Wilson, D., & Sperber, D. (2013). Relevance Theory. In *The Handbook of Pragmatics* (Vol. 2, pp. 606–632). Oxford, UK: Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470756959.ch27>

Witte, S. P., & Faigley, L. (1981). Coherence, Cohesion, and Writing Quality. *College Composition and Communication*, 32(2), 189. <https://doi.org/10.2307/356693>

Zweig, S. (2011). *Vingt-quatre heures dans la vue d'une femme*. Paris: Livre de Poche.