



FACULTÉ
DES SCIENCES



UNIVERSITÉ LIBRE DE BRUXELLES

Knowing and Governing Super-Wicked Problems

A Social Analysis of Low-Carbon Scenarios

Thesis submitted by Aurore FRANSOLET

in fulfilment of the requirements of the PhD Degree in sciences (“Docteur en sciences”)

Academic year 2018-2019

Supervisor: Professor Tom BAULER

Centre for Studies on Sustainable Development (CEDD-DGES)



Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION	1
1. Towards a Social Analysis of Low-Carbon Scenarios	1
2. Ontological and Epistemological Posture	5
3. Outline of the Thesis	8
CHAPTER I. Scenarios for Knowing and Governing Super-Wicked Problems	11
Introduction	11
1. Transition to a Low-Carbon Society in 2050	12
1.1. Context	12
1.2. Conceptualization of the Low-Carbon Transition	15
1.2.1. Socio-Technical Approach	15
1.2.2. Multi-Level Perspective on Transition	16
2. Low-Carbon Transition: A Super-Wicked Problem	19
2.1. Wicked Nature of the Low-Carbon Transition	19
2.2. Forms of Knowledge Production and Modes of Governance to Address Super-Wicked Problems	24
2.2.1. From Normal Science to Post-Normal Science and Foresight	24
2.2.2. From Traditional Top-Down Modes of Governance to Bottom-Up Approaches Involving Stakeholders	27
3. Knowing Super-Wicked Problems	29
3.1. Foresight and Scenario Approach	29
3.1.1. Foresight: Conceptual Clarification	29
3.1.2. Scenarios for Envisioning and Exploring Alternative Images of the Future	31
3.2. Scenarios Tackling Super-Wicked Problems	34
3.3. Low-Carbon Scenarios	44
3.3.1. Overview	44
3.3.2. Typology of Low-Carbon Scenarios	45
4. Governing Super-Wicked Problems	49
4.1. Governance	49
4.1.1. Governance: Conceptual Clarification	49
4.1.2. Policy, Politics and Polity Dimensions of Governance	50
4.2. Governance of the Low-Carbon Transition	54
4.2.1. Causal Model, Policy Goals and Constellation of Actors	54
4.2.2. Coexistence of Traditional Top-Down Modes of Governance and Bottom-Up Approaches Involving Stakeholders	57
4.2.3. Development of Subnational Actions and Transnational Cooperation in a Polycentric System	59
4.3. How to Govern the Low-Carbon Transition: A Knowledge Gap	61
5. Low-Carbon Scenarios and Governance	63
5.1. Scenarios for Knowing and Governing the Low-Carbon Transition: A Twofold Perspective	63
5.2. Interactions between Low-Carbon Scenarios and Governance	64
5.2.1. Knowledge and Governance: Beyond the Rational Knowledge-Based Policy-Making Model	64
5.2.2. Role of Scenario Analyses in Policy-Making	67
5.2.3. On the Need for Empirical Research on the Role of Scenario Analyses in Policy-Making	70
5.3. Making of Knowledge about Governance in Low-Carbon Scenarios	71
5.3.1. Governance in Low-Carbon Scenarios	71
5.3.2. On the Need for Further Investigation on the Making of Knowledge about Governance in Low-Carbon Scenarios	74
Conclusion to the Chapter	82

DESIGN OF THE RESEARCH	87
1. Research Questions	87
2. Empirical Studies	89

CHAPTER II. Contextual Background **93**

Introduction	93
1. Walloon Region	94
2. Domestic GHG Emissions	96
2.1. Historic GHG Emissions	96
2.2. Projections of GHG Emissions	98
3. Climate Mitigation Governance in a Multi-Level Perspective	101
3.1. Overview of the Belgian Political System	101
3.1.1. Belgian Federalism	101
3.1.2. Partitocracy	102
3.1.3. Corporatism	102
3.1.4. Pillarization	102
3.2. Climate Mitigation: A Shared Competence between the Federal State and the Regions	103
3.2.1. Vertical Integration	103
3.2.2. Horizontal Integration	104
3.3. Energy Market Governance	105
3.3.1. Liberalisation of the Energy Market	105
3.3.2. Energy Market Operators	105
3.4. Involvement of Civil Society in Climate-Energy Policy-Making	107
3.4.1. Civil Society organizations	107
3.4.2. Involvement of Civil Society in Policy-Making	108
3.5. Main Climate Mitigation Policies	108
3.5.1. Emergence of Climate Mitigation Governance and First Commitment Period of the Kyoto Protocol (2008-2012)	109
3.5.2. Post-2012 Climate Mitigation Policy	110
4. Climate-Energy Foresight in Wallonia	117
4.1. Foresight: A Scarcely Institutionalized Practice	117
4.1.1. Emergence of Foresight (1974-2004)	117
4.1.2. Rhetorical Public Practice of Foresight (2004-2009)	118
4.1.3. Effective Public Practice of Foresight (2009-2016)	119
4.2. Foresight on Climate-Energy Issues	121
4.2.1. Main Contractors of Foresight Studies on Climate-Energy Issues	121
4.2.2. Climate Mitigation Scenarios for Wallonia	124
Conclusion to the Chapter	128

CHAPTER III. Interactions between Low-Carbon Scenarios and Governance: A Multiple Case Study **131**

Introduction	131
1. Framework to Analyse the Role of Foresight Studies in Policy-Making	133
2. Methodology	136
2.1. Multiple Case Study	136
2.1.1. Case Selection	136
2.1.2. Main Features of the Foresight Studies Analysed	136
2.1.3. Making of Knowledge about Governance in the Foresight Studies Analysed	139
2.2. Data Collection	139
2.2.1. Documentary Analysis	139
2.2.2. Semi-Structured Interviews	139
2.3. Data Analysis	144

3. Case Studies	147
3.1. Case Study n°1. Towards a Low-Carbon Wallonia in 2050	147
3.1.1. Emergence	147
3.1.2. Presentation of the Study	147
3.1.3. Communication, Follow-Up and Reception	150
3.1.4. Role of the Study in Policy-Making	152
3.1.5. Key Lessons	158
3.2. Case Study n°2. Scenarios for a Low Carbon Belgium by 2050	162
3.2.1. Emergence	162
3.2.2. Presentation of the Study	163
3.2.3. Communication, Follow-Up and Reception	165
3.2.4. Role of the Study in Policy-Making	169
3.2.5. Key Lessons	172
3.3. Case Study n°3. Towards 100% Renewable Energy in Belgium by 2050	175
3.3.1. Emergence	175
3.3.2. Presentation of the Study	176
3.3.3. Communication, Follow-Up and Reception	179
3.3.4. Role of the Study in Policy-Making	182
3.3.5. Key Lessons	187
3.4. Case Study n°4. Prospective Study: Energy Transition	192
3.4.1. Emergence	192
3.4.2. Presentation of the Study	192
3.4.3. Communication, Follow-Up and Reception	195
3.4.4. Role of the Study in Policy-Making	197
3.4.5. Key Lessons	198
4. Cross-Case Analysis	202
4.1. Roles of the Studies in Policy-Making	202
4.1.1. Similarities between Case Studies	203
4.1.2. Differences between Case Studies	206
4.2. Appropriation and Use of the Studies per Actor	209
5. Discussion	212
5.1. Foresight: An Oddity in the Political Landscape	212
5.2. Governing the Low-Carbon Transition: A Predictable Failure?	215
6. Limits of the Study	219
Conclusion to the Chapter	220

CHAPTER IV. Making of Knowledge about Governance in Low-Carbon Scenarios: A Needs Assessment 225

Introduction	225
1. Q Methodology	227
1.1. Q Methodology: An Outline	227
1.2. Conduct of a Q Methodology	227
1.3. Advantages and Limitations of the Q Methodology	229
1.4. Forced and Free Distributions	231
1.4.1. Respective Characteristics of Forced and Free Distributions	231
1.4.2. Combining Forced and Free Distributions	234
2. Conduct of the Study	235
2.1. Construction of the Sample (Q Sample)	235
2.2. Formulation of the Items (Q Set)	235
2.3. Data Collection	240
2.3.1. Introductory Questions	240
2.3.2. Q Survey	241
2.4. Data Analysis	243
2.4.1. Principal Component Analysis	243
2.4.2. Factor Rotation	246

3. Results	249
3.1. Policy-Relevance of Governance Issues in Comparison with Other Questions	249
3.2. Governance Issues Perceived as the Most or Least Policy Relevant	249
3.2.1. Governance Issues Perceived as the Most Policy-Relevant	250
3.2.2. Governance Issues Perceived as the Least Policy Relevant	251
3.3. Similarities and Differences between the Factors	252
3.3.1. Similarities between the Factors: Area of Consensus	253
3.3.2. Specificities of Each Factor: Terms of the Debate	253
4. Key Lessons Arising from the Q Survey	267
5. Discussion of the Method	270
5.1. Forced and Free Distributions	270
5.1.1. Comparison of the Results Obtained with Free and Forced Distributions	270
5.1.2. Value of Combining Forced and Free Distributions	278
5.2. Limits of the Method	279
Conclusion to the Chapter	281
CHAPTER V. Making of Knowledge about Governance in Low-Carbon Scenarios: A Critical Review of Socio-Technical Energy Transition Models	285
Introduction	285
1. Integrating Governance in Energy Transition Models	287
1.1. Integrated Modelling	287
1.2. Socio-Technical Energy Transition (STET) Models	287
2. Methodology	289
3. Framework for Analysing how STET Models Make Knowledge about Governance	291
4. Review of STET Models	292
4.1. Making of Knowledge about Governance in STET Models	292
4.2. Limits of STET Models in Making Knowledge about Governance	298
4.2.1. Problem of Scope	298
4.2.2. Absence of Vision	298
4.2.3. Technocentric Perspective on Energy Transition	299
4.2.4. Instrumental Understanding of the Policy Process	299
4.2.5. Non-Integration of Polity Dimensions as Variables	299
5. Discussion	301
5.1. Factors that Might Explain the Failure of STET Models in Capturing the “Reality” of Governance	301
5.1.1. Path Dependence of Scientific Innovation	301
5.1.2. Substantial Differences in Ontological and Epistemological Foundations	302
5.2. Combining Complementary Approaches to Explore the Governance of the Low-Carbon Transition	302
5.2.1. Advantages of the Complementarity-Based Approach compared to the Pluralistic Approach	303
5.2.2. Complementarity-Based Approaches for Making Knowledge about Governance in Low-Carbon Scenarios	303
Conclusion to the Chapter	306
CONCLUSION	311
1. Key Contributions of the Research	311
2. Avenues for Future Research	319
BIBLIOGRAPHY	323
APPENDIXES	355
Appendix 1. References of the Papers Reviewed in Chapter I	355

Appendix 2. Interview Guide	357
Appendix 3. Q Survey	359
Appendix 4. Distinguishing Items for Factor 1	361
Appendix 5. Distinguishing Items for Factor 2	362
Appendix 6. Distinguishing Items for Factor 3	363
Appendix 7. References of Papers Reviewed to Analyse STET Models	364