



FACULTÉ
DE LETTRES, TRADUCTION
& COMMUNICATION



UNIVERSITÉ LIBRE DE BRUXELLES

The Europeanisation of public spheres and the value-based politicisation of TTIP in Spain, France and the UK: From Permissive Consensus to Empowering Dissensus

Thèse présentée par Alvaro OLEART

en vue de l'obtention du grade académique de Docteur en Information
et Communication

Année académique 2018-2019

Sous la direction du Professeur François HEINDERYCKX

Centre de Recherche en Information et Communication (ReSIC)

Jury de thèse :

Ramona COMAN (Université libre de Bruxelles)

François FORET (Université libre de Bruxelles)

Nicolas BAYGERT (Université libre de Bruxelles)

Luciano MORGANTI (Vrije Universiteit Brussel)

Hans-Jörg TRENZ (University of Copenhagen)

Table of contents

INTRODUCTION	21
1. Why is TTIP interesting?	24
1.1. TTIP as the illustration of the corporate capture of the EU after various crisis: Values at stake in the European public spheres	24
1.2. The renewal of the Alter-globalisation movement against TTIP: the defence of ‘Another Europe’ is not equivalent to ‘Euroscepticism’	26
2. Research design: The Europeanisation of media discourse on TTIP in Spain, France and the UK through the lens of framing	28
3. Scope of the research and structure of the thesis	30
CHAPTER I. THE EUROPEANISATION OF NATIONAL PUBLIC SPHERES AND THE DIFFERENT TYPES OF POLITICISATION	33
1. An agonistic approach to the Europeanisation of the national public spheres	34
1.1. The European public sphere: a democratic aspiration for the EU from a deliberative and agonistic perspective	35
1.2. The Europeanisation of public spheres: a multi-layered phenomenon touching upon different national publics through the media	43
1.3. The EU’s democratic deficit: the depoliticised Europeanisation of public spheres since Maastricht and the ‘permissive consensus’	49
1.4. ‘Policy without politics’ in the EU and the agency in politicisation: the framing of values by Transnational Advocacy Networks (TANs)	53
2. Ideas, values and the politicisation of the EU: the central role of agonistic conflict for a democratic polity	60
2.1. The two faces of power: the battle of ideas to define political conflict and the hierarchy of values and interests	61
2.2. Great transformations: the articulation between the framing of values in the public sphere and policy paradigms	64
2.3. The neoliberal paradigm’s hegemony in Europe and its connection to European integration: the economy’s autonomy vis-à-vis the political sphere	69
2.4. Distinguishing agonistic from antagonistic politicisation of the EU: policy contestation as politics in the union, and EU polity contestation as politics of the union	73
3. The Agonistic Europeanisation of public spheres: matching ‘policy with politics’ as a path towards an ‘empowering dissensus’ for European integration	80
4. Conclusion: Agonistic Europeanisation of public spheres as a means to match ‘policy with politics’	85
CHAPTER II. FRAMING TTIP IN SPAIN, FRANCE AND THE UK: THEORY, METHODOLOGY AND DATA SETS	89

1. Framing analysis through Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA): an intertextual and sociological perspective	89
1.1. The social construction of language, discourse and texts: Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA).....	89
1.2. The two faces of framing and its connection to paradigms: frames, counter-frames and reframing	93
1.3. Framing issues in the media: beyond agenda-setting	98
1.3.1. The subordination of agenda-setting vis-à-vis framing.....	99
1.3.2. The hierarchy of frames and visual framing	102
1.4. Framing ‘Europe’ in Spain, France and the UK	104
1.4.1. The (lack of) EU politicisation in Spain and its ‘permissive consensus’: Europe as the path to modernisation	105
1.4.2. France and the EU: agonistic politicisation focused on social issues	108
1.4.3. European integration in the British public sphere: antagonistic politicisation based on the defence of national identity and sovereignty	110
1.4.4. Spain, France and UK: different ways of framing Europe and different types of politicisation	114
2. Methodology: framing analysis of EU-level actors’ press releases and media articles on TTIP in Spain, France and the UK	115
2.1. An atypical politicisation of EU affairs: the Europeanisation of national public spheres on TTIP in Spain, France and the UK	116
2.1.1. Actors’ discourses data set: which actors and texts?	120
2.1.2. Media data set: online news outlets as ‘framers’ of European issues in the national public spheres	125
2.2. Framing analysis of TTIP: a mixed-methods approach to identify and measure the presence of (diagnostic) frames in texts	133
2.2.1. Framing analysis of actors’ press releases and framing ratio of the media content	136
2.2.2. Lexicometry in the framing analysis of actors’ press releases: word frequency and specificities	139
2.2.3. Hierarchy of frames on TTIP through the framing ratio and the EU’s visual identity in the national media	141
2.2.4. Semi-structured interviews.....	142
3. Summary of chapter II.....	143
CHAPTER III - THE CLASH OF VALUES ON TTIP: IS IT ABOUT ‘JOBS AND GROWTH’ OR ABOUT ‘DEMOCRACY’?.....	145
1. TTIP in context: A neoliberal trade policy project in the 21st century.....	145

1.1. EU trade policy in the 21st century: both foreign and domestic policy.....	146
1.2. TTIP: a project driven by neoliberal values from within the EU	149
1.3. International trade negotiations as a catalyst for protests led by the Alter- globalist network.....	155
2. The first face of framing: the value-based framing of TTIP by actors.....	158
2.1. The European Commission: TTIP as a neoliberal opportunity for jobs and growth and global leadership for Europe	160
2.2. Business organisations: TTIP for jobs and growth	166
2.3. BEUC and ETUC: TTIP is a challenge to regulatory standards.....	170
2.4. S2B and FoEE put forward a value-based frame: TTIP is a corporate coup d'état against 'democracy'.....	175
3. Value-based frame coalitions on TTIP and frame categories: an agonistic clash of values between jobs and growth and corporations vs democracy	183
3.1. The Neoliberal coalition: 'Jobs and growth' and 'competitiveness' as values worth pursuing	186
3.2. The Critical Brussels bubble coalition: the protection of standards is at stake .	191
3.3. The value-based Alter-globalist coalition: Democracy at stake in TTIP	195
3.4. Categorising frames: Depoliticised, agonistic and process frames.....	201
4. Conclusion: The agonistic clash of values when making sense of TTIP and the different ways of framing TTIP	204
CHAPTER IV. FRAMING TTIP IN THE SPANISH, FRENCH AND BRITISH PUBLIC SPHERES	209
1. Frame and visual categories	210
1.1. Depoliticised frames	210
1.2. Agonistic frames: Reframing TTIP as a matter of democracy.....	212
1.3. Antagonistic frames: Reframing TTIP as a matter of (national) sovereignty	216
1.4. Process frames	217
1.5. Visual categories: building a visual imagery of TTIP.....	218
2. TTIP in the Spanish public sphere.....	220
2.1. Overview of the TTIP debate in Spain.....	220
2.2. Framing TTIP in Spain: agonistic politicisation travels to the mainstream departing from the left.....	224
2.2.1. February 2013 - September 2014: the initial TTIP debate framed around neoliberal values.....	229
2.2.2. European and national protests introduce TTIP into the mainstream: Agonistic politicisation emerges in Spain	234

2.3. The politicisation of TTIP in Spain: the EU is no longer a benevolent entity ...	244
3. TTIP in the French public sphere	245
3.1. Overview of the French TTIP debate.....	246
3.2. Framing TTIP in France: agonistic politicisation from the left reaches the mainstream	250
3.2.1. February 2013 - 14th April 2014: the progressive Médiapart introduces TTIP in France without much resonance.....	253
3.2.2. Becoming mainstream from the 2014 EU elections onwards: the agonistic politicisation of TTIP in France.....	255
3.3. The politicisation of TTIP in France: building on the Bolkestein directive	263
4. TTIP in the British public sphere	264
4.1. Overview of the British TTIP debate.....	265
4.2. Framing TTIP in the UK: TTIP, a threat to the NHS leads to its agonistic politicisation.....	268
4.2.1. February 2013-June 2014: British elites debate TTIP.....	272
4.2.2. The TTIP-NHS connection leads to agonistic politicisation in the British public sphere.....	274
4.3. Framing TTIP in the UK: the agonistic TTIP debate coexists with the antagonistic politicisation of Brexit	285
5. Conclusion: agonistic politicisation of TTIP in Spain, France and the UK.....	286
CHAPTER V. A TRANSVERSAL LOOK AT THE NATIONAL PUBLIC SPHERES ON TTIP: AGONISTIC EUROPEANISATION AND THE ‘EMPOWERING DISSENSUS’ FOR EUROPEAN INTEGRATION	289
1. A transversal look at the national public spheres on TTIP	289
1.1. Overview of the TTIP debate across the Spanish, French and British public spheres.....	289
1.2. The transnational framing of TTIP across Spain, France and the UK	294
1.2.1. February 2013 until mid-2014: the depoliticised Europeanisation of public spheres on TTIP.....	299
1.2.2. From mid-2014 to November 2016: Agonistic Europeanisation makes an episodic transnational public sphere on TTIP	303
1.3. Europeanising the public spheres and nationalising the TTIP debate: two intertwined processes	311
2. Agonistic Europeanisation, the bursting of the Brussels bubble and a potential great transformation in the making	313
2.1. The agonistic Europeanisation of the TTIP debate: politics in the union matches ‘policy with politics’.....	314

2.2. Bursting the Brussels bubble: A boomerang strategy touching upon different national publics	320
2.3. Policy effects of the TTIP debate's Agonistic Europeanisation: towards a Great transformation in EU trade policy	329
2.4. Agonistic Europeanisation and European integration: From Permissive Consensus to Empowering Dissensus	334
3. Conclusion: Agonistic Europeanisation as a way to match 'policy with politics'	339
CONCLUSION. POLITICS IN THE UNION: AGONISTIC EUROPEANISATION IN VALUE-BASED TTIP DEBATE AND THE 'EMPOWERING DISSENSUS' FOR EUROPEAN INTEGRATION	343
Overview of the research process	347
Contribution to the literature, shortcomings and avenues for further research: Bridging the European public sphere literature with EU politicisation	352
Value-based politicisation and the future of European integration: Contesting EU policies, empowering the EU as a polity	357
BIBLIOGRAPHY	369
INTERVIEWS	409
Spain	409
France	409
UK	409
EU	410
ANNEXES	411
1. Methodology	411
Annex 1: Coding levels I and II	411
Coding level I	411
Coding level II: Technique of framing analysis in Nvivo	412
Annex 2: Technique of framing analysis in Iramuteq	416
Annex 3: Visual categories	417
Annex 4: Guide of interviews	421
2. Sources	422
Annex 5: Corpus of actors submitted to framing analysis	422
Annex 6: Corpus subject to the framing analysis of media articles	429
United Kingdom	429
Spain	445
France	470

Annex 7: Transcription of interviews - selection.....	491
Annex 8: Full tables of the qualitative framing analysis	515

List of tables

Table 1. Number of signatures against TTIP in the three countries selected.....	119
Table 2. Selected actors for the framing analysis of press releases on TTIP	122
Table 3. News outlets selected by categories	128
Table 4. News outlets selected, its characteristics and the number of articles analysed	128
Table 5. Number of press releases by actor.....	159
Table 6. Number of EC press releases where frames have been identified.....	161
Table 7. Lexicon mobilised by the EC related to its jobs and growth frame	162
Table 8. Lexicon mobilised by the EC related to its global leadership frame.....	163
Table 9. Lexicon mobilised by the EC related to its transparent process counter-frame ...	164
Table 10. Number of TABC and BE press releases where frames have been identified....	167
Table 11. Lexicon mobilised by the TABC and BE related to the jobs and growth frame	168
Table 12. Lexicon mobilised by the European Commission related to the global leadership frame by BE and TABC	169
Table 13. Number of TABC and BE press releases where frames have been identified....	170
Table 14. Lexicon mobilised by the EC related to the challenge to regulatory standards frame by BEUC and ETUC.....	172
Table 15. Lexicon mobilised by the EC related to BEUC and ETUC's private tribunals frame	173
Table 16. Identified frames in the press releases of FoEE and S2B.....	176
Table 17. Lexicon mobilised by S2B and FoEE related to the corporations vs democracy frame	178
Table 18. Lexicon mobilised by S2B and FoEE related to the mass opposition frame	179
Table 19. Number of press releases of all actors where frames have been identified	185
Table 20. Number of press releases of all frame coalitions identified by frames found	186
Table 21. Specificities of the lexicon related to the jobs and growth frame mobilised by the different coalitions.....	190
Table 22. Specificities of the lexicon related to the global leadership frame mobilised by the different coalitions.....	191
Table 23. Specificities of the lexicon related to the private tribunals frame mobilised by the different coalitions.....	192
Table 24. Categories of the images included in the media articles sampled	218
Table 25. Number of articles collected from each news outlet in Spain	221
Table 26. Number of articles per semester in each Spanish news outlet (e.g. '13 01' = first semester of 2013).....	222

Table 27. Number of articles sampled by article type and news outlet from the Spanish media	223
Table 28. Articles by news outlet and author type in the Spanish news outlets	224
Table 29. Presence of visual categories by periods in the Spanish media.....	229
Table 30. Presence of images by category during the first period in Spanish media	232
Table 31. Presence of visual categories in the Spanish media during the second period...	243
Table 32. Number of articles collected in each French news outlet analysed.....	246
Table 33. Number of articles per semester in each of the three French news outlets	247
Table 34. Number of articles by its type and by news outlet	249
Table 35. Number of articles by news outlet and author type in the French media	250
Table 36. Presence of visual categories by period in the French media.....	253
Table 37. Presence of visual categories by news outlet in the French media during the first period of the TTIP debate in France.....	255
Table 38. Presence of visual categories by news outlet during the second period of the TTIP debate in the French media	262
Table 39. Number of articles sampled in the three British news outlets	265
Table 40. Number of articles sampled by news outlet over time by semester in the British public sphere	266
Table 41. Number of articles sampled by news outlet and article type in the British public sphere	267
Table 42. Articles by news outlet and author type in the British public sphere	268
Table 43. Presence of visual categories by period in the British public sphere	271
Table 44. Presence of visual categories by news outlet in the British media during the first period of the TTIP debate.....	274
Table 45. Presence of visual categories in the British media during the second period	284
Table 46. Number of articles sampled by national public sphere and type of news outlet	290
Table 47. Number of articles sampled by type of article and country.....	291
Table 48. Number of articles sampled by country by semester.....	291
Table 49. Number of articles sampled by type of news outlet over time (per semester) ...	293
Table 50. Presence of visual categories by country, including all the articles sampled	298
Table 51. Images by visual category and by period including all the articles sampled	299
Table 52. Presence of visual categories during the first period of the TTIP debate by country.....	301
Table 53. Presence of visual categories during the second period of the TTIP debate by country.....	307

List of figures

Figure 1. Connection(s) between Europeanisation and (de)politicisation depending on the type of conflict (agonistic/antagonistic) in the European public spheres	83
Figure 2. Steps in the identification and coding of the framing analysis	134
Figure 3. Specificities of the terms ‘job’, ‘economy’ and ‘growth’ by coalition	189
Figure 4. Specificities of the terms ‘private’, ‘ISDS’ and ‘foreign’ by coalition, the three linked to the private tribunals frame	193
Figure 5. Specificities of the terms ‘corporate’, ‘democracy’ and ‘threat’ by coalition, central words in the corporations vs democracy frame.....	199
Figure 6. Spider graph of the table above (each colour represents a different coalition)...	203
Figure 7. Chronological evolution of the number of articles by news outlet per semester (‘13 01’ = first semester of 2013).....	222
Figure 8. Framing Ratio (R) in terms of percentage of the leading depoliticised, agonistic and antagonistic frames by news outlet in the Spanish public sphere	225
Figure 9. Framing Ratio (R) in terms of percentage of the leading depoliticised, agonistic and antagonistic frames by period in the Spanish public sphere	227
Figure 10. Framing Ratio (R) in terms of percentage of the leading depoliticised, agonistic and antagonistic frames by news outlet during the first period in the Spanish public sphere	230
Figure 11. Framing Ratio (R) in terms of percentage of the process frames by period in the Spanish public sphere.....	233
Figure 12. Framing Ratio (R) in terms of percentage of the leading depoliticised, agonistic and antagonistic frames by news outlet during the second period of the TTIP debate in the Spanish public sphere	235
Figure 13. Chronological evolution of the articles published in the three French news outlets	248
Figure 14. Framing Ratio (R) in terms of percentage of the leading depoliticised, agonistic and antagonistic frames by news outlet in the French public sphere.....	251
Figure 15. Framing Ratio (R) in terms of percentage of the leading depoliticised, agonistic and antagonistic frames by period in the French public sphere	252
Figure 16. Framing Ratio (R) in terms of percentage of the leading depoliticised, agonistic and antagonistic frames by news outlet during the first period in the French public sphere	254
Figure 17. Framing Ratio (R) in terms of percentage of the leading depoliticised, agonistic and antagonistic frames by news outlet during the second period of the TTIP debate in the French public sphere.....	256
Figure 18. Framing Ratio (R) in terms of percentage of the process frames by period in the French public sphere	257

Figure 19. Number of articles sampled by news outlet over time by semester in the British public sphere	266
Figure 20. Framing Ratio (R) in terms of percentage of the leading depoliticised, agonistic and antagonistic frames by news outlet in the British public sphere	270
Figure 21. Framing Ratio (R) in terms of percentage of the leading depoliticised, agonistic and antagonistic frames by period in the British public sphere	271
Figure 22. Framing Ratio (R) in terms of percentage of the leading depoliticised, agonistic and antagonistic frames by news outlet in the British public sphere during the first period.....	272
Figure 23. Framing Ratio (R) in terms of percentage of the leading depoliticised, agonistic and antagonistic frames by news outlet in the British public sphere during the second period of the TTIP debate.....	276
Figure 24. Framing Ratio (R) in terms of percentage of the leading process frames by period in the British public sphere	283
Figure 25. Number of articles sampled by country by semester.....	292
Figure 26. Number of articles in each public sphere by semester and by ideological orientation of the news outlets	293
Figure 27. Framing Ratio (R) in terms of percentage of the leading depoliticised, agonistic and antagonistic frames by period (including the three countries)	296
Figure 28. Framing Ratio (R) in terms of percentage of the leading depoliticised, agonistic and antagonistic frames by country.....	297
Figure 29. Framing Ratio (R) in terms of percentage of the leading depoliticised, agonistic and antagonistic frames by country during the first period.....	300
Figure 30. Framing Ratio (R) in terms of percentage of the leading depoliticised, agonistic and antagonistic frames by country during the second period.....	304
Figure 31. Framing Ratio (R) in terms of percentage of the leading process frames by period.....	305

List of illustrations

Illustration 1. Image accompanying the article published on the 12th March 2013 at EL PAÍS, entitled ‘La CE propone las condiciones para negociar un área de libre comercio con Estados Unidos ’	231
Illustration 2. Image accompanying the article published on the 17th October 2015 at EL PAÍS, entitled ‘Miles de personas se manifiestan en varias ciudades contra la pobreza’	238
Illustration 3. Image accompanying the article published on the 22nd May 2016 at EL PAÍS, entitled ‘Todo lo que necesita saber sobre el TTIP’	243
Illustration 4. Image accompanying the article published on the 4th February 2015 at Le Monde, entitled ‘Le défi stratégique du partenariat transatlantique’	260
Illustration 5. Image accompanying the article published on the 22nd February 2016 in The Guardian, entitled ‘TTIP deal poses “real and serious risk” to NHS, says leading QC’	279
Illustration 6. Image accompanying the article published on the 4th May 2014 in the Spanish media eldiario.es, entitled ‘Tratado de Libre Comercio UE-EEUU: un sueño neoliberal, una pesadilla democrática’	302
Illustration 7. Image accompanying the article published on the 30th May 2016 at Financial Times, entitled ‘German activists plan legal action in bid to halt trade deal’	306