FACULTÉ DE MÉDECINE

UNIVERSITÉ LIBRE DE BRUXELLES

VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT BRUSSEL

Functional assessment during physical rehabilitation exercises using serious games

Thesis presented by Bruno BONNECHERE
with a view to obtaining the PhD Degree in Doctor in Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Sciences (ULB - "Docteur en Sciences Biomédicales et Pharmaceutiques") and in Doctor in Engineering Sciences (VUB)

Academic year 2018-2019

Supervisors: Professor Serge VAN SINT JAN (Université libre de Bruxelles)

Laboratory of Anatomy, Biomechanics and Organogenesis

and Professor Bart JANSEN (Vrije Universiteit Brussel)

Department of Electronics and Informatics - ETRO

CONTENTS

I GENERAL INTRODUCTION 1

1 BACKGROUND OF THE RESEARCH PROJECT 3
- 1.1 Context . . . 3
- 1.2 Aims and outline of the project . . . 5
  - 1.2.1 Aims and objectives . . . 5
  - 1.2.2 Outline . . . 6

2 THE REHABILITATION MEDICINE 7
- 2.1 Definition and principles . . . 7
- 2.2 A highly multidisciplinary work . . . 8
- 2.3 The neuromusculoskeletal system . . . 9
  - 2.3.1 The central nervous system (CNS) . . . 9
  - 2.3.2 The peripheral nervous system . . . 10
  - 2.3.3 The musculoskeletal system . . . 10
- 2.4 Treatment and exercises . . . 11
- 2.5 Adherence to treatment and motivational issues . . . 12
- 2.6 Functional evaluation and physical rehabilitation . . . 14
  - 2.6.1 Quality of measurement tools . . . 14
  - 2.6.2 Classical approach . . . 15
  - 2.6.3 New trends in functional evaluation . . . 17
  - 2.6.4 Summary and problems to solve . . . 21

3 THE SERIOUS GAMES 23
- 3.1 The games in rehabilitation . . . 23
- 3.2 Definition of Serious Games . . . 25
- 3.3 History . . . 26
- 3.4 Principle of action . . . 27
- 3.5 Field of applications . . . 28

4 THE USE OF COMMERCIAL VIDEO GAMES IN REHABILITATION: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW 29
- 4.1 Introduction . . . 31
- 4.2 Methods . . . 31
  - 4.2.1 Search strategy . . . 31
  - 4.2.2 Inclusion criteria . . . 32
  - 4.2.3 Data extraction . . . 33
- 4.3 Results . . . 33
- 4.4 Discussion . . . 35
- 4.5 Conclusion . . . 36

5 COST-EFFECTIVE (GAMING) MOTION AND BALANCE DEVICES FOR FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT: NEED OR HYPE? 37
- 5.1 Introduction . . . 39
- 5.2 High demand from the clinical field and public health services . . . 40

5.3 Why in an alternative needed? 41
5.4 Gaming hardware in scientific and clinical practice . . 41
5.5 Opportunities for the biomechanical community 48
5.6 Validity vs. reproducibility and clinical usefulness . . . 49
5.7 Conclusions 50

II LABORATORY VALIDATION 51

6 DETERMINATION OF THE VALIDITY AND REPRODUCIBILITY OF MORPHOLOGICAL MEASUREMENTS USING THE KINECT™ SENSOR: COMPARISON WITH STANDARD STEREOPHOTOGRAMMETRY. 53
6.1 Introduction 55
6.2 Methods 56
6.2.1 Participants 56
6.2.2 Materials 57
6.2.3 Data collection 57
6.2.4 Data processing and statistics 58
6.3 Results 61
6.3.1 Correlation between manually determined height and MMC values 61
6.3.2 Ratio between segments' length and body height 64
6.3.3 Morphological data comparison 66
6.4 Discussion 66
6.5 Conclusion 69

7 VALIDITY AND REPRODUCIBILITY OF THE KINECT™ WITHIN FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT ACTIVITIES: COMPARISON WITH STANDARD STEREOPHOTOGRAMMETRY 73
7.1 Introduction 75
7.2 Methods 76
7.2.1 Participants 76
7.2.2 Material and data 76
7.2.3 Data collection 77
7.2.4 Data processing and statistical analysis 77
7.3 Results 80
7.3.1 MMC-MBS discreptancies 80
7.3.2 Test-Retest reliability 84
7.4 Discussion 84

8 INTERCHANGEABILITY OF THE WII BALANCE BOARD™ FOR BIPEDAL BALANCE ASSESSMENT 89
8.1 Introduction 91
8.2 Methods 92
8.2.1 Participants 92
8.2.2 Measurement setup 92
8.2.3 Procedure 92
8.2.4 Data Processing 93
8.2.5 Statistics 94

8.3 Results 96
8.4 Discussion 97

III VALIDATION THROUGH SERIOUS GAMES 99

9 VALIDATION OF THE BALANCE BOARD™ FOR CLINICAL EVALUATION OF BALANCE DURING SERIOUS GAMING REHABILITATION EXERCISES 101
9.1 Introduction 103
9.2 Material and Method 104
9.2.1 Participants 104
9.2.2 Procedures 104
9.2.3 Data processing 105
9.2.4 Statistical analysis 106
9.3 Results 110
9.4 Discussion 110

10 3D ANALYSIS OF UPPER LIMBS MOTION DURING REHABILITATION EXERCISES USING THE KINECT™ SENSOR: DEVELOPMENT, LABORATORY VALIDATION AND CLINICAL APPLICATION 117
10.1 Introduction 119
10.2 Methods 122
10.3 Laboratory validation 129
10.3.1 Participants 129
10.3.2 Material 129
10.3.3 The Serious Games 130
10.3.4 Data processing and statistics 132
10.3.5 Results of the laboratory validation 132
10.4 Validation in Clinical Environment 134
10.4.1 Participants 134
10.4.2 Material 134
10.4.3 Data Processing and Statistics 134
10.4.4 Results of the Validation in the Clinical Environment 135
10.5 Discussion 138
10.6 Conclusion 141

IV CLINICAL VALIDATION 143

11 SUITABILITY OF FUNCTIONAL EVALUATION EMBEDDED IN SERIOUS GAME REHABILITATION EXERCISES TO ASSESS MOTOR DEVELOPMENT THROUGH AGES. 145
11.1 Introduction 147
11.2 Materials and methods 147
11.3 Results 149
11.4 Discussion 149

12 AUTOMATED FUNCTIONAL UPPER LIMB EVALUATION OF PATIENTS WITH FRIEDREICH'S ATAXIA USING SERIOUS GAMES 155

12.1 Background 157
12.2 Methods 159
12.2.1 Patients 159
12.2.2 Clinical evaluation 159
12.2.3 The serious game 160
12.2.4 Data processing 161
12.2.5 Statistics 163
12.3 Results 163
12.4 Discussion 167
12.5 Conclusion 170

V GENERAL DISCUSSION 171

13 THE END OF ACTIVE VIDEO GAMES AND THE CONSEQUENCES FOR REHABILITATION 173

14 DISCUSSION 177
14.1 Summary of the results and other studies 177
14.1.1 Laboratory validation 177
14.1.2 Validation through Serious Games 179
14.1.3 Clinical validation 181
14.2 Clinical efficacy 182
14.3 Technology's sustainability 184
14.4 Simple analysis or 3D evaluation? 186
14.5 Ethical issues 188
14.6 What about the brain? 189
14.7 Limitations 193
14.8 Future developments 194
14.8.1 Integration of SG in the conventional rehabilitation 194
14.8.2 Is it limited to rehabilitation? 199
14.8.3 Virtual reality 202
14.8.4 Robotics 202
14.8.5 A solution for emerging countries? 203
14.8.6 Pose detection 205
14.8.7 Balance evaluation 207
14.8.8 Tele-monitoring 208
14.8.9 Machine learning & Data Mining 208

15 CONCLUSION 211

BIBLIOGRAPHY 217

Appendix 247