

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION: SOMEWHERE	1
<i>The Spatial Frame</i>	1
<i>The Geographical Frame</i>	11
<i>Chronological Frame</i>	13
I. LANDSCAPE AS SPACE	19
1. The “hole” in modern British sculpture; initiating the spatial relationship with the frame	19
2. From New Biology to Superrealism; how Nature set the standard for British Sculpture	21
2.1. <i>Nature-inspired aesthetic notions and their impact at the time</i>	23
2.1.1. <i>Vitalism and Organicism</i>	23
2.1.2. <i>Truth to Material</i>	26
2.1.3. <i>Touch</i>	27
2.1.4. <i>The Human Figure</i>	30
2.1.5. <i>Englishness</i>	32
2.2. <i>Resulting definitions and counter-definitions of Sculpture</i>	35
3. Henry Moore’s evolving conception and introduction of space	39
3.1. <i>Biomorphism: from Landscape to Sculpture</i>	39
3.1.2. <i>Bones, joints, holes: articulating space</i>	43
3.2. <i>The Organic Whole or the harmony of dismembered sculpture</i>	47
3.2.1. <i>Strings: visualization of spatial relations</i>	48
3.3. <i>Interior / Exterior pieces</i>	50
3.4. <i>Dismembered bodies; from Sculpture to Landscape</i>	56
II. ARCHITECTONIC SPACE	63
1. Re-envisaged sculptural models	63
1.1. <i>New influences: new spaces</i>	65

1.1.2. <i>David Smith, Kenneth Noland and drawing in space</i>	66
2. Caro, Greenberg and Fried – Formalist appropriation	71
2.1. <i>Space and colour: lines, images and depth</i>	76
2.2. <i>Weightlessness</i>	77
2.3. <i>“Presence”</i>	79
2.4. <i>Absorption – Theatricality – Objecthood</i>	83
2.5. <i>The horizontal revolution</i>	89
3. From represented to habitable space	90
3.1. <i>Visual Delimitation</i>	90
3.2. <i>Planes and Edges</i>	92
3.3. <i>Architecture and Corporal space</i>	98
3.4. <i>Architecture and Interior space</i>	101
3.5. <i>Habitable Interiority</i>	102
4. Movement from, towards and within space	108
III. THE BODY AS THE BRIDGE BETWEEN SPACE AND TIME	115
1. The sacrificed “New Generation”	115
2. Early works	121
2.1. <i>Isaac Witkin</i>	121
2.2. <i>Tim Scott</i>	122
2.3. <i>Phillip King</i>	126
2.3.1. <i>Spatial Expansion</i>	128
2.4. <i>William Tucker</i>	132
3. The 1970s Shifts	134
3.1. <i>Isaac Witkin</i>	134
3.2. <i>Tim Scott</i>	138
3.3. <i>Philip King</i>	141
3.3.1. <i>Stepping out of the “present”</i>	142
3.3.2. <i>The human figure</i>	145
3.4. <i>William Tucker</i>	147
3.4.1. <i>Perception as a governing principle</i>	148
3.4.2. <i>The body: representation and perception</i>	150
3.4.2.1 <i>Rodin</i>	152
3.4.3. <i>Thingness</i>	157

3.4.4. <i>Inhabitation</i>	158
4. The unconsidered heritage of the New Generation	160
5. Landscape and Architecture: Britain in the Expanded Field?	163
IV. LANDSCAPE AS SPACE AND TIME	169
1. A Changing Landscape	169
2. Space + Body = Sculpture	175
2.1. <i>The theoretical frame of terrestrial experience</i>	176
2.2. <i>When experience became sculpture</i>	179
2.3. <i>A Line Made by Walking</i>	181
3. In Effort We Trust	197
3.1. <i>Walking through the landscape</i>	197
3.2. <i>Light Touch</i>	199
3.3. <i>Effort</i>	201
4. Trust	203
4.1. <i>Photos, Maps, Texts and other second-hand communication</i>	207
5. Growing Spaces; Landscape's temporality	213
5.1. <i>The Colour of Space</i>	214
5.2. <i>From Truth to Material to Truth to Tools</i>	218
5.3. <i>Truth to Frame: Time and Change</i>	221
5.3.1. <i>Constructed Frames</i>	222
5.3.2. <i>The Temporal Frame</i>	223
5.3.3. <i>Trees = Space and Time</i>	225
6. The Landscape's Cycle: Circular Time	232
6.1. <i>Goldsworthy's position vis-à-vis the British Landscape Tradition</i>	235
6.2. <i>Pursuing the North</i>	239
6.3. <i>Goldsworthy and Truth to Frame</i>	241
6.3.1. <i>Delegating to the Frame</i>	242
6.4. <i>Approaching Space</i>	243
6.4.1. <i>Enclosure: the space(s) in play in The Sheepfolds</i>	244
6.4.2. <i>Change in space</i>	247
6.4.3. <i>Disrupting the Cycle: a study of Midsummer Snowballs</i>	251
6.5. <i>Transience and permanence in relation to cyclicity and scale</i>	254
6.6. <i>Goldsworthy's use of photography and video</i>	257

6.7. <i>Re-evaluating the pertinence of Landscape related site-specificity in the case Goldsworthy</i>	260
7. The progressive incorporation of time in space	264
V. REMATERIALIZING SCULPTURE – NEGATING THE FRAME	267
1. New British Sculpture	267
2. Radical Materialism	269
2.1. <i>Displacement</i>	271
2.2. <i>The Part and the Whole (1)</i>	275
2.3. <i>New Objects</i>	276
2.4. <i>The Part and the Whole (2) Concertinaing scales and the Cosmic Whole</i>	278
3. Deacon; the material poet	280
3.1. <i>Fabricator</i>	281
3.2. <i>Donald Judd >< William Tucker; contradictory influences</i>	285
4. A Materialist conclusion: the spatial autonomy hypothesis	290
VI. MOULDED SPACE – FROM MEMORY TO EXPERIENCE	301
1. The Imprint	304
2. Contemporary Imprints	306
3. Rachel Whiteread: Inside-Out	315
3.1. <i>From Private to Public spaces</i>	315
3.2. <i>Architectonic Imprints: Negative Spaces</i>	319
3.2.1. <i>Architectonic Limbs and Organs</i>	328
3.3. <i>Personal Memories in Public Spaces</i>	331
3.4. <i>The Space We Take Up</i>	339
4. Antony Gormley: Outside – In	342
4.1. <i>Enveloping imprints</i>	342
4.2. <i>Hollow Body Imprints with Orifices</i>	349
4.2.1. <i>Interiority as the Sense of Being</i>	355
4.2.2. <i>Architecture as Second Skin</i>	359
4.2.3. <i>The Accessible Inner Space</i>	362
4.3. <i>The Space around the Collective Body</i>	368
4.3.1. <i>Challenging the frame</i>	368
4.3.2. <i>Challenging frames</i>	369
5. Another Monument	373

CONCLUSION: <i>THE ENCOMPASSING FRAME</i>	381
<i>Landscape</i>	381
<i>Architecture</i>	384
<i>Prisms</i>	387
<i>Spatial Internalization</i>	390
<i>The spatial frame of Sculpture</i>	391
BIBLIOGRAPHY	397