

# Table of contents

Acknowledgements . . . . .	I
Table of contents . . . . .	V
List of figures . . . . .	XI
List of tables . . . . .	XV
List of abbreviations . . . . .	XVII
<b>Chapter 1: Introduction . . . . .</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>1.1 Agroforestry, a traditional farming system that has been reinvented . . . . .</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>1.2 Agroforestry systems to address challenges in modern agriculture . . . . .</b>	<b>21</b>
1.2.1 Agroforestry and the Sustainable Development Goals . . . . .	21
1.2.2 Evaluating agroforestry against the Ecosystem Services Framework . . . . .	23
<b>1.3 Interpreting agroforestry as a transformative solution . . . . .</b>	<b>27</b>
1.3.1 A diversity of agricultural models . . . . .	27
1.3.2 Positioning agroforestry within the spectrum of agricultural models . . . . .	30
1.3.3 Agroforestry as an example of an agroecological innovation . . . . .	30
<b>1.4 Policy support for agroforestry systems in the EU . . . . .</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>1.5 Flanders as a case study . . . . .</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>1.6 A systemic, interdisciplinary and participatory research project . . . . .</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>1.7 Objectives, research questions and outline. . . . .</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>1.8 References . . . . .</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>Chapter 2: Research approach . . . . .</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>2.1 A comprehensive overview of FSR. . . . .</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>2.2 A FSR approach to agricultural innovation. . . . .</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>2.3 Practical implementation of FSR in this thesis . . . . .</b>	<b>58</b>
2.3.1 General overview . . . . .	58
2.3.2 FSR building blocks . . . . .	59
2.3.3 Triangulation . . . . .	61
• <i>Diagnosis and Design</i> . . . . .	62

---

• <i>Framework of Renting for exploring multifunctional agriculture</i> . . . . .	63
<b>2.3.4 Research approaches . . . . .</b>	<b>64</b>
• <i>Chapter 3: Socio-psychological analysis and descriptive analysis</i> . . . . .	66
• <i>Chapter 4: Agricultural Innovation System analysis</i> . . . . .	67
• <i>Chapter 5: Q-methodology analysis</i> . . . . .	68
• <i>Chapter 6: Exploratory analysis</i> . . . . .	69
• <i>Other studies and activities conducted in the context of the agroforestry project</i> . .	70
<b>3.3 References . . . . .</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>Chapter 3: Scene setting. . . . .</b>	<b>73</b>
<b>3.1 Introduction . . . . .</b>	<b>74</b>
<b>3.2 Materials and methods . . . . .</b>	<b>76</b>
3.2.1 Study area . . . . .	76
3.2.2 Theoretical framework . . . . .	78
3.2.3 Procedure and data collection . . . . .	81
3.2.4 Data analysis . . . . .	84
<b>3.3 Results . . . . .</b>	<b>85</b>
3.3.1 Summary statistics. . . . .	85
3.3.2 Knowledge, perceptions and opinions. . . . .	88
3.3.3 Agroforestry in Flanders . . . . .	92
<b>3.4 Discussion . . . . .</b>	<b>96</b>
<b>3.5 Conclusion . . . . .</b>	<b>99</b>
<b>3.6 References . . . . .</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Chapter 4: AIS analysis. . . . .</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>4.1 Introduction . . . . .</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>4.2 Methodology . . . . .</b>	<b>110</b>
4.2.1 Study area . . . . .	110
4.2.2 Conceptual framework . . . . .	111
4.2.3 Data collection and analysis . . . . .	113
<b>4.3 Results and discussion . . . . .</b>	<b>116</b>
4.3.1 Actors and their contributions to system functions . . . . .	116
• <i>Research and education domain</i> . . . . .	120

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

• <i>Enterprise domain</i> . . . . .	121
• <i>Intermediary domain</i> . . . . .	122
• <i>Government domain</i> . . . . .	123
• <i>Society domain</i> . . . . .	124
<b>4.3.2 Systemic structural failures and merits . . . . .</b>	<b>125</b>
• <i>Infrastructure</i> . . . . .	127
• <i>Institutions</i> . . . . .	128
• <i>Interaction</i> . . . . .	129
• <i>Capabilities</i> . . . . .	130
• <i>Market structure</i> . . . . .	130
<b>4.3.3 Systemic transformational failures and merits . . . . .</b>	<b>131</b>
• <i>Directionality</i> . . . . .	131
• <i>Demand articulation</i> . . . . .	133
• <i>Policy coordination</i> . . . . .	133
• <i>Reflexivity</i> . . . . .	134
<b>4.3.4 Improvement pathways . . . . .</b>	<b>134</b>
<b>4.4 Conclusion . . . . .</b>	<b>140</b>
<b>4.5 References . . . . .</b>	<b>141</b>
<b>Chapter 5: Q-methodology analysis . . . . .</b>	<b>149</b>
<b>5.1 Introduction . . . . .</b>	<b>150</b>
<b>5.2 Material and Methods . . . . .</b>	<b>153</b>
5.2.1 Step 1: Generating the communication concourse . . . . .	153
5.2.2 Step 2: Setting up the Q-set . . . . .	154
5.2.3 Step 3: Selection of Q-sorters . . . . .	154
5.2.4 Step 4: Ranking of statements by respondents (i.e. the Q-sort) . . . . .	156
5.2.5 Step 5: Factor analysis . . . . .	157
5.2.6 Step 6: Interpretation of factor scores . . . . .	157
<b>5.3 Results . . . . .</b>	<b>158</b>
5.3.1 Interviews and Qsorts . . . . .	158
5.3.2 Factor analysis . . . . .	158
5.3.3 Factor interpretation . . . . .	164

---

• <i>Design and Management</i> . . . . .	164
• <i>Policy and Regulation</i> . . . . .	165
• <i>Economy and market</i> . . . . .	165
• <i>Sociology and ecology</i> . . . . .	166
• <i>Productivity and Efficiency</i> . . . . .	166
• <i>Neoliberalism, Neomercantilism and Multifunctionality</i> . . . . .	167
<b>5.4 Discussion</b> . . . . .	<b>168</b>
5.4.1 Stakeholders interpret agroforestry and its enabling environment differently.	168
5.4.2 Agroforestry perspectives are associated with discourses on agricultural food production . . . . .	170
5.4.3 Policy implications. . . . .	171
<b>5.5 Conclusion</b> . . . . .	<b>172</b>
<b>5.6 References</b> . . . . .	<b>173</b>
<b>Chapter 6: Exploratory analysis</b> . . . . .	<b>181</b>
<b>6.1 Introduction</b> . . . . .	<b>182</b>
<b>6.2 Methodology</b> . . . . .	<b>183</b>
<b>6.3 Results and discussion</b> . . . . .	<b>185</b>
6.3.1 Exploratory analysis . . . . .	185
6.3.2 Case study analysis . . . . .	188
• <i>Agroforestry plantation subsidy</i> . . . . .	189
• <i>Agroforestry plantation subsidy</i> . . . . .	193
• <i>Humusaufbau project</i> . . . . .	194
• ‘ <i>Woodland eggs</i> ’ brand . . . . .	195
• <i>Pomona</i> . . . . .	196
6.3.3 Insights and policy recommendations . . . . .	197
<b>6.4 Conclusion</b> . . . . .	<b>198</b>
<b>6.5 References</b> . . . . .	<b>199</b>
<b>Chapter 7: Discussion</b> . . . . .	<b>205</b>
<b>7.1 Introduction</b> . . . . .	<b>206</b>
<b>7.2 Development pathways and recommendations</b> . . . . .	<b>207</b>
7.2.1 The science and technological pathway (S&T) . . . . .	207

---

7.2.2	The market and financial pathway (M&F) . . . . .	210
7.2.3	The policy and institutional pathway (P&I) . . . . .	212
7.2.4	The educational and organizational pathway (E&O) . . . . .	215
7.2.5	The social and behavioral pathway (S&B) . . . . .	216
<b>7.3</b>	<b>Reflections . . . . .</b>	<b>219</b>
7.3.1	Reflections on agroecological transitions . . . . .	219
•	<i>Leveling the playing field for AF systems in Flanders</i> . . . . .	219
•	<i>Holistic versus reductionist agroecology</i> . . . . .	219
•	<i>Bottom-up versus top-down approach for agroecological transitions</i> . . . . .	222
•	<i>Realizing through AF the promise of an agroecological approach</i> . . . . .	223
7.3.2	Reflections on the research approach . . . . .	225
•	<i>Systems thinking</i> . . . . .	226
•	<i>Participation</i> . . . . .	227
•	<i>Interdisciplinarity</i> . . . . .	228
•	<i>Reflexive note</i> . . . . .	230
7.3.3	Contributions to temperate agroforestry literature . . . . .	230
<b>7.4</b>	<b>Conclusions . . . . .</b>	<b>232</b>
<b>7.5</b>	<b>References . . . . .</b>	<b>233</b>
<b>Chapter 8: Conclusion . . . . .</b>		<b>239</b>
<b>8.1</b>	<b>Take-home messages . . . . .</b>	<b>240</b>
THM 1	<i>The current state of agriculture does not leave space for AF adoption</i> . . . . .	240
THM 2	<i>The adoption of valuable AF depends on changing perspectives on agriculture</i> . . . . .	240
THM 3	<i>Creating opportunities for AF requests systemic change and collaborative action</i> . . . . .	241
THM 4	<i>New economic instruments can create leeway for AF adoption</i> . . . . .	241
<b>8.2</b>	<b>Suggestions for further research. . . . .</b>	<b>242</b>
8.2.1	Suggestions for agroforestry adoption and development research . . . . .	242
•	<i>Conducting comparative case study analyses</i> . . . . .	242
•	<i>Developing tailored actions plans for agroforestry pathways</i> . . . . .	242
8.2.2	Suggestions for farming systems research. . . . .	243
•	<i>Developing multi-dimensional frameworks for evaluating FSR</i> . . . . .	243

---

• <i>Developing appropriate research performance measures</i> . . . . .	243
<b>8.3 References</b> . . . . .	<b>244</b>
<b>Annexes</b> . . . . .	<b>245</b>
<b>Annex 1 - Factor analysis and interpretation</b> . . . . .	<b>246</b>
A.1.1 Factor analysis . . . . .	246
• <i>Step 1: Input table</i> . . . . .	246
• <i>Step 2: Matrix with correlation coefficients</i> . . . . .	246
• <i>Step 3: Principal component analysis</i> . . . . .	246
• <i>Step 4: Varimax rotation</i> . . . . .	246
A.1.2 Factor interpretation . . . . .	248
• <i>Factor loadings</i> . . . . .	248
• <i>Q-sort scores</i> . . . . .	248
• <i>Distinguishing statements</i> . . . . .	249
A.1.3 Decision on the amount of factors to retain . . . . .	250
A.1.4 References . . . . .	251
<b>Annex 2 - Curriculum Vitae</b> . . . . .	<b>257</b>
<b>Annex 3 - Doctoral training results</b> . . . . .	<b>258</b>
<b>Annex 4 - English summary</b> . . . . .	<b>260</b>
<b>Annex 5 - Résumé français</b> . . . . .	<b>261</b>