



Université libre de Bruxelles

Faculté des Sciences Appliquées
OPERA - Wireless Communications Group

**DISTRIBUTED SPECTRUM SENSING
AND INTERFERENCE MANAGEMENT
WITH LOW CAPACITY CONTROL CHANNELS
FOR COOPERATIVE COGNITIVE RADIOS**

Dissertation originale présentée en vue de l'obtention du Grade de

Docteur en Sciences de l'Ingénieur

et préparée sous la direction des Professeurs
François Horlin et Philippe De Doncker

par

Olivier van den Biggelaar

Composition du Jury:

Prof. Philippe Emplit (Président)

Prof. Gianluca Bontempi

Prof. Jean-Michel Dricot

Prof. Ingrid Moerman

Prof. Luc Vandendorpe

Prof. François Horlin (Promoteur)

Prof. Philippe De Doncker
(co-Promoteur)

Abstract

Cognitive radios have been proposed as a new technology to counteract the spectrum scarcity issue and increase the spectral efficiency. In cognitive radios, the sparse assigned frequency bands are opened to secondary users, provided that interference induced on the primary licensees is negligible. Cognitive radios are established in two steps: the radios firstly sense the available frequency bands by detecting the presence of primary users and secondly communicate using the bands that have been identified as not in use by the primary users. In this thesis we investigate how to improve the efficiency of cognitive radio networks when multiple cognitive radios cooperate to sense the spectrum or control their interferences. A major challenge in the design of cooperating devices lays in the need for exchange of information between these devices. Therefore, in this thesis we identify three specific types of control information exchange whose efficiency can be improved. Specifically, we first study how cognitive radios can efficiently exchange sensing information with a coordinator node when the reporting channels are noisy. Then, we propose distributed learning algorithms allowing to allocate the primary network sensing times and the secondary transmission powers within the secondary network. Both distributed allocation algorithms minimize the need for information exchange compared to centralized allocation algorithms. In Chapter 2, we study the impact of the noise appearing on the control channels used by the secondary users to exchange their sensing information with the coordinator node. We model the control channel noise as noise coming from the two-bits non-uniform quantization of the energy measure at each node plus noise coming from the non-uniform bit flipping on the control channel. Using this model, we compute analytically the probability density functions of the noise, which allows to select the quantization step as well as the bit flipping probabilities so as to reduce the impact of the error. A new optimal fusion rule is proposed for the

coordinator node, that takes into account the control channel noise distribution. Numerical simulations show that this new scheme outperforms the Maximum Ratio Combining scheme when different false alarm probabilities are used by the nodes. The sensing times, i.e. the number of samples used by the secondary nodes to sense the primary network, should be chosen high enough to ensure the correct detection of the primary emitter but low enough so that the nodes still have enough time to communicate. In Chapter 3, we propose a decentralized Q-Learning algorithm to allocate the sensing times of the cognitive radios in a way that maximizes the throughputs of the radios while simultaneously limiting the interference induced on the primary network. A rigorous proof of the convergence of the proposed algorithm is provided. Numerical results show that the algorithm converges faster than a reference distributed sensing time allocation algorithm, with a lower time and memory complexity. The average throughputs per node achieved with the proposed Q-Learning algorithm are inferior to those achieved with the optimal centralized sensing time allocation algorithm but superior to those achieved with the reference distributed allocation algorithm. In Chapter 4, we study how some form of cooperation between cognitive radios allow to achieve efficient secondary communications. For this purpose we consider the scenario of an underlay network of multiple independent secondary cells using the same frequency and time resources as a primary network made up of one central primary emitter and several passive primary receivers whose positions are unknown. In order to protect the primary receivers from receiving harmful interference from the secondary users, a primary protection contour is defined on which the received primary Signal on Interference-plus-Noise Ratio (SINR) must be superior to a given threshold. To satisfy this constraint, the secondary transmission powers are controlled by the secondary base stations in order to guarantee that no harmful interference is induced on the primary receivers located inside the protection contour. In addition, as each secondary cell uses the same primary bandwidth, multiple secondary users from different cells may be interfering as well. To address this

issue, we propose in Chapter 4 a new Q-Learning distributed power allocation algorithm that allows to maintain secondary transmission powers to reasonable levels in order to limit secondary-to-secondary interference. A rigorous proof of the convergence of the proposed algorithm is provided. Numerical results show that the implementation of a cost function that penalizes the actions leading to a higher than required secondary SINR gives better results than the implementation of a cost function without such penalty, in terms of level of harmful interference induced on both the primary and the secondary network.

Keywords

Cognitive Radios, Cooperation, Multi-Agent Q-Learning, Control Information, Control Channels

Contents

Abstract	iii
Contents	vii
List of Figures	xi
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Spectrum Scarcity	1
1.2 Cooperative Spectrum Sensing	4
1.2.1 Objectives of Spectrum Sensing	4
1.2.2 Signal Fading and Receiver Uncertainty	5
1.2.3 Benefits and Challenges of Cooperation for Spectrum Sensing	7
1.2.4 Cooperative Spectrum Sensing Topologies	8
1.2.5 Cooperative Spectrum Sensing Elements	9
1.3 Aggregated Interferences	26
1.4 Multi-Agent Learning	29
1.4.1 Multi-Agent Systems	29
1.4.2 Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning	32
1.4.3 Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning Algorithms	39
1.5 Contribution and outline of the Thesis	46
2 New Fusion Rule under Imperfect Control Channels	49
2.1 Introduction	49
2.2 Cooperative Spectrum Sensing Model	50
2.3 Soft Metric Combination Schemes	54
2.4 Control channel error model	56
2.4.1 Quantization error	57

2.4.2	Transmission error	58
2.4.3	Total error	59
2.5	Selection of the model parameters that minimize the control channel error	60
2.5.1	Quantization error parameters	60
2.5.2	Transmission error parameters	61
2.6	Improved fusion rule at the coordinator node	63
2.6.1	New optimal values for the combination weights . . .	64
2.6.2	Analytical expression for the combination weights . .	65
2.7	Numerical Results	67
2.7.1	Scenario	67
2.7.2	Control channel error PDF and selection of its parameters	68
2.7.3	Fusion rule at the coordinator node	71
2.8	Conclusion	74
3	Distributed Allocation of the Sensing Time	77
3.1	Introduction	77
3.2	Problem Formulation	79
3.2.1	Local Spectrum Sensing	79
3.2.2	Decision Combination	80
3.2.3	Throughput of a secondary user	82
3.3	Q-Learning Implementation for Sensing Time Allocation . . .	85
3.4	Proof of Convergence of the Decentralized Sensing Time Al- location Algorithm	88
3.4.1	Convergence of the Q-values to a Stationary Point . .	88
3.4.2	Asymptotic Stability of the Stationary Point	90
3.5	Numerical Results	93
3.5.1	Simulation Setup	93
3.5.2	Convergence of the Q-Learning Algorithm	95
3.5.3	Quality of the Q-Learning Algorithm Solution	98
3.5.4	Optimal Frequency of Execution	99

3.6	Conclusion	100
4	Distributed Power Allocation for Aggregated Interference Control	103
4.1	Introduction	103
4.2	Aggregated Interference Control Model	105
4.3	Centralized Power Allocation	108
4.4	Decentralized Power Allocation	110
4.5	Proof of Convergence of the Decentralized Power Allocation Algorithm	114
4.5.1	Convergence of the Q-values to a Stationary Point	114
4.5.2	Asymptotic Stability of the Stationary Point	117
4.6	Numerical Results	120
4.6.1	Performance Evaluation Methodology	120
4.6.2	Simulation Setup	121
4.6.3	Interference on the Secondary Network	122
4.6.4	Interference on the Primary Network	123
4.7	Conclusion	125
5	Conclusion	127
	Bibliography	131
	List of publications	145