

# The Sustainability of Economic Growth in Abu Dhabi

Bram Smeets

A thesis submitted for the degree of  
*PhD in Economics and Management sciences*

Under supervision of Prof.dr. Ali H. Bayar  
Academic Year 2012-2013

Académie Universitaire Wallonie-Bruxelles  
Université Libre de Bruxelles  
Solvay Brussels School of Economics & Management

---

## **Doctoral committee**

Promotor:

- Prof. A.H. Bayar (Université Libre de Bruxelles)

Committee members:

- Prof. M.A. Cardenete (Universidad Loyola Andalucia, Seville, Spain)
- Prof. M. Cincera (Université Libre de Bruxelles)
- Prof. C. Erbil (Boston College, Boston (MA), US)
- Prof. W. Hecq (Université Libre de Bruxelles)
- Dr. M. Opese (Université Libre de Bruxelles)

Dissertation defended in Brussels,  
on Wednesday 10 July 2013 at 6 pm.

## Abstract

Abu Dhabi has experienced an unprecedented development during the last half century, growing rapidly from a remote desert settlement to a thriving metropolitan. Today, the Emirate ranks among the countries with the highest GDP per capita in the world, and this impressive development is anticipated to continue in the decades to come.

However, there are several challenges to the sustainability of the current economic prosperity, and the environmental degradation that was caused by the rapid development is an important factor in this context. Today, the United Arab Emirates as a country has the highest ecological footprint per capita in the world and Abu Dhabi, hosting the major part of the heavy industries and oil extraction capacity in the country, has an even larger footprint. Key drivers of this poor environmental track-record are the high greenhouse gas emissions and water consumption levels.

This deterioration of environmental conditions has growing implications for the economic welfare and physical well-being of the population. So far, the government's environmental policy is mostly symbolic, and concrete policy measures are largely lacking today. On the contrary, there are crucial elements in the governmental policy that have strong negative impacts on environmental conditions and thus on the sustainability of Abu Dhabi's growth, such as generous implicit subsidies on energy commodities and water and an ambitious strategy for economic growth, depending on a strong expansion of heavy industry.

This poses the question how environmental conditions will develop, when the population boom and economic expansion are anticipated to continue. However, the academic literature on environmental sustainability issues in Abu Dhabi as well as in the wider Gulf region is limited. Moreover, applied policy studies on the topic are absent as well.

This dissertation intends to contribute to the academic literature as well as to insights from existing policy studies, by projecting the impact of sustained economic growth on environmental conditions in Abu Dhabi. It compares a baseline scenario of economic growth with the four most relevant policy options aimed at footprint reductions available to policy makers in the Emirate: i) The introduction of a nuclear power plant; ii) An abandonment

of utility price controls; iii) Shifts in the subsidization policy of water and energy markets; iv) Energy efficiency improvements in selected parts of the economy.

A recursively dynamic, multi-sectoral computable general equilibrium (CGE) model is used to generate the results in this dissertation, focusing on the two most important aspects of the ecological footprint in Abu Dhabi mentioned above. The CGE model is calibrated to a SAM for Abu Dhabi for 2009, and its specification is chosen to facilitate a focus on energy consumption and sustainability issues. Besides, it is extended by an environmental module and a fossil fuel module, and it incorporates several other modifications that are tailored to the Abu Dhabi economy.

Simulation results under a baseline scenario of economic growth show that carbon emissions will grow by 282% by 2030 compared to the base year 2009, and water consumption is anticipated to increase by 312%.

The introduction of nuclear plants, at the scale that is previewed today, will yield a reduction in emissions of 2.6% compared to the baseline scenario. The economic impact will be positive, with a 0.5% increase in GDP and small gains in employment levels.

Price liberalizations in the utility markets are a politically sensitive theme. When implemented, they can yield a 7.6% reduction in emissions and a 2.3% in water consumption by 2030 (vs. baseline). However, the economic cost involved amounts to 0.3% of GDP.

An abandonment of subsidies in the energy and water markets can lead to a 11.1% drop in carbon emissions, and a 28.8% decline in water consumption vs. baseline. The domestic economic impacts of this change are negative, but the GDP shows a modest 0.6% growth, due to improvements in the foreign trade balance.

Finally, efficiency improvements can lead to reductions in carbon emissions (13.8%) and water consumption (17.5%) compared to the baseline, and bring economic gains of 1.0% of GDP.

All four simulated policy scenarios in this dissertation bring about reductions in the ecological footprint, compared to the baseline as described above. Nonetheless, the consumption levels of energy and water as well as the related carbon emissions will be substantially higher in 2030 than they are today, under each of these scenarios. As a policy implication, the dissertation therefore finds that the previewed deterioration in environmental conditions requires active policy, if current welfare and prosperity are to be sustained. When assessed in the appropriate policy context, environmental conservation and improvements in the ecological footprint should be treated with a higher priority in the broad portfolio of development goals in Abu Dhabi.

## Acknowledgements

I would like to express my deep gratitude to:

- My supervisor Ali H. Bayar, Professor at the Université Libre de Bruxelles and President of the EcoMod economic modeling network. His guidance and valuable ideas have helped me tremendously to stay focused and to overcome the challenges that are part of the journey towards a successful finalization of the Ph.D. Ali, thank you for your supervision and for our many pleasant discussions, in Brussels or around the world.
- The other members of my commission - Prof. Can Erbil, for inspiring me to join the EcoMod family while I was his student at Brandeis University, and for his support ever since; Masudi Opese, for explaining the dataset and the underlying assumptions at the beginning of this project so patiently; and Prof. Alejandro Cardenete, Prof. Walter Hecq and Prof. Michele Cincera for their valuable feedback on my dissertation.
- My colleagues at EcoMod - thank you for the enjoyable collaboration, in particular during our Modeling Schools, which brought an important contribution to my Ph.D. experience, and for the good times at the EcoMod conferences. I want to thank Cristina Mohora in particular, for her dedicated help when I started to study CGE models, which laid the foundation for the work in this thesis.
- My employer McKinsey & Company in Amsterdam, for enabling me to pursue this project. I want to especially thank Bas, Rogier and Emmelie for their help to develop my plan into a successful educational leave.
- My host institution Cerge-EI in Prague for providing the opportunity for me to do a visiting scholarship in such a stimulating environment.
- My parents for being so encouraging throughout my student career.
- Finally, my girlfriend Zuzana for her support in so many aspects. You inspired me to get up in the morning full of energy to work on my research, you helped me solve challenges in my model and proofread the dissertation, and, most of all, you were there for me in moments of joy and progress as well as when I needed support. I am grateful that we can now celebrate together the successful completion of this project.

**Amsterdam, June 2013**



# Contents

<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 An unprecedented economic development . . . . .	3
1.2 The other side of the coin: sustainability challenges . . . . .	4
1.3 The sensibility of policies to enhance environmental sustainability . . . . .	10
1.4 Formulation of Research Objectives . . . . .	17
1.5 Outline of the dissertation . . . . .	20
<b>2 Abu Dhabi's economy and challenges to the sustainability of growth</b>	<b>23</b>
2.1 A brief analysis of Abu Dhabi's economy . . . . .	25
2.2 Electricity and water . . . . .	28
2.3 Greenhouse gas emissions . . . . .	37
<b>3 Literature Review</b>	<b>41</b>
3.1 A study of the literature on Abu Dhabi and the Gulf . . . . .	41
3.2 A review of applied CGE models . . . . .	62
<b>4 Methodology: Model specification</b>	<b>73</b>
4.1 Motivation . . . . .	74
4.2 General model outline . . . . .	77
4.3 Production sectors and production functions . . . . .	83
4.4 Households and consumer behavior . . . . .	92
4.5 The government . . . . .	94
4.6 The investment bank . . . . .	97

## CONTENTS

---

4.7	Interactions with the rest of the world . . . . .	99
4.8	Commodity markets . . . . .	102
4.9	Factor markets . . . . .	104
4.10	Energy and water . . . . .	107
4.11	Greenhouse gas emissions . . . . .	109
4.12	Dynamic linkages . . . . .	110
4.13	Model closure and numeraire . . . . .	111
4.14	Defining a solution to the model . . . . .	113
<b>5</b>	<b>Calibration of the CGE model</b>	<b>115</b>
5.1	A SAM for Abu Dhabi . . . . .	115
5.2	Data on energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions . . . . .	119
5.3	Calibration of the CGE model . . . . .	125
<b>6</b>	<b>Baseline simulation results</b>	<b>133</b>
6.1	Baseline assumptions . . . . .	134
6.2	Baseline results . . . . .	139
6.3	Sensitivity analysis . . . . .	149
6.4	Conclusions . . . . .	149
<b>7</b>	<b>Policy simulation results</b>	<b>151</b>
7.1	Introducing nuclear power . . . . .	152
7.2	Price liberalizations of utilities . . . . .	158
7.3	Changes in the subsidization of utilities . . . . .	167
7.4	Energy and water efficiency improvements . . . . .	175
7.5	Sensitivity analysis . . . . .	178
7.6	Discussion . . . . .	182
<b>8</b>	<b>Conclusions and implications</b>	<b>189</b>
8.1	Summary and conclusions . . . . .	190
8.2	Policy implications . . . . .	197
8.3	Directions for future research . . . . .	201



<b>A</b>	<b>A broader analysis of Abu Dhabi's economy</b>	<b>207</b>
A.1	The production side of Abu Dhabi's economy . . . . .	210
A.2	Labor markets, income and private consumption expenditures . . . . .	215
A.3	International economic relations and the exchange rate . . . . .	221
A.4	The Abu Dhabi government . . . . .	226
<b>B</b>	<b>Specification of model equations</b>	<b>233</b>
B.1	Household income and private consumption . . . . .	233
B.2	Governmental finances and tax rates . . . . .	234
B.3	Firms income and savings . . . . .	234
B.4	Labor markets . . . . .	235
B.5	Capital and investments . . . . .	235
B.6	Production sectors and factor demand . . . . .	236
B.7	Foreign trade and international money flows . . . . .	239
B.8	Emissions and other sustainability issues . . . . .	241
B.9	Economic indicators . . . . .	242
<b>C</b>	<b>Analytic derivations</b>	<b>243</b>
C.1	CES production function . . . . .	243
C.2	Calibration of the CES production functions . . . . .	248
C.3	Household demand equations . . . . .	248
C.4	Some properties of the LES utility function . . . . .	250
C.5	Calibration of the LES utility function . . . . .	252
C.6	Derivation of Cobb-Douglas demand equations . . . . .	252
<b>D</b>	<b>Detailed simulation results</b>	<b>255</b>
D.1	Policy simulation 1: introducing nuclear power . . . . .	255
D.2	Policy simulation 2: utility price liberalizations . . . . .	265
D.3	Policy simulation 3: abandonment of utility subsidies . . . . .	273
D.4	Policy simulation 4: energy efficiency gains . . . . .	281
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>289</b>



# List of Figures

2.1	Evolution of GDP from oil and gas vs. total GDP in Abu Dhabi . . . . .	24
2.2	GDP distribution in non-oil sectors . . . . .	27
2.3	Electricity consumption . . . . .	29
2.4	Water supply in Abu Dhabi . . . . .	32
2.5	Evolution of CO <sub>2</sub> emissions in GCC . . . . .	36
2.6	Evolution of CO <sub>2</sub> emissions per capita in GCC and OECD . . . . .	36
2.7	Kaya decomposition of CO <sub>2</sub> emissions in the UAE, 1971-2008 . . . . .	38
2.8	Kaya decomposition of CO <sub>2</sub> emissions in the GCC countries . . . . .	40
2.9	Sectoral breakdown of per capita emissions: country comparisons . . . . .	40
4.1	Schematic representation of the CGE model for Abu Dhabi . . . . .	76
4.2	Specification of nested production structure in the CGE model (1) . . . .	82
4.3	Specification of nested production structure in the CGE model (2) . . . .	91
4.4	Specification of nested production structure in the CGE model (3) . . . .	91
4.5	Schematic overview of households' income and expenditures in the CGE model . . . . .	94
4.6	Schematic overview of government revenues and expenditures in the CGE model . . . . .	95
4.7	Schematic overview of government revenues and expenditures in the CGE model . . . . .	99
4.8	Specification of commodity flows in the CGE model . . . . .	100
5.1	Schematic representation of the SAM for Abu Dhabi . . . . .	118
5.2	Calculation steps for approximation of fuel consumption by households.	122

## LIST OF FIGURES

---

5.3	Calculation steps for approximation of fuel consumption by commuters between Dubai and Abu Dhabi. . . . .	123
6.1	Projections of key variables under baseline scenario . . . . .	141
7.1	Illustration of scenarios related to utility pricing . . . . .	159
7.2	Projections of CO <sub>2</sub> emissions under baseline scenario and policy simulations. . . . .	183
7.3	Projections of desalinated water consumption under baseline scenario and policy simulations. . . . .	183
8.1	Summary of key results under baseline scenario. . . . .	194
8.2	Summary of key results under scenario 1: introducing nuclear power. . .	195
8.3	Summary of key results under scenario 2: liberalizing utility prices. . . .	195
8.4	Summary of key results under scenario 3: abandoning implicit subsidies on utilities. . . . .	196
8.5	Summary of key results under scenario 4: improving energy efficiency. .	196
A.1	Geographic position of Abu Dhabi . . . . .	207
A.2	Key statistics for Abu Dhabi, Dubai and other Emirates . . . . .	208
A.3	Population in Abu Dhabi . . . . .	209
A.4	Agricultural GDP and international trade in Abu Dhabi . . . . .	212
A.5	Distribution of population in Abu Dhabi by gender, age and nationality	216
A.6	UAE labor force characteristics . . . . .	219
A.7	Evolution of trade terms in Abu Dhabi . . . . .	223
A.8	Foreign Direct Investment in the UAE, 1970-2009 . . . . .	224
A.9	Inflation in Abu Dhabi, 1996-2009 . . . . .	225
A.10	Government budget in Abu Dhabi . . . . .	227
A.11	Breakdown of governmental revenues in Abu Dhabi . . . . .	227
A.12	Breakdown of government expenditures in Abu Dhabi . . . . .	228
A.13	Subsidies and development expenditures by Abu Dhabi government . . .	228

# List of Tables

2.1	Value added per sector (2009) . . . . .	26
2.2	Share of natural resources in GDP . . . . .	28
2.3	Renewable water resources vs. consumption . . . . .	30
4.1	Specification of sector and commodity disaggregation in the CGE model	77
4.2	Overview of assumptions for agents in CGE model. . . . .	81
4.3	Categorization of production sectors according to production structure. .	82
5.1	Energy consumption, water consumption and $CO_2$ emissions in Abu Dhabi (2009) . . . . .	124
5.2	Elasticities of substitution used for CES production functions in the CGE model. . . . .	128
5.3	Elasticities of substitution used for CET and Armington functions for foreign trade in the CGE model . . . . .	131
6.1	Baseline assumptions . . . . .	136
6.2	Macro-level results under baseline scenario . . . . .	140
6.3	Employment and capital evolution under baseline scenario . . . . .	143
6.4	Demand-side decomposition of GDP under baseline scenario . . . . .	143
6.5	Sectoral breakdown of GDP under baseline scenario . . . . .	144
6.6	Evolution of real household income by type (baseline scenario) . . . . .	145
6.7	Consumption of energy, water and $CO_2$ emissions (baseline scenario) . .	146
6.8	Specification of alternatives to baseline scenario . . . . .	148
6.9	Key results under alternative baseline scenarios . . . . .	148
7.1	Specification of scenario 1: introduction of nuclear power plants . . . . .	153

## LIST OF TABLES

---

7.2	Effect of introduction of nuclear power on emissions . . . . .	154
7.3	Effect of introduction of nuclear power on electricity markets . . . . .	155
7.4	Effect of introduction of nuclear power on households and government accounts . . . . .	156
7.5	Effects of introduction of nuclear power on GDP components . . . . .	157
7.6	Effects of price liberalizations on utility prices . . . . .	161
7.7	Effect of utility price liberalizations on carbon emissions . . . . .	162
7.8	Effects of price liberalizations on consumer demand for utilities . . . . .	163
7.9	Effects of price liberalization on income . . . . .	164
7.10	Evolution of GDP components under price liberalization scenario . . . . .	166
7.11	Specification of policy scenario with increases utility tax rates . . . . .	168
7.12	Impact of utility taxation on carbon emissions . . . . .	168
7.13	Impact of utility taxation on household demand . . . . .	170
7.14	Effect of utility taxation policy on government income . . . . .	171
7.15	Effects of utility taxation on income . . . . .	173
7.16	Impact of utility taxation on GDP components . . . . .	174
7.17	Effect of efficiency improvements on carbon emissions . . . . .	176
7.18	Effect of efficiency improvements on domestic utility demand . . . . .	177
7.19	Effect of efficiency improvements policy simulation on GDP components	179
7.20	Sensitivity analysis for GDP results: elasticities of substitution . . . . .	180
7.21	Sensitivity analysis for emission results: elasticities of substitution . . . . .	181
7.22	Comparison of simulation results under alternative specifications of base- line scenario . . . . .	182
A.1	Production value and employment in manufacturing subsectors . . . . .	211
A.2	Employment by sector and nationality (2008) . . . . .	217
A.3	Income inequality in countries with highest GDP per capita (2010) . . . . .	220
A.4	Expenditures breakdown by household type (2008) . . . . .	221
A.5	Trade balance in Abu Dhabi (2009) (Bln. AED) . . . . .	221
A.6	Foreign Direct Investment in Abu Dhabi by sector (2007) . . . . .	222
D.1	Total production under policy scenario 1 . . . . .	255
D.2	Total production for domestic market under policy scenario 1 . . . . .	256
D.3	Final household consumption under policy scenario 1 . . . . .	257

## LIST OF TABLES

---

D.4	Other final demand for commodities under policy scenario 1 . . . . .	258
D.5	Evolution of commodity prices under policy scenario 1 . . . . .	258
D.6	Employment under policy scenario 1 . . . . .	259
D.7	Level of Capital-Labor-Energy bundle under policy scenario 1 . . . . .	260
D.8	Level of Capital and High-skilled labor bundle under policy scenario 1 .	260
D.9	Evolution of investment levels by sector under policy scenario 1 . . . . .	261
D.10	Evolution of rental rate of capital under policy scenario 1 . . . . .	261
D.11	Total emissions under policy scenario 1 . . . . .	262
D.12	Total water consumption under policy scenario 1 . . . . .	263
D.13	Government finances under policy scenario 1 . . . . .	264
D.14	Total production under policy scenario 2 . . . . .	265
D.15	Total production for domestic market under policy scenario 2 . . . . .	265
D.16	Final household consumption under policy scenario 2 . . . . .	266
D.17	Other final demand for commodities under policy scenario 2 . . . . .	267
D.18	Evolution of commodity prices under policy scenario 2 . . . . .	267
D.19	Employment under policy scenario 2 . . . . .	268
D.20	Level of Capital-Labor-Energy bundle under policy scenario 2 . . . . .	269
D.21	Level of Capital and High-skilled labor bundle under policy scenario 2 .	269
D.22	Evolution of investment levels by sector under policy scenario 2 . . . . .	270
D.23	Evolution of rental rate of capital under policy scenario 2 . . . . .	270
D.24	Total emissions under policy scenario 2 . . . . .	271
D.25	Total water consumption under policy scenario 2 . . . . .	272
D.26	Government finances under policy scenario 2 . . . . .	272
D.27	Total production under policy scenario 3 . . . . .	273
D.28	Total production for domestic market under policy scenario 3 . . . . .	273
D.29	Final household consumption under policy scenario 3 . . . . .	274
D.30	Other final demand for commodities under policy scenario 3 . . . . .	275
D.31	Evolution of commodity prices under policy scenario 3 . . . . .	275
D.32	Employment under policy scenario 3 . . . . .	276
D.33	Level of Capital-Labor-Energy bundle under policy scenario 3 . . . . .	277
D.34	Level of Capital and High-skilled labor bundle under policy scenario 3 .	277
D.35	Evolution of investment levels by sector under policy scenario 3 . . . . .	278
D.36	Evolution of rental rate of capital under policy scenario 3 . . . . .	278

## LIST OF TABLES

---

D.37 Total emissions under policy scenario 3 . . . . .	279
D.38 Total water consumption under policy scenario 3 . . . . .	280
D.39 Government finances under policy scenario 3 . . . . .	280
D.40 Total production under policy scenario 4 . . . . .	281
D.41 Total production for domestic market under policy scenario 4 . . . . .	281
D.42 Final household consumption under policy scenario 4 . . . . .	282
D.43 Other final demand for commodities under policy scenario 4 . . . . .	283
D.44 Evolution of commodity prices under policy scenario 4 . . . . .	283
D.45 Employment under policy scenario 4 . . . . .	284
D.46 Level of Capital-Labor-Energy bundle under policy scenario 4 . . . . .	285
D.47 Level of Capital and High-skilled labor bundle under policy scenario 4 . . . . .	285
D.48 Evolution of investment levels by sector under policy scenario 4 . . . . .	286
D.49 Evolution of rental rate of capital under policy scenario 4 . . . . .	286
D.50 Total emissions under policy scenario 4 . . . . .	287
D.51 Total water consumption under policy scenario 4 . . . . .	288
D.52 Government finances under policy scenario 4 . . . . .	288



ADCCI	Abu Dhabi Chamber of Commerce and Industry
ADCED	Abu Dhabi Council of Economic Development
ADIA	Abu Dhabi Investment Authority
ADIC	Abu Dhabi Investment Company
ADNOC	Abu Dhabi National Oil Company
ADWEA	Abu Dhabi Water and Electricity Authority
ADWEC	Abu Dhabi Water and Electricity Company
AED	Arab Emirate Dirham
AEEI	Autonomous Energy Efficiency Improvements
AGE	Applied General Equilibrium
AGEDI	Abu Dhabi Global Environmental Data Initiative
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CES	Constant Elasticity of Substitution
CET	Constant Elasticity of Transformation
CGE	Computable General Equilibrium
DEDAD	Department of Economic Development Abu Dhabi
DICE	Dynamic Integrated model of Climate and the Economy
DoT	Department of Transport
DSGE	Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium
EAD	Environment agency Abu Dhabi
EEG	Emirates Environmental Group
EIA	Energy Information Agency
EMAL	Emirates Aluminium
ENEC	Emirates Nuclear Energy Corporation
EPPA	Emissions Prediction and Policy Analysis
FAO	Food and Agricultural Organization
FDI	Foreign Direct Investment
FUND	Framework for Uncertainty, Negotiation and Distribution
GAMS	General Algebraic Modeling System
GCC	Gulf Cooperation Council
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GE	General Equilibrium

## GLOSSARY

---

GFN	Global Footprint Network
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
GREEN	General Equilibrium Environment model
IAM	Integrated Assessment Model
IEA	International Energy Agency
IMF	International Monetary Fund
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
LES	Linear Expenditure System
MED	Multiple-Effect Distillation
MENA	Middle East and North Africa
MSF	Multi-Stage Flashing
NBS	National Bureau of Statistics
NMC	National Media Council
NRC	National Resources Canada
OCA	Optimum Currency Area
OECD	Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development
OPEC	Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries
PAGE	Policy Analysis for the Greenhouse Effect
PV	Photovoltaics
RBS	Regulation and Supervision Bureau
RO	Reverse Osmosis
SAM	Social Accounting Matrix
SCAD	Statistics Center Abu Dhabi
SWF	Sovereign Wealth Fund
TERC	Terrestrial Environment Research Centre
UAE	United Arab Emirates
UAE-MHESR	UAE Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
VAT	Value Added Tax
WNA	World Nuclear Association
WRI	World Resource Institute
WTO	World Trade Organization