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Abstract
We compute electron-capture rates for 54,56Fe and Ge isotopes using a self-consistent microscopic
approach. The single-nucleon basis and the occupation factors in the target nucleus are calculated
in the finite-temperature Skyrme Hartree-Fock model, and the $J^{\pi} = 0^\pm, 1^\pm, 2^\pm$ charge-exchange
transitions are determined in the finite-temperature random-phase approximation (RPA). The
scheme is self-consistent, i.e. both the Hartree-Fock and the RPA equations are based on the same
Skyrme functional. Several interactions are used in order to provide a theoretical uncertainty on
the electron-capture rates for different astrophysical conditions. Comparing electron-capture rates
obtained either with different Skyrme sets or with different available models, differences up to
one-two orders of magnitude can arise.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Weak interaction processes play a pivotal role in the life of a star, especially during the late stages of the evolution of massive stars [1, 2]. During the pre-supernova stage, the competition between $\beta$ decay,

\[ (Z, N) \rightarrow (Z + 1, N - 1) + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e , \quad (1) \]

and electron-capture

\[ (Z, N) + e^- \rightarrow (Z - 1, N + 1) + \nu_e , \quad (2) \]

determines the core entropy and the electron fraction $Y_e$, which defines the mass of the inner core. Such a core, at the end of the hydrostatic chain of nuclear burning, is stabilized by the electron degeneracy pressure, until its mass does not exceed the Chandrasekhar mass $M_{\text{Ch}} \propto Y_e^2$ ($M_{\text{Ch}} \approx 1.4 \, M_\odot$). If this mass limit is exceeded, the electron pressure is not anymore able to sustain the core, which collapses under the gravitational force, starting the so-called core-collapse supernova. During the supernova core-collapse, electron-capture on free protons and on exotic nuclei controls the neutronization phase, until the formation of an almost deleptonized central compact object, the neutron star. For matter densities $\rho \lesssim 10^{11} \, \text{g cm}^{-3}$, neutrinos escape from the star, carrying away energy and contributing to decrease the lepton number, thus accelerating the collapse. The rising density increases their diffusion timescale and, for densities $\gtrsim 10^{12} \, \text{g cm}^{-3}$, neutrinos become trapped, driving the system towards $\beta$ equilibrium.

Electron-capture and $\beta$ decays are dominated by Gamow-Teller (GT) and Fermi transitions. While the cross section for the electron-capture on free protons is well known, computing that on nuclei is not a straightforward task in nuclear structure. For initial $Y_e \approx 0.5$, electron-capture dominates over $\beta$ decay, since the degenerate gas of electrons blocks the phase space available for decay, but the two processes become competitive as the matter becomes more neutron-rich [2, 3]. Moreover, because of finite temperature effects, excited states can be thermally populated and their connection to the low-lying states in the daughter nucleus by the GT transitions can contribute to the $\beta$ decay rates. In the early stage of the collapse, for densities below few $10^{10} \, \text{g cm}^{-3}$ and temperatures between 300 and 800 keV, electron-capture mainly occurs on nuclei with mass number $A \lesssim 60$. Therefore, the electron chemical potential $\mu_e$ is of the same order of magnitude as the nuclear $Q$-value, and
electron-capture cross sections are sensitive to the details of the GT strength distribution. With increasing density and temperature during collapse, the electron-capture occurs on nuclei with $A > 65$, and the electron chemical potential is higher than the nuclear $Q$-value. Thus, the capture rates are mainly determined by the centroid and the total GT strength. Around neutrino trapping, the electron chemical potential reaches values around 30 MeV, and the forbidden transitions can be no longer neglected [2, 3].

Because of the great importance of the weak interaction rates in astrophysical applications, they were extensively investigated within various approaches. For about fifteen years, the reference rates for electron and positron-capture, $\beta$ decay and positron emission for nuclei in $21 \leq A \leq 60$ were those computed by Fuller, Fowler and Newman [4]. They were based on the independent-particle model (IPM) using experimental information whenever available. New experimental data carried out in the iron mass region (see e.g. Ref. [5]) showed that the GT transitions are quenched with respect to the calculations based on the IPM and fragmented over many states in the daughter nucleus, because of the effect of the residual interaction which is neglected in the IPM. Then, Caurier et al. [6] performed shell model (SM) calculations for iron group nuclei. Their results agreed quite well with the data, showing that the SM was a good tool to calculate electron-capture rates. Evaluation of electron-capture rates has been improved for Ni isotopes with the use of the new SM Hamiltonian, GXPF1J [7]. The calculations performed in Ref. [7] reproduce quite well the observed GT$^+$ strengths and the capture rates obtained by experimental $B$(GT$^+$) values, especially in $^{60}$Ni, as well as the experimental energy position of the GT strength in $^{62}$Ni, and the experimental GT strength of the transition $^{64}$Ni $\rightarrow$ $^{64}$Co. Large-scale shell model Monte Carlo (SMMC) method was applied to compute $\beta^+$ and $\beta^-$ decay rates for stellar conditions for more than 100 nuclei in the mass range $A = 45 - 65$ [8-10]. Using the improved rates, pre-supernova models were recomputed by Heger et al. [11], finding changes in the core $Y_e$ and entropy, which, in turn, can have important consequences for the supernova and nucleosynthesis evolution. However, the SM diagonalization cannot be applied for nuclei beyond the $pf$ shell, including configuration mixing and finite temperature effects, because of the huge dimension of the model space involved. To overcome this problem, Langanke et al. [12] proposed the so-called hybrid model. In this approach, the calculation of the capture rates is performed in two steps: (i) the nucleus is described by a Slater determinant with temperature-dependent occupation numbers, determined within the SMMC
framework; (ii) the capture rates are calculated from the GT strength distribution computed with the random-phase approximation (RPA) built on the top of the Slater determinant. Using the SMMC + RPA hybrid model, electron-capture rates for about 200 nuclei in the mass range $A = 65 - 112$ were computed, for typical densities and temperatures encountered in supernova collapse [13]. Even if the electron-capture rates on free protons are larger than those on nuclei, the free protons abundance is low during collapse. Therefore, it has been found that the electron-capture on nuclei dominates over the capture on free protons during the collapse phase, leading to significant changes in the supernova dynamics and collapse trajectories (see e.g. Refs. [2, 3]).

The SMMC + RPA hybrid model has been more recently applied to compute electron-capture rates for 170 additional nuclei, up to $A = 120$ [14]. Furthermore, in Ref. [14], the calculation of the electron-capture rates for stellar conditions has been extended to additional 2200 nuclei in the range $Z = 28 - 70$ and $N = 40 - 160$. Because of the prohibitive computation time required by the SMMC calculations, Juodagalvis et al. [14] proposed a Fermi-Dirac parameterization to compute the fractional occupation number of the various shell in the ground state of the parent nucleus.

Recently, mean-field based models have been used for the prediction of electron-capture cross sections and rates. A finite-temperature charge-exchange RPA model based on Skyrme functionals has been applied in Ref. [15] to predict electron-capture cross sections using several interactions. A similar approach, extended to the relativistic framework, has been employed in Ref. [16]. In the latter work, electron-capture rates for astrophysical conditions have also been computed, and similar trends for both cross sections and rates have been observed with respect to previous calculations. However, in Ref. [16], only one functional, the DD-ME2 parameterization, has been used. A thermal quasi-particle RPA approach (TQRPA), based on the Woods-Saxon potential and separable multipole and spin-multipole particle-hole interactions, has been applied in Ref. [17]. The finite-temperature effects are taken into account using the thermofield dynamics formalism. Although not as consistent as the one in Refs. [15] and [16], this approach allows to account for effects beyond the RPA level. In this family of models, the prediction of electron-capture rates using Skyrme interactions remains to be done.

In the present work we use the self-consistent model introduced in Ref. [15], based on finite-temperature charge-exchange RPA, to predict electron-capture rates. The single-
nucleon basis and the occupation factors in the target nucleus are calculated in the finite-temperature Skyrme Hartree-Fock (FTSHF) model, and the charge-exchange transitions are determined in the finite-temperature RPA (FTRPA) framework. The specificity and the advantage of this approach is that a FTSHF + FTRPA model is completely determined by the choice of the nuclear interaction employed, i.e. both the single-nucleon Hartree-Fock equations and the RPA matrix equations are based on the same Skyrme functional. Therefore, the calculation can be extended over arbitrary mass regions of the nuclide chart without additional assumptions or adjustment of the parameters. This represents a step forward towards a universal description of the electron-capture rates. The use of several Skyrme functionals with various properties also allows to estimate the theoretical uncertainty on the electron-capture cross section and rates, which is of relevant importance for astrophysical application. In this work, we also aim at estimating this uncertainty.

The paper is organized as follows: in Sec. II the framework and the formalism of the FTSHF + charge-exchange FTRPA to calculate cross sections for electron-capture will be recalled. In Sec. III and IV the cross sections and the rates for electron-capture on $^{54,56}\text{Fe}$ and on neutron-rich Germanium isotopes will be investigated. Finally, in Sec. V, we will give our conclusions and outlooks.

II. FORMALISM

The formalism for the electron-capture cross section calculation with the Skyrme FTRPA approach has been detailed in Ref. [15]. Therefore, here, we only recall the main points, and we shall focus on the calculation of the electron-capture rates.

For the purposes of the present study, the HF model based on Skyrme functionals at finite temperature [18] is used to determine the single-nucleon spectra, occupation probabilities and wave functions of the initial state of target nuclei. The occupation numbers are introduced by Fermi distributions, and the chemical potential is calculated using the particle conservation equation. For the description of the transitions relevant in electron-capture on nuclei, the charge-exchange FTRPA is used. The effective interactions in the FTSHF + FTRPA are included in a consistent way, i.e. both in the ground state calculations and in the equations of FTRPA the same Skyrme functional has been employed. The FTRPA equations are derived in the matrix form adopted from Ref. [19].
The nuclei that will be considered in this work contribute to stellar electron-capture rates in the temperature interval $0.5 < T < 2 \text{ MeV}$. The expression for the total cross section for electron-capture on a nucleus $(Z,N)$ at temperature $T$ reads:

$$\sigma(E_e,T) = \frac{G_F^2}{2\pi} \sum_i \frac{F(Z,E_e)(2J_i + 1)e^{-E_i/(kT)}}{G(Z,A,T)} \sum_{f,J} (E_e - Q - E_f)^2 \left|\langle i|\hat{O}_J|f\rangle\right|^2 \frac{(2J_i + 1)}{(2J_f + 1)}, \quad (3)$$

where $G_F = G_F/(\hbar c)^2$ is the Fermi coupling constant, $E_e$ is the energy of the incoming electron, $Q$ is the $Q$-value of the reaction, $J$ is the total angular momentum, $\hat{O}_J$ is the generic notation for the charge $\hat{M}_J$, longitudinal $\hat{L}_J$, transverse electric $\hat{T}_J^{EL}$, and transverse magnetic $\hat{T}_J^{MAG}$ multipole operators. Detailed expressions for these operators are given in Refs. [20–22]. The sum over initial states includes a thermal average of levels, with the corresponding partition function $G(Z,A,T)$. The Fermi function $F(Z,E_e)$ corrects the cross section for the distortion of the electron wave function by the Coulomb field of the nucleus [23]. The finite temperature induces the thermal population of excited states in the parent nucleus (labeled as “$i$”), which are connected by the multipole operators to many levels in the daughter nucleus (labeled as “$f$”). The calculation of all possible transitions is computationally prohibitive; therefore, the evaluation of the total cross section for electron-capture is usually simplified [4, 8, 12] by adopting the Brink hypothesis, that is, by assuming that the strength distribution of the multipole operators in the daughter nucleus is the same for all initial states and shifted by the excitation energy of the initial state. By using this approximation, the sum over final states becomes independent of the initial state and the sum over the Boltzmann weights cancels the partition function. The Brink hypothesis is a valid approximation when the temperature and the density are high enough that many states contribute and variations in the low-energy transition strength cancel out. As was previously done in the calculation of stellar electron-capture [12, 15], we apply the Brink hypothesis to the initial state, which represents the thermal average of many-body states in the parent nucleus at temperature $T$. With this approximation, the final expression for the total electron-capture cross section at $T$ reads [15]:

$$\sigma(E_e,T) = \frac{G_F^2}{2\pi} F(Z,E_e) \sum_f (E_e - Q - \omega_f)^2 \sum_J S_J(\omega_f,T), \quad (4)$$

where $\omega_f$ is the excitation energy in the daughter nucleus, and $S_J$ is the discrete finite-temperature RPA response for the multipole operator $\hat{O}_J$. In order to evaluate the electron-capture cross sections of Eq. (4), for each transition operator $\hat{O}_J$ the matrix elements between
the initial state of the even-even \((N, Z)\) target nucleus and the final state in the corresponding \((N + 1, Z - 1)\) nucleus are expressed in terms of single-particle matrix elements between the single-particle states and the corresponding FTRPA amplitudes (see Eq. (30) and Sec. IIA in Ref. [15]):

\[
\langle J_f \| \hat{O} \| J_i \rangle = \sum_{\alpha\beta} \langle \alpha \| \hat{O} \| \beta \rangle \left( \delta \rho_{\alpha\beta}^{(+)} J - \delta \rho_{\alpha\beta}^{(-)} J \right) ,
\]

where the finite temperature forward- and backward-going amplitudes \(\delta \rho_{\alpha\beta}^{(\pm)}\) are related to the corresponding zero temperature amplitudes \(X\) and \(Y\) through:

\[
\delta \rho_{\alpha\beta}^{(+)} = X_{\alpha\beta} f_{\beta}(1 - f_{\alpha}) + Y_{\beta\alpha} f_{\alpha}(1 - f_{\beta}) \quad \quad (6)
\]

\[
\delta \rho_{\alpha\beta}^{(-)} = Y_{\alpha\beta} f_{\beta}(1 - f_{\alpha}) + X_{\beta\alpha} f_{\alpha}(1 - f_{\beta}) . \quad \quad (7)
\]

The nucleon Fermi-Dirac function:

\[
f_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{(\epsilon_{\alpha} - \mu)/k_B T}} , \quad \quad (8)
\]

where \(\epsilon_{\alpha}\) are the single-nucleon energies and \(\mu\) is the chemical potential, satisfies: \(\sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} = A\).

It should be noted that the condition whether electron-capture on a specific target nucleus releases energy \((Q > 0)\) or requires additional external input of energy \((Q < 0)\) constrains the energy available to reach various excited states. In order to minimize uncertainties coming from the calculations of the \(Q\)-value, we compute it from the experimental masses [24]: \(Q = M_f - M_i\) (being \(M_{i,f}\) the masses of the parent and daughter nucleus respectively), as in Ref. [15].

The electron-capture rate is then computed from the electron-capture cross section [12]:

\[
\lambda^c(T) \quad \quad [\text{s}^{-1}] = \frac{V_{ud}^2 g_Y^2 c}{\pi^2 (\hbar c)^3} \int_{E_{\text{min}}}^{\infty} \sigma(E_e, T) E_e p_e c f_e(E_e) \, dE_e ,
\]

where \(V_{ud}\) is the up-down element in the CKM quark mixing matrix, \(g_Y = 1\) is the weak vector coupling constant, \(E_{\text{min}}\) is the threshold energy for electron-capture, while \(p_e c = (E_e^2 - m_e^2 c^4)^{1/2}\) is the electron momentum. Under stellar conditions encountered in core-collapse supernova, the electron distribution function \(f_e\) is well represented by a Fermi-Dirac distribution:

\[
f_e = \frac{1}{1 + e^{(E_e - \mu_e)/k_B T}} , \quad \quad (10)
\]

where the electron chemical potential \(\mu_e\) is determined from the baryon density \(\rho\) inverting the relation:

\[
\rho Y_e = \frac{1}{\pi^2 N_A} \frac{1}{(\hbar c)^3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[ f_e(E_e) - f_e^+(E_e) \right] (p_e c)^2 \, d(p_e c) , 
\]

\[\quad \quad (11)\]
where $N_A$ is the Avogadro’s number. The positron distribution function, $f_{e^+}$, is given by the Fermi-Dirac distribution Eq. (10) as for the electrons, with $\mu_{e^+} = -\mu_e$. We assume that the phase space is not blocked by neutrinos, i.e. we set $f_\nu = 0$, since neutrinos are expected to escape from the star at least in the first stage of core-collapse.

### III. ELECTRON-CAPTURE CROSS SECTIONS

In this Section we present the results for the electron-capture cross sections on $^{54,56}$Fe and $A = 70 – 80$ Ge isotopes, obtained in the FTSHF + FTRPA model. We compare our results with those calculated from other approaches. In this paper, the analysis is performed for a representative set of Skyrme functionals: SLy4 [25], SGII [26], SkM* [27], and BSk17 [28]. Starting from the original Skyrme functional [29], more than 100 different Skyrme parameterizations have been proposed, often with different subsets of terms accounting for additional density or momentum dependences of the interaction. Since in the present approach both the Hartree-Fock equations and the RPA matrix equations are based on the same Skyrme functional, the model is completely determined by the choice of the functional. However, since low-lying states are quite sensitive to the details of the single-particle spectra around the Fermi surface and those details cannot be easily attributed to a single feature of the Skyrme functional, it is difficult to determine which Skyrme force is preferable. Therefore, we have singled out few parameter sets, among those which have been widely used, in order to provide an estimate of the theoretical uncertainty inherent in the method. To be more precise, SkM* is a typical example of first-generation Skyrme sets, SGII is its descendant and it is supposed to give a better description of spin modes, SLy4 is one of the well-known last generation Lyon sets, and BSk17 is the functional which reproduces so far at best the empirical masses. We have also checked that the energy of the peak of the GT$^+$ for $^{56}$Fe obtained with the selected Skyrme forces lies within or rather close to the experimental range [5].

In Ref. [15], electron-capture cross sections for selected nuclei in the iron mass region and for neutron-rich Ge isotopes were already computed for different Skyrme interactions. Here, in addition, we perform a more systematic comparison with other calculations in the literature regarding the dependence of the cross sections on the temperature and the neutron-excess in Fe and Ge nuclei.
A. IRON ISOTOPES

In Fig. 1 the electron-capture cross sections for $^{54,56}$Fe for $T = 0.5, 1.0, 2.0$ MeV is displayed as a function of the incident electron energy. The results for the Skyrme forces SLy4, SGII, SkM* and BSk17 are shown together with those obtained by the SMMC [30] and the FTRRPA [16] calculations. We first notice that the threshold for the electron-capture is slightly shifted towards lower electron energies when the temperature increases. We also note the low electron energy threshold in the case of $^{54}$Fe. This is due to the small $Q$-value of the reaction $^{54}$Fe ($e^-, \nu_e$)$^{54}$Mn: $Q = -0.697$ MeV, while for $^{56}$Fe ($e^-, \nu_e$)$^{56}$Mn the nuclear $Q$-value of the reaction is: $Q = -3.696$ MeV. In all the calculations for the cross sections presented here, all multipole transitions $J^{\pi} = 0^{\pm}, 1^{\pm},$ and $2^{\pm}$ have been considered, while the SMMC calculations include only the GT operator. However, it has been noticed (see, e.g., Fig. 7 in Ref. [15], or Fig. 3 in Ref. [16]) that in the case of $^{56}$Fe, up to 30 MeV, the cross section is completely dominated by the $1^+$ transition. Note also that in Ref. [30] only the $0\hbar\omega$ GT transition strength is taken into account and not the total strength in the $1^+$ channel. Moreover, the quenching of the total GT strength, experimentally observed, has been reproduced in Ref. [30] by renormalizing the GT matrix elements by a factor 0.8. This is equivalent to reduce the axial-vector coupling constant from its free-nucleon value $g_A = 1.262$ to $g_A = 1.0$, as it has been done in Ref. [15] and in the present work. As already pointed out in Ref. [15], the cross sections in Fig. 1 exhibit a sharp increase of several order of magnitude within the first few MeV above threshold, reflecting the trend of the GT$^+$ distribution, while for electron energy larger than 10 MeV the increase becomes more gradual. Even if the general behaviour of the cross sections as a function of the electron energy is in agreement with the SMMC and FTRRPA calculations, the absolute values differ up to one order of magnitude. Specifically, in the case of $^{54}$Fe, the cross sections calculated in the FTSHF + FTRPA model tend to be larger than those calculated by the SMMC method for electron energies $E_e \gtrsim 5$ MeV. On the other hand, our results are quite close to those computed in the FTRRPA framework. The smaller discrepancy at high electron energy might come from the fact that also in Ref. [16] all the multipole transitions up to the $2^\pm$ transitions are included. In the case of $^{56}$Fe, the cross section calculated in the FTSHF + FTRPA model are generally smaller than those computed by the SMMC model at low electron energy, while at high electron energy ($E_e \gtrsim 10$ MeV), the SMMC cross sections are
FIG. 1. (Color online) Electron-capture cross sections for $^{54,56}$Fe for various temperatures as a function of the incident electron energy, for the Skyrme forces SLy4, SGII, SkM*, and BSk17. The FTSHF + RPA results are compared with cross sections obtained by the SMMC [30] and the FTRRPA [16] calculations.

smaller. This may be due to the contribution of higher multipoles which become important at high electron energy. It has to be noticed that since at low electron energies the cross sections are sensitive to the details of the GT distributions, one expects the SMMC results to be more accurate. Our calculations at $T \approx 1, 2$ MeV are generally smaller than those obtained in the FTRRPA framework for $E_e \lesssim 15$ MeV.

B. GERMANIUM ISOTOPES

While the electron-capture on iron-group nuclei is important in the pre-supernova phase and in the first phase of collapse, at higher densities and temperatures, electron-capture also occurs on heavier and neutron-rich nuclei. In the IPM picture, the GT transitions
are forbidden for nuclei with $Z < 40$ and $N \geq 40$ [31]. However, it has been shown that
GT transitions for these nuclei are unblocked by finite-temperature effects. In Ref. [32], a
detailed investigation within the RPA approach was performed, showing that GT transitions
are thermally unblocked at $T \sim 1.5$ MeV. Thermal excitations can indeed promote protons
into the $g_{9/2}$ orbital or remove neutrons from the $pf$ shells, re-allowing GT transitions.
Another unblocking effect, already present at lower temperatures ($T \sim 0.5$ MeV), is due to
configuration mixing between $sdg$ shell with the $pf$ shell induced by the residual interaction.
Within the hybrid model, Langanke et al. [12] showed that GT transitions can be unblocked
by the configuration mixing in the temperature range $T \sim 0.5 - 1.3$ MeV, which is relevant
for supernova collapse.

In Fig. 2, the electron-capture cross sections for $^{76,78,80}$Ge are displayed for $T = 0.5, 0.9,$
1.3 MeV as a function of the electron energy, together with the results from the hybrid model
[12] for $^{76}$Ge and $T = 0.5$ and 1.3 MeV, and from the TQRPA [17] calculations. In Fig. 3
the FTSHF + FTRPA results for $^{76,78}$Ge are compared with those obtained in the FTRRPA
[16] calculations, for $T = 1$ and 2 MeV. Both in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, the FTSHF + FTRPA
cross sections are computed employing the SLy4, SGII, SkM* and BSk17 functionals. All
the models include the multipole transitions $J^\pi = 0^\pm, 1^\pm,$ and $2^\pm$. The spread of the values
obtained with the Skyrme parameterizations differs within an order of magnitude. In both
Figs. 2 and 3, we note that the FTSHF + FTRPA results are generally smaller than those
calculated by other models. The discrepancy is reduced at high electron energies, since at
those energies the cross sections are less sensitive to the details of the GT distributions. The
strong dependence of the cross sections on the temperature for low incident electron energies
corresponds to the thermal unblocking (see e.g. Figs. 1-2 of Ref. [15]). To investigate the
differences pointed out by Fig. 2, we consider the single-particle states calculated in the three
models: FTSHF + FTRPA, SMMC + RPA and TQRPA. In Fig. 4 the occupation numbers
in the illustrative case of $^{76}$Ge are displayed as a function of temperature for the $1f_{7/2}$ (panel
(a)) and the $1g_{9/2}$ (panel (b)) proton orbitals, and for the $1f_{5/2}$ (panel (c)) and the $1g_{7/2}$
(panel(d)) neutron orbitals. We compare the occupation numbers for the Skyrme forces
SLy4, SGII, SkM*, and BSk17 with those obtained by the SMMC and TQRPA models. We
notice that, at very low temperatures, the transition from the $1g_{9/2}^p$ to the $1g_{7/2}^n$ is possible
in the SMMC and in the TQRPA models, while it does not give contribution in the HF
case because of the Pauli blocking. Indeed, the $1g_{9/2}^p$ orbital is located about 5 MeV above
FIG. 2. (Color online) Electron-capture cross sections for $^{76,78,80}$Ge for various temperatures as a function of the incident electron energy, for the Skyrme forces SLy4, SGII, SkM*, and BSk17. For comparison, the cross sections obtained by the SMMC+RPA [12] and the TQRPA [17] calculations are also shown.

The Fermi level: with SLy4, at $T = 0$, the proton Fermi energy (i.e. the proton chemical potential) is -9.4 MeV while the energy of the $1g_{9/2}$ state is -5 MeV. Therefore, we expect it not to be populated at low temperatures and thus to give a small contribution to the total transition probability. On the other hand, SM calculations include correlations beyond particle-hole excitation and can predict a population of the $1g_{9/2}$ state at zero temperature. This behaviour can therefore explain the smaller cross sections observed in Fig. 2 for the FTSHF + FTRPA calculations with respect to the SMMC and the TQRPA calculations.

In Fig. 5 we illustrate the isotopic dependence of the electron-capture cross section for Ge isotopes. Cross sections for even-even Ge nuclei with $A = 70 − 80$ are calculated in the FTSHF + FTRPA model and displayed as functions of the incident electron energy, for
FIG. 3. (Color online) Electron-capture cross sections for $^{76,78}$Ge for $T = 1, 2$ MeV, as a function of the incident electron energy, for the Skyrme forces SLy4, SGII, SkM*, and BSk17. For comparison, the cross sections obtained by the FTRRPA [16] calculations are also shown.

$T = 0.5, 1.0, 2.0$ MeV and for the functionals SLy4, SGII, SkM*, and BSk17. The systematic decrease with $A$ of the cross section at given electron energy reflects the increase (in absolute value) of the $Q$-value of the reaction: from $Q = -1.66$ MeV, corresponding to the reaction $^{70}$Ge ($e^- , \nu_e$)$^{70}$Ga, to $Q = -9.99$ MeV, corresponding to the reaction $^{80}$Ge ($e^- , \nu_e$)$^{80}$Ga. Indeed, more neutron-rich isotopes require more energetic electrons to allow the capture reaction. This implies that, increasing $A$, the threshold for the electron-capture increases, and the cross sections are smaller at a given electron energy. The same trend has been observed in Fig. 8 of Ref. [15] for Ni isotopes, and in Fig. 4 of Ref. [30] for iron-group isotopes.

We notice the different behaviour of the cross sections in $^{70-74}$Ge in the case of the SGII force. Indeed, the curves in Fig. 5 corresponding to $^{70}$Ge and $^{72}$Ge cross each others, breaking the trend observed for all other forces. This can be explained by considering the transition from the $2p_{3/2}$ state to the $2p_{1/2}$ state. In Fig. 6, the occupation numbers in the isotopes $^{70,72,74}$Ge are shown as a function of temperature, for the Skyrme forces SLy4 (left
FIG. 4. (Color online) Occupation numbers in $^{76}$Ge as a function of temperature for the (a) $1f_{7/2}$ and the (b) $1g_{9/2}$ proton orbitals, and for the (c) $1f_{5/2}$ and the (d) $1g_{7/2}$ neutron orbitals. The occupation numbers for the Skyrme forces SLy4, SGII, SkM*, and BSk17 are compared with those obtained by the SMMC and TQRPA models.

panels) and SGII (right panels), for the $2p_{3/2}$ proton orbitals (panels (a) and (b)), and for the $2p_{1/2}$ neutron orbitals (panels (c) and (d)). We observe that, in the case of SGII, the $2p_{3/2}$ orbitals are less populated at a given temperature with respect to those obtained with the SLy4 force. The $2p_{3/2}^p \rightarrow 2p_{1/2}^n$ transition, therefore, might give a smaller contribution to the cross sections in the case of SGII than in the case of SLy4. Moreover, the difference in the occupation numbers between the isotopes $^{70}$Ge and $^{72}$Ge is larger in the case of SGII. This may justify a drop in the cross section for $^{70}$Ge with respect to $^{72}$Ge and $^{74}$Ge for $T \lesssim 1$ MeV, and as a consequence a possible crossing among the curves. In the case of SLy4, instead, the difference between the occupation numbers for different isotopes is smaller, and thus the non-crossing of the results can be preserved.
FIG. 5. (Color online) Electron-capture cross sections for Ge $A = 70 - 80$ isotopes for various temperatures as a function of the incident electron energy, for the Skyrme forces SLy4, SGII, SkM*, and BSk17. The dotted lines correspond to $A = 70$, while the solid lines correspond to $A = 80$, being the other isotopes among these boundaries.

IV. ELECTRON-CAPTURE RATES

In this Section we calculate the electron-capture rates for Fe and Ge calculated for typical conditions found in the initial phase of core-collapse supernova. The rates are computed by folding the FTSHF + FTRPA cross sections with the electron Fermi-Dirac distribution, according to Eq. (9). The electron chemical potential entering in Eq. (10) is derived from the matter density by inverting Eq. (11).
FIG. 6. (Color online) Occupation numbers in $^{70,72,74}$Ge as a function of temperature, for the Skyrme forces SLy4 (left panels) and SGII (right panels), for the (a, b) $2p_{3/2}$ proton orbitals, and for the (c, d) $2p_{1/2}$ neutron orbitals.

A. IRON ISOTOPES

In Fig. 7 the electron-capture rates for $^{54,56}$Fe for different stellar conditions as functions of temperature are displayed for the Skyrme forces SLy4, SGII, SkM*, and BSk17. For comparison, the SMMC [9], the FTRRPA [16] and the TQRPA [17] calculations are shown. Overall, even if the rates are computed within rather different models, the results have similar trends. The electron-capture rates increase with temperature and electron density in all the models shown. While at low electron densities ($\rho Y_e = 10^7$ g cm$^{-3}$) the increase of the rates with temperature is up to three orders of magnitude going from 0.1 to 1.0 MeV, at higher electron densities the rates increase slower. At $\rho Y_e = 10^{10}$ g cm$^{-3}$, the rates are almost independent on the temperature. Going from $^{54}$Fe to $^{56}$Fe, the rates decrease, which corresponds to the behaviour of the cross sections (see Fig. 1). In the case of $^{56}$Fe, the FTSHF + FTRPA rates are generally smaller than the FTRRPA and TQRPA results, reflecting the trend of the cross section. We also point out that in the SMMC calculations only the $1^+$ transitions are included.
FIG. 7. (Color online) Electron-capture rates for $^{54,56}$Fe for different stellar conditions as a function of temperature, for the Skyrme forces SLy4, SGII, SkM*, and BSk17. The FTSHF + RPA results are compared with the rates obtained with the SMMC [9], the FTRRPA [16] and the TQRPA [17] calculations.

B. GERMANIUM ISOTOPES

In Fig. 8 we compare, as a function of temperature, the electron-capture rates for $^{76,78,80}$Ge, for different stellar conditions. In all the calculations, the multipole transitions $J^\pi = 0^\pm, 1^\pm,$ and $2^\pm$ have been included. We notice the increasing trend of the rates with increasing temperature and electron density, as for iron isotopes. At high electron density, the rates are almost independent on the temperature. Generally speaking, the FTSHF + FTRPA rates are smaller than the rates calculated within other approaches, reflecting the behaviour of the cross sections. The discrepancy among the results obtained in this work and those from other models reduces when temperature increases. In the case of $^{76}$Ge, the FTRRPA results are in good agreement with the FTSHF + FTRPA results obtained em-
ploying the SGII and the SkM* functional for $\rho Y_e = 10^{10}$ g cm$^{-3}$, and with those obtained employing the BSk17 functional for $\rho Y_e = 5 \times 10^{10}, 10^{11}$ g cm$^{-3}$ after 1.2 MeV. The TQRPA results agree very well with the SKM* ones for $\rho Y_e = 5 \times 10^{10}$ g cm$^{-3}$. In the case of $^{78}$Ge, the FTRRPA rates are very close to those obtained with the SkM* functional for $\rho Y_e = 5 \times 10^{10}, 10^{11}$ g cm$^{-3}$.

It should be noted that with decreasing temperature, the behavior of the electron-capture rates can be in principle non-monotonic: the cross section drops, because of the closure of the neutron output channels, but the electron density increases and can favour electron-capture. There are therefore two antagonist effects to be balanced in the integral of Eq. (9): the decrease of the cross section $\sigma$ with decreasing temperature and electron energy, and the slight increase of the electron chemical potential $\mu_e$ with decreasing $T$ (see Eq. (11)) which tends to shift the Fermi function $f_e$ to higher electron energy where the cross section is larger.

The results on Fig. 8 allow us to estimate the uncertainties generated by the various microscopic models employed. Within the present Skyrme FTRPA predictions, the spreading of the capture rate is about one order of magnitude. When also considering different approaches such as FTRRPA and TQRPA, the global uncertainty is about two order of magnitudes on the rates. The one-two order of magnitude differences between the Skyrme interaction results and the TQRPA results may partly arise from the Brink hypothesis. This hypothesis may not be accurate for the individual transitions to states at a low excitation energy (on absolute scale) in the daughter nucleus, as discussed e.g. in Ref. [33]. The TQRPA approach avoids this approximation, whereas it is used in the present work.

In Fig. 9 the electron-capture rates for the $A = 70 - 80$ Ge isotopes are displayed as a function of temperature for the Skyrme functionals SLy4, SGII, SkM* and BSk17. The rates increase with increasing temperature, but they are almost temperature-independent at high electron densities. In accordance with the corresponding cross sections (see Fig. 5), at a given temperature and electron density, the rates decrease with increasing $A$ (except in the case of $^{70-74}$Ge with the SGII functional).
V. CONCLUSIONS

For the first time, electron-capture rates for stellar conditions have been calculated within a fully self-consistent approach based on Skyrme interactions. The method employed is the finite-temperature Skyrme Hartree-Fock plus finite-temperature charge-exchange RPA. The only input is the Skyrme interaction employed, i.e. both the Skyrme Hartree-Fock and the RPA equations are based on the same functional. Therefore, the scheme is self-consistent. Electron-capture cross section and rates calculations are performed for $^{54,56}$Fe and even-even Ge isotopes in the mass range $A = 70 - 80$.

Our results show a similar trend with respect to other calculations such as the SMMC + RPA, FTRRPA or TQRPA models, both for the cross sections and the rates. Generally speaking, the present method tends to predict rates that are smaller than those computed from the other approaches. The variety of the Skyrme interactions employed and the comparison with previous results obtained within different models, allows to deduce about two
orders of magnitude uncertainty on the electron-capture rates. The spread seems to increase with the neutron excess and the electron density. It would be very interesting to compare the capture rates derived in this framework with those inferred from experimental measurements of the GT strength (see e.g. Refs. [34–36]). This will be considered in a future work.

These results are particularly relevant for astrophysical applications such as core-collapse supernovae theory. Indeed, as demonstrated by several numerical simulations [3, 11, 37], the evolution of the electron fraction is crucial in the supernova dynamics and energetics. As an example, in Refs. [3, 37] it has been shown that an increase (reduction) of the electron-capture in the denser (outer) regions of the core observed when implementing the SMMC + RPA rates [12] with respect to the IPM model ones leads to a shift of the position of the

FIG. 9. (Color online) Electron-capture rates for Ge $A = 70 - 80$ isotopes for different stellar conditions as a function of temperature, for the Skyrme forces SLy4, SGII, SkM*, and BSk17. The dotted lines correspond to $A = 70$, while the solid lines correspond to $A = 80$, being the other isotopes among these boundaries.
shock formation inwards of about 16% in the core mass and to a 10% smaller velocity across the shock. Moreover, the parameter study of Ref. [38] shows that each increase of the rate of capture by one order of magnitude corresponds roughly to the same decrease (∼ 0.1M⊙) of the mass of the homologous core.

This corroborates the importance of pursuing this study and generating tables for astrophysical applications, in order to be able to include the FTSHF + FTRPA rates in a numerical simulation. The present work paves the way to a more systematic calculations of stellar electron-capture rates. We aim at providing tables for electron-capture rates for a wide range of nuclei of astrophysical interest.
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