*Citation

Achten WMJ, Maes WH, Aerts R, Verchot L, Trabucco A, Mathijs E, Singh VP, Muys B 2010. *Jatropha*: from global hype to local opportunity. Journal of Arid Environments 74, 164-165.

DOI: 10.1016/j.jaridenv.2009.08.010

Authors:	Wouter MJ Achten ^a , Wouter H Maes ^a , Raf Aerts ^a , Louis Verchot ^b , Antonio
	Trabucco ^a , Erik Mathijs ^c , Virendra P Singh ^d , Bart Muys ^{a*}
	^a Division Forest, Nature and Landscape, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven,
	Celestijnenlaan 200E-2411, BE-3001 Leuven, Belgium
	^b Centre for International Forestry Research, P.O. Box 0113 BOCBD, Bogor
	16000, Indonesia
	^c Division Agricultural and Food Economics, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven,
	Celestijnenlaan 200E-2411, BE-3001 Leuven, Belgium
	^d World Agroforestry Centre (ICRAF), Regional office South Asia, Pusa
	Campus, New Delhi, India
	*Corresponding author: Prof. Bart Muys, Celestijnenlaan 200E-2411, BE-3001
	Leuven (Belgium). Tel. +32-16-32 97 21; Fax: +32-16-32 97 60

E-mail: bart.muys@ees.kuleuven.be

*Elsevier authorized the authors to post this revised personal version of the text of the final journal article on the author's institutional server, incorporating the complete citation and with a link to the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) of the article.



Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 2.0 Belgium License Permissions beyond the scope of this license may be available at http://www.elsevier.com/wps/find/authorsview.authors/copyright#whatrights

Title

Jatropha: from global hype to local opportunity

Authors

Wouter M.J. Achten^a, wouter.achten@ees.kuleuven.be

Wouter H. Maes^a, wouter.maes@ees.kuleuven.be

Raf Aerts^a, raf.aerts@ees.kuleuven.be

Louis Verchot^b, l.verchot@cgiar.org

Antonio Trabucco^a, antonio.trabucco@ees.kuleuven.be

Erik Mathijs^c, erik.mathijs@ees.kuleuven.be

Virendra P. Singh^d, v.p.singh@cgiar.org

Bart Muys^{a,*}, Bart.muys@ees.kuleuven.be

Affiliations

^a Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Division Forest, Nature and Landscape, Celestijnenlaan 200 E Box 2411, BE-3001 Leuven, Belgium

^b Centre for International Forestry Research, P.O. Box 0113 BOCBD, Bogor 16000, Indonesia

^c Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Division Soil and Water Management, Celestijnenlaan 200 E, BE-3001 Heverlee, Belgium

^d World Agroforestry Centre (ICRAF), Regional office South Asia, Pusa Campus, New Delhi, India

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +32 (0) 16 329726; Fax: +32 (0) 16 329760;

The global interest in biofuels does not go unnoticed. The keen interest in biofuels is mainly inspired by climate change issues, aiming to reduce CO₂ emissions, as well as by geopolitical issues, aiming to reduce nations' dependence on fossil fuels (Verrastro & Ladislaw, 2007). However, biofuels are highly controversial because their production holds significant economic (*e.g.*, subsidies and protectionism), social (*e.g.*, food security) and environmental risks (*e.g.*, loss of biodiversity and water recharge, negative carbon balance) (Stephens *et al.*, 2001; UN-Energy, 2007; Mitchell, 2008; Searchinger *et al.*, 2008; Fargione *et al.*, 2008; FAO, 2008). *Jatropha curcas* takes a special place in this debate, as it is claimed to produce biofuel and enhance socio-economic development while reclaiming marginal and degraded lands in (semi-)arid regions (Francis *et al.*, 2005), without competing with food production or depleting natural carbon stocks and ecosystem services.

The global biofuel interest, materialized in directives and blending targets (*e.g.*, India, 2003; European Union, 2009) and the hyped sustainability claim of the *Jatropha* biofuel (Fairless, 2007), is triggering large-scale investments and expansion of *Jatropha* plantations (GEXSI, 2008; Carels, 2009). With the current state of knowledge about the impacts and potentials of *Jatropha* plantations, this pathway holds risks of unsustainable practices in developing countries (Achten *et al.*, 2007).

We believe that the current knowledge gaps and uncertain economic perspectives, together with competition on the global biofuel market, might drive *Jatropha* investors away from marginal or degraded lands towards agricultural or

lands that are valuable for biodiversity, in order to reduce financial risk. *Jatropha*, despite the fact that it is largely undomesticated, needs resources like any crop to achieve high productivity. If *Jatropha* competes for land with food crops or high carbon stocks, it would lose its acclaimed sustainability advantages. The considerable lack of insight in genetics, input responsiveness and agronomy of *Jatropha* makes yields poorly predictable (Achten *et al.*, 2008). Additionally, monocultures are likely to face unexpected pest and disease infestations (Shanker & Dhyani, 2006). Consequently, the economic viability of this –basically wild– plant is still highly uncertain, particularly when created jobs respect sustainability standards and social costs are accounted for (Achten *et al.*, 2007).

As an alternative, we believe the global hype could be harnessed to increase rural development by considering small-scale, community-based *Jatropha* initiatives for local use, like small *Jatropha* plantations, agroforestry systems with *Jatropha* intercropping, and agro-silvo-pastoral systems. In land-locked or very remote areas, where fuel wood is the main source of energy and where kerosene and diesel supply are erratic and very expensive, *Jatropha* offers an improvement opportunity. The oil, easily extractable with simple (Achten *et al.*, 2008) and cheap (Messemaker, 2008) technology, is a good fuel for stoves, lamps and even large static running engines (e.g., pumps, mills, generators) (Achten *et al.*, 2008). Communities using fossil fuels, can reduce their dependency on them by substitution with *Jatropha* oil. Communities without access to fossil fuels acquire an asset for development (*e.g.* energy used to increase productivity).

The approach of small scale *Jatropha* production for local oil use offers additional advantages. First, as an additional crop to the current set of farmers' activities, applicable in different cropping systems, farmers can diversify their income sources. Second, *Jatropha* produces woody by-products such as pruning waste and fruit hulls which are useful as combustible (Gubitz et al., 1999), which will reduce pressure on remaining forests and woodlots. Third, planted as a hedge Jatropha can be used as a living fence, to exclude browsing animals for ecological restoration or food crop protection because it is unpalatable to livestock (Gubitz et al., 1999; Zahawi, 2005). Fourth, Jatropha can also be planted in contour hedgerows to reduce soil erosion (Heller, 1996; Gubitz et al., 1999) and to improve soil quality in degraded ecosystems (Ogunwole et al., 2008). Finally, locally organized oil extraction will keep seed cake, which is useful as combustible or as a soil amendment (Gubitz et al., 1999), available for the local farmers, which is more difficult in centralized processing setups (Francis et al., 2005), often used for largescale projects.

Besides these advantages, this approach reduces several risks related to large-scale monocultures. First, the farmer can individually limit initial investment and control his/her start-up risk. Second, the limited scale of the initiatives holds only small risk of environmental impact on biodiversity, ecosystem functions and hydrological balance. Third, a community-based approach is unlikely to drive farmers to unsustainably convert arable or natural lands to *Jatropha* at large scale.

Implementation of this model needs important extension efforts through cooperatives and local networks having good insight in local environmental,

economic, cultural and social processes. Their assistance in the introduction of *Jatropha* should start with the communication of correct information on land suitability including potential yield range, risk of yield loss, management practices and possible water competition (Maes *et al.*, 2009), as *Jatropha* will not yield well on all sites for which its suitability has been claimed (Trabucco *et al.*, 2008). Furthermore, these extension efforts should assist in acquiring plant material at low cost and in the post-harvest processing and product use as well (*e.g.*, multifunctional platforms, see Havet, 2003).

The most important condition for the success of such a pathway is that this small-scale model benefits the adopting farmer. Therefore, the *Jatropha* cultivation and oil pressing, delivering oil and byproducts, should be less costly, in terms of resource use, be it labor, water or money, than the collection of firewood, the purchase of kerosene or other conventional fuels. Under such conditions *Jatropha* can be added to farmers' current set of activities on lands unsuitable for expansion of one of those activities or natural conservation, but suitable for *Jatropha*.

This is not a call for abandoning the large-scale *Jatropha* pathway, as beside the risks, this model hosts opportunities (*e.g.*, significant and efficient energy production) and risk reducing possibilities (*e.g.*, conservation set asides) as well. The authors intend to show that the global *Jatropha* hype hosts local pro-poor opportunities as well and note that initiatives are already on their way (Lengkeek, 2007; Practical Action Consulting, 2009).

Acknowledgements

This research is funded by the Flemish Interuniversity Council – University Development Co-operation (VLIR-UOS), K.U.Leuven FLOF and the Research Foundation - Flanders (FWO) and is a collaboration between K.U.Leuven and the World Agroforestry Centre (ICRAF).

References

Achten, W.M.J., Mathijs, E., Verchot, L., Singh, V.P., Aerts, R. & Muys, B. (2007). *Jatropha* biodiesel fueling sustainability? *Biofuels, Bioproducts and Biorefining*, **1**: 283-291.

Achten, W.M.J., Verchot, L., Franken, Y.J., Mathijs, E., Singh, V.P., Aerts, R. & Muys, B. (2008). *Jatropha* bio-diesel production and use. *Biomass & Bioenergy*, **32:** 1063-1084.

Carels, N. 2009. Chapter 2 *Jatropha curca*s: A Review, in: Kader, J.-C., Delseny,M. (Eds.), Advances in Botanical Research, Academic press, pp. 39-86.

European Union (2009). Directive of the European Parleament and of the Council on the promotion of the use of energy from renewable sources amending and subsequently repealing Directives 2001/77/EC and 2003/30/EC. European Union, Brussels, Belgium, p. 156. http://tinyurl.com/cllh7h.

Fairless, D. (2007). Biofuel: the little shrub that could - maybe. *Nature*, **449:** 652-655.

FAO (2008). The state of food and agriculture - Biofuels: prospects, risks and opportunities. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy, p. 128. http://tinyurl.com/dk2o62.

Fargione, J., Hill, J., Tilman, D., Polasky, S. & Hawthorne, P. (2008). Land clearing and the biofuel carbon debt. *Science*, **319**: 1235-1238.

Francis, G., Edinger, R. & Becker, K. (2005). A concept for simultaneous wasteland reclamation, fuel production, and socio-economic development in degraded areas in India: need, potential and perspectives of *Jatropha* plantations. *Natural Resources Forum*, **29:** 12-24.

GEXSI (2008). Global market study on *Jatropha* - final report. GEXSI LLP, Berlin, Germany, p. 187. http://tinyurl.com/cnyn44.

Gubitz, G.M., Mittelbach, M. & Trabi, M. (1999). Exploitation of the tropical oil seed plant *Jatropha curcas* L. *Bioresource Technology*, **67**: 73-82.

Havet, I. (2003). Linking women and energy at the local level to global goals and targets. *Energy for Sustainable Development*, **7:** 75-79.

Heller, J. (96). Physic nut - *Jatropha curcas* L. - Promoting the conservation and use of underutilized and neglected crops. PhD dissertation at Institute of Plant Genetic and Crop Plant Research, Gatersleben, Germany & International Plant Genetic Resource Institute, Rome, Italy, p. 66. http://tinyurl.com/cg2pw8.

India (2003). Report of the committee on development of bio-fuel. Planning Commission, Government of India, New Delhi, India, p. 214. http://tinyurl.com/qtn4sz.

Lengkeek, A.2007. The *Jatropha curcas* agroforestry strategy of Mali Biocarburant SA, in: FACT seminar on *Jatropha curcas* L. agronomy and genetics, Wageningen, The Netherlands, Mar. 26-28, 2007, p 6.

Maes, M.H., Achten, W.M.J., Reubens, B., Samson, R. & Muys, B. (2009). Plantwater relationships and growth strategies of *Jatropha curcas* L. saplings under different levels of drought stress. *Journal of Arid Environments*, **in press**.

Messemaker, L. (2008). The Green Myth? Assessment of the *Jatropha* value chain and its potential for pro-poor biofuel development in Northern Tanzania. MSc dissertation at Utrecht University, The Netherlands, p. 97.

http://tinyurl.com/d8sexw.

Mitchell, D. (2008). A note on rising food Prices. The World Bank, Washington, United States of America, p. 21. http://tinyurl.com/d64faj.

Ogunwole, J.O., Chaudhary, D.R., Gosh, A., Daudu, C.K., Chikara, J. & Patolia, S. (2008). Contribution of *Jatropha curcas* to soil quality improvement in a degraded Indian entisol. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica Section B-Soil & Plant Science*, **58**: 245-251.

Practical Action Consulting (2009). Small-scale bioerngy initiatives: Brief

description and preliminary lessons on livelihood impacts from case studies in Asia, Latin-America and Africa. Food and Agricultural Organisation of the United Nations (FAO), Rome, Italy and Policy Innovation Systems for Clean Energy Security (PISCES), Nairobi, Kenya, p. 142. http://tinyurl.com/cxuank.

Searchinger, T., Heimlich, R., Houghton, R.A., Dong, F., Elobeid, A., Fabiosa, J., Tokgoz, S. & Heyes, D.Y.T.-H. (2008). Use of U.S. croplands for biofuels increases greenhouse gases through emissions from land use change. *Science*, **319**: 1238-1240.

Shanker, C. & Dhyani, S.K. (2006). Insect pests of *Jatropha curcas* L. and the potential for their management. *Current Science*, **91**: 162-163.

Stephens, W., Hess, T., and Knox, J. (2001). Review of the effects of energy crops on hydrology. Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, London, United Kingdom, p. 29. http://tinyurl.com/c3swc8.

Trabucco, A., Achten, W., van Orshoven, J., Mathijs, E. & Muys, B. (2008). Sustainability of *Jatropha curcas* for biofuel production: from global hype to local solution. poster presentation: http://tinyurl.com/cnxga2.

UN-Energy (2007). Sustainable bioenergy: A framework for decision makers. United Nations, New York, United States of America, p. 64. http://tinyurl.com/djlqc8.

Verrastro, F. & Ladislaw, S. (2007). Providing energy security in an interdependent

world. The Washington Quarterly, **30:** 95-104.

Zahawi, R.A. (2005). Establishment and growth of living fence species: an overlooked tool for the restoration of degraded areas in the tropics. *Restoration Ecology*, **13**: 92-102.